arXiv:1703.10563v1 [hep-th] 30 Mar 2017

Hyperbolic Geometry of Superstring Perturbation Theory

Seyed Faroogh Moosavian, Roji Pius

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, ON N2L 2Y5, Canada

E-mail: sfmoosavian@perimeterinstitute.ca, rpius@perimeterinstitute.ca

Abstract

The hyperbolic structure of perturbative superstring theory in RNS framework is explored, with the goal of providing a systematic method for explicitly evaluating both the on-shell and the off-shell amplitudes in closed superstring theory with arbitrary number of external states and loops. The set of local coordinates around the punctures on the Riemann surface satisfying the off-shell factorization requirement needed for defining the off-shell amplitudes is specified using the metric on the Riemann surface having -1 constant curvature. An explicit procedure for distributing picture changing operators on the Riemann surface over the whole moduli space in a way that satisfies the off-shell factorization requirement and at the same time avoids the spurious singularities is described. The superstring amplitude is expressed as a sum of real integrals over certain covering spaces of the moduli space. These covering spaces are identified as explicit domains inside the Teichmüller space of the Riemann surfaces parameterized using the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

Contents

1 Introduction **4**

1.1 Objectives . . . 5

1.1.1 Bosonic string amplitudes and moduli space of Riemann surfaces . . . 6

1.1.2 Superstring amplitudes and picture changing operators . . . 8

1.1.3 Off-shell superstring amplitudes and 1PI approach . . . 10

1.1.4 Correlation functions and the moduli parameters . . . 15

1.2 Summary of the results . . . 15

1.2.1 Bosonic string measure and the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates . . . 16

1.2.2 1PI and 1PR regions and the gluing compatible choice of local coordinates 17

1.2.3 Integration of the bosonic string measure . . . 21

1.2.4 Superstring amplitudes and the PCO's . . . 23

1.2.5 Correlation functions and the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates . . . 24

1.3 Organization . . . 25

2 The off-shell bosonic string amplitudes **26**

2.1 The world-sheet theory . . . 26

2.2 General construction of the off-shell bosonic string amplitudes . . . 27

2.2.1 Tangent vectors of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ . . . 27

2.2.2 Differential form on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ . . . 29

2.2.3 Differential form on section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ . . . 31

2.2.4 Gluing compatible integration cycle . . . 32

3 Explicit construction of the bosonic string amplitudes **33**

3.1 Gluing compatible section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ . . . 34

3.1.1 Plumbing fixture and hyperbolic Riemann surfaces . . . 35

3.1.2 Hyperbolic metric on plumbing family . . . 40

3.1.3 Leading order hyperbolic metric . . . 42

3.1.4 1PR region and gluing compatible choice of local coordinates . . . 46

3.2 Integration measure on the section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ . . . 51

3.3 Integration over the moduli space . . . 54

3.3.1 The covering space of the moduli space . . . 56

3.3.2 Integration of an MCG-invariant function over the moduli space . . . 59

3.3.3 The Mirzakhani-McShane identity . . . 61
3.3.4 Computing the volume of moduli space . . . 64

4 General construction of the superstring amplitudes 67
4.1 The world-sheet theory . . . 67
4.2 Off-shell superstring amplitudes . . . 69
4.3 Gluing compatible integration cycle . . . 70
4.4 Regulating the infrared divergences . . . 71
4.5 Singularities associated with PCO's . . . 72
4.6 Prescription for avoiding spurious poles: vertical integration . . . 73
4.6.1 A warm-up example . . . 74
4.6.2 The vertical integration prescription . . . 78
4.7 Integration measure . . . 82

5 Explicit construction of the superstring amplitudes 84
5.1 Gluing compatibility and Mirzakhani-McShane decomposition . . . 84
5.1.1 Property of the Mirzakhani-McShane decomposition . . . 84
5.1.2 The maximal decomposition of the superstring amplitude . . . 86
5.1.3 The gluing compatible PCO distribution . . . 90
5.1.4 The gluing compatible choice of local coordinates . . . 92
5.2 The infrared regulator and the maximal decomposition . . . 93
5.3 The integration cycle avoiding the spurious poles . . . 94

6 Illustration of the construction through examples 94
6.1 Tree level four point off-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory . . . 94
6.2 One loop two point off-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory . . . 97
6.3 Tree level four point off-shell amplitudes in superstring theory . . . 101

7 Correlation functions by gluing pairs of pants 105
7.1 Construction of the surface state for a pair of pants . . . 107
7.1.1 The scalar fields . . . 108
7.2 Gluing the pairs of pants . . . 110
7.3 Illustration of the construction: partition function on the torus . . . 110

8 Future directions 112

A The hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and the Teichmüller space 113
A.1 The pair of pants . . . 116
A.2 The complex structure of pair of pants . . . 117
A.3 The Teichmüller space of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces . . . 118
A.4 The Teichmüller space of a pair of pants . . . 119
A.5 The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the Teichmüller space . . . 120
A.6 The presentation for the mapping class group . . . 122

B The matrices for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates 124
B.1 The Fenchel-Nielsen system . . . 125
B.1.1 The ordering for boundary components of the pairs of pants . . . 126
B.1.2 Directing the coordinate and the boundary geodesics . . . 126
B.2 From the F-N system to the generators of the Fuchsian group . . . 127
B.2.1 The normalization . . . 127
B.2.2 The basic building blocks . . . 128
B.2.3 Sphere with holes . . . 128
B.2.4 Closing the handles . . . 131
B.3 The explicit matrices . . . 132
B.3.1 Reduction to primitive conjugators . . . 132
B.3.2 The normalized pants groups . . . 134
B.3.3 Closing a handle of pair of pants . . . 135
B.3.4 Matrices for universal twist map, interchange and elementary conjugators 137
B.4 The algorithm . . . 138

1 Introduction

Quantum field theory is the best understood framework that provides a powerful setting for describing the Nature at the microscopic scale. One of the main powers of quantum field theory is that it comes with a set of relatively simple rules for calculating measurable quantities. For instance, we compute the quantities of interest, mainly the scattering amplitudes, as a perturbative expansion in the coupling parameters of the theory by evaluating the Feynman diagrams using the Feynman rules that can be derived from the Lagrangian of the theory.

The Feynman rules expresses amplitudes in the quantum field theory with arbitrary external states and loops as well defined integrals. Therefore, we can consider Feynman prescription as a calculable formulation of the perturbative quantum field theory.

Similarly, in the conventional formulation of string theory, the scattering amplitude is obtained by evaluating the string diagrams which are the string theory analogue of the Feynman diagrams. Unlike in quantum field theory, the rules for evaluating the string diagrams are not derived from a Lagrangian. Instead the rules for evaluating the string diagrams are given without referring to an underlying Lagrangian [1–3]. By exploring the conformal structure of string theory powerful covariant methods to compute amplitudes in perturbative string theory were introduced in [3]. Some aspects of the formalism that remained slightly opaque also got cleared due to the recent studies [8, 10–26]. Therefore, we can safely say that perturbative string theory provides a well defined formal procedure for computing the amplitudes as an expansion in the string coupling. However, we can consider this as a calculable formulation of the string theory, only if it comes with a set of well defined practical rules for evaluating the amplitudes with arbitrary external states to any loop. Unfortunately such a set of practical rules for computing the amplitudes is not readily available.

In this work, we describe a practical procedure for performing computations in perturbative string theory by exploring the hyperbolic structure of the theory. Below we shall explain the main objectives of this paper followed by a brief summary of the results.

1.1 Objectives

Path integral formulation of string theory requires summing over all possible world-sheets traced by the relativistic strings in space-time with contribution from each world-sheet weighted by a unimodular complex number whose phase is the area of the corresponding world-sheet. Polyakov in his seminal papers [1, 2] showed that this summation over the two dimensional surfaces reduces to two dimensional exactly solvable conformal field theory on Riemann surfaces. This connection was established by introducing a metric on each world-sheet. Net effect of this is to introduce an unphysical degree of freedom, Liouville field, into the problem that disappears from the world-sheet action due to its Weyl invariance. Demanding the Weyl invariance even at the quantum level makes sure that the Liouville field will not reappear in the action due to the quantum correction. Also if we assume that the external states involved in the scattering process satisfy the classical on-shell condition, then the amplitude computed

this way is also independent of the specific choice of the metric on the world-sheet. Since the classical on-shell condition is assumed for the external states, we shall call the amplitude computed this way as the on-shell string amplitudes.

1.1.1 Bosonic string amplitudes and moduli space of Riemann surfaces

In the conventional formulation of the bosonic string theory the g-loop contribution to the string amplitude of n asymptotic closed string states is defined as a path integral over the embedding $X^\mu(z,\bar{z})$ of the string world-sheet, a Riemann surfaces with n boundaries having n asymptotic closed string states as boundary condition, in spacetime and all metrics on such Riemann surfaces. Here z denotes the complex coordinate on the Riemann surface. Using the state-operator correspondence, it is possible to replace the boundary conditions with an operator, known as the vertex operator, in an appropriate conformal field theory on the genus g Riemann surface. We denote the operator representing a state carrying quantum numbers a_i and momentum k_i^μ by $V_{a_i,k_i}(z_i,\bar{z}_i)$. Then the momentum space expression for the g-loop string amplitude for n-string states having quantum numbers a_i and momentum k_i^μ with i running from 1 to n is given by,

$$A_g^{(n)}(a_1,k_1;...;a_n,k_n) = \int \underbrace{\Big[dg_{ab}\ dX^\mu\Big]_{g,n}}_{\substack{\text{On genus } g \text{ Riemann surface} \\ \text{with } n \text{ punctures}}} e^{-S_p[g_{ab},X^\mu]} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int d^2z_i \sqrt{-g(z_i)}\ V_{a_i,k_i}(z_i). \tag{1.1}$$

Here S_p is the Polyakov action for a free relativistic string propagating through the space time. It depends on the metric g_{ab} of the genus g Riemann surface and the embedding $X^\mu(z,\bar{z})$ of the string world-sheet in the spacetime.

In order to make the path integral 1.1 well defined, we need to carry out the gauge fixing by introducing the Fadeev-Popov ghosts. Redundant transformations that we need to fix are the reparametrizations that keep the locations of punctures unaffected and the Weyl transformations. This can be achieved by restricting the path integral over all possible metrics on the Riemann surface to a set of metrics which are not related to each other by the above mentioned gauge transformations. The elements in this set of metrics are the representatives of each conformal classes. Different conformal classes corresponds to a Riemann surface with different

complex structures. As is shown by Riemann himself and can be seen via index theorem, the number of parameters specifying each class is finite. These parameters form a non-compact space called the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. Therefore, the gauge fixed path integral over the metrics on the Riemann surface is reduced to an integration over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. Hence the g-loop on-shell amplitudes in bosonic string theory with n external states can be considered as the integral of a suitable form of degree $6g-6+2n$ over the compactified moduli space of genus-g Riemann surfaces with n punctures $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$:

$$A_g^{(n)}(a_1,k_1;\cdots;a_n,k_n)=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\Omega_{6g-g+n} \tag{1.2}$$

Compactified moduli space can be obtained by adding the so-called Deligne-Mumford compactification locus [39] , associated to the Riemann surfaces with nodes, to the moduli space of Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

Therefore, in order to obtain a practical prescription for evaluating amplitudes in string theory, we need to understand the geometry of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces and specify the rules for performing integration over it. Unfortunately there is no known simple description of the moduli space. This is mainly due to the following fact. Characterizing the space of Riemann surfaces require varying the complex structure by infinitesimal deformation of the metric on the Riemann surface. But the infinitesimal deformations only lead us to the Teichmüller space of Riemann surfaces instead of the moduli space. The Teichmüller space is the space of Riemann surfaces with metrics which are not be related by diffeomorphisms that can be continuously connected to the identity transformation. The moduli space of genus-g Riemann surfaces with n distinguished punctures is obtained by identifying the points in the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ of the genus-g Riemann surface with n distinguished punctures that are related by the action of the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}\equiv\frac{\mathcal{T}_{g,n}}{\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n}} \tag{1.3}$$

Mapping class group is the group of diffeomorphims on the Riemann surface that can not be continuously connected to the identity transformation. Geometry and topology of the moduli space is complicated because the action of mapping class group on Teichmüller space is very complicated. So finding the explicit fundamental domain of the mapping class group in the

Teichmüller space is really challenging. This makes explicit integration of a function over the moduli space very difficult.

The only known explicit computation of an integral over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, for generic values of g and n, is the computation of the Weil-Petersson (WP) volumes of the moduli space of the bordered Riemann surfaces, parametrized using the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, due to Mirzakhani [35]. This outstanding progress seems to suggest that the Fenchel-Nielsen parametrization of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces may provide as a calculable formulation of string theory.

Objective 1 : *Express the bosonic string amplitudes as an integral over an explicit region in Teichmüller space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces parameterized using the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.*

1.1.2 Superstring amplitudes and picture changing operators

The amplitudes in the superstring theory are the super analog of the amplitudes in the bosonic string theory. Instead of all possible Riemann surfaces with punctures, we need to sum over all possible super-Riemann surfaces with Nevue-Schwarz (NS) or/and Ramond (R) punctures. More precisely, g-loop closed superstring amplitude of n_R asymptotic closed superstring R states and n_{NS} asymptotic closed superstring NS states is defined as the path integral over the embedding $X^\mu(z,\theta;\bar{z},\bar{\theta})$ of superstring world-sheet, a super-Riemann surface with n_R boundaries having R boundary conditions n_{NS} boundaries having NS boundary conditions, in spacetime and all metrics on such super-Riemann surfaces. Here (z,θ) is the complex coordinate on the super-Riemann surface. Gauge redundancies of this path integral are the super-reparametrizations which fix n_R R punctures and n_{NS} NS punctures and the super Weyl transformations. Like in the bosonic string theory, we have to gauge fix the path integral to get rid of these gauge redundancies. The gauge fixing restricts the structures on the super-Riemann surface to certain classes. Each of these conjugacy classes defines the superconfromal structure or the super-complex structure on the super-Riemann surface. Again using the index theorem, it can be shown that the number of parameters that describes each class is finite. The only difference is that the parameters that describe these classes involve both commuting and anti-commuting parameters. The space that is parametrized by this set of parameters is called the super-moduli space of the super-Riemann surfaces. Therefore, the gauge-fixed path integral over all structures on super-Riemann surfaces is reduced to an integration over the

super-moduli space of super-Riemann surfaces [10].

Alternatively, the picture changing formalism of the superstring theory in the RNS framework gives an equivalent prescription for writing down the superstring amplitudes as an integral over the moduli space of ordinary Riemann surfaces [3]. It tells us to integrate out odd super moduli at the cost of introducing the so called picture-changing operators (PCO) on the ordinary Riemann surface. The naive picture changing prescription assumes that the positions where we are placing the PCO's do not matter as long as we impose the following condition on the distribution of PCO's on the Riemann surfaces that belongs to the boundary of the moduli space. For a genus g Riemann surface with n_{NS} NS punctures and $2n_R$ R punctures, denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, we must insert $2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R$ number of PCO's on arbitrary locations on the Riemann surface. Consider such a surface with one degenerating cycle. Assume that cutting along this cycle separates the surface into two surfaces $\mathcal{R}_{g_1,n^1_{NS},2n^1_R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{g_2,n^2_{NS},2n^2_R}$. Then the PCO's distribution on the degenerate surface must be such that each component of the surface must have $2g_i-2+n^i_{NS}+n^i_R,\ i=1,2$ number of PCO's. If there are more than one degenerating curves then similar condition must be true with respect to all degenerating curves on the surface. Then g-loop superstring amplitude of n_{NS} NS states and $2n_R$ R states can be defined as an integral over an appropriately constructed form on the moduli space of genus g Riemann surface with $n=n_{NS}+2n_R$ punctures :

$$A_g^{(n_{NS},n_R)}(a_i^{NS},k_i^{NS};a_j^R,k_j^R)=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\Omega_{6g-g+2n}\Big(z_1(m),\cdots,z_{2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R}(m)\Big), \tag{1.4}$$

where $z_i(m)$ denotes the position of i^{th} PCO on the Riemann surface corresponding to the point in the moduli space represented by the coordinate m. The set $\Big(z_1(m),\cdots,z_{2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R}(m)\Big)$ provides a distribution of PCO's on the Riemann surface over entire region of moduli space that satisfy the above mentioned property. a_i^{NS},k_i^{NS} denote the quantum numbers and momentum vector of the i^{th} NS external state and a_j^R,k_j^R denote the quantum numbers and momentum vector of the j^{th} R external state.

The superstring amplitudes defined using the naive picture-changing formalism is not well defined due to the presence of theunphysical singularities known as the spurious singularities. The reason is that replacing the odd coordinates of the super-moduli space with PCO's is not

possible in a smooth way over the entire super-moduli space. The choice of locations of the PCO's corresponds to a choice of a gauge for gravitino field [27]. It is known that in general, choosing a globally valid gauge for the gravitino field is impossible [10, 11]. In the picture changing formalism, this breakdown of the global choice of the gauge for the gravitino field shows up as the spurious singularities of the integration measure of the superstring amplitudes. Presence of the spurious singularities means that the computation of amplitudes in the superstring theory using PCO's has to be based on piecing together local descriptions. The vertical integration prescription introduced in [14] and systematically developed in [15] provides an efficient method to piece together the local descriptions. In order to implement the vertical integration prescrption it is essential to know the explicit region over which superstring measure is supposed to be integrated.

Objective 2 : *Express the superstring amplitudes as an integration over an explicit region in Teichmüller space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces in terms of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates and describe an explicit procedure for distributing the PCO's consistently on the Riemann surface over the entire moduli space. This makes implementing the vertical integration possible and thus provide superstring amplitudes that are free from spurious poles.*

1.1.3 Off-shell superstring amplitudes and 1PI approach

Primary quantity of interest in any quantum theory is the S-matrix elements of a scattering process. S-matrix elements give the probability of producing certain final states at the end of a scattering process. In order to consider the conventional formulation of string theory as a well defined formulation, it is important to make sure that the amplitude computed using this formulation can be used to calculate the S-matrix elements perturbatively for any physical states. We already discussed that conventional formulation requires imposing the classical on-shell condition on the external states and the Weyl invariance even at the quantum level. Demanding the classical on-shell condition for external states and the Weyl invariance at the quantum level have significant consequences. We shall explain these consequences below.

- **Mass renormalization and classical on-shell condition** : Consider a string theory amplitude corresponds to the scattering of n-external states representing particles carrying momenta $k_1, \cdots, k_n$ and other discrete quantum numbers $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ with tree level masses $m_{a_1}, \cdots, m_{a_n}$. The momenta k_i are required to satisfy the tree level on-shell condition $k_i^2 = -m_{a_i}^2$. Conventional formulation of string theory yields the result for

what in a quantum field theory can be called 'truncated Green's function on classical mass shell':

$$R^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n) \equiv \lim_{k_i^2\to -m_{a_i}^2} F^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n)\,,$$
$$F^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n) \equiv G^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n)\prod_{i=1}^{n}(k_i^2+m_{a_i}^2)\,, \tag{1.5}$$

where $G^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n)$ correspond to the momentum space Green's function in the quantum field theory. Though, this expression is similar to the expression for the S-matrix elements in a quantum field theory, it is significantly different from the S-matrix elements in a quantum field theory.

To define the S-matrix elements in quantum field theory we need to first consider the two point function $G^{(2)}_{ab}(k,k')$ for all set of fields whose tree level masses are all equal to m described by the matrix

$$G^{(2)}_{ab}(k,k') = (2\pi)^{D+1}\delta^{(D+1)}(k+k')\,Z^{1/2}(k)_{ac}(k^2+M_p^2)^{-1}_{cd}(Z^{1/2}(-k))^T_{db}\,, \tag{1.6}$$

where M_p^2 is the mass^2 matrix and $Z^{1/2}(k)$ is the wave-function renormalization matrix, the latter being free from poles near $k^2+m^2\simeq 0$. The sum over c,d are restricted to states which have the same tree level mass m as the states labelled by the indices a,b. $D+1$ is the total number of non-compact space-time dimensions. We can diagonalize M_p^2 and absorb the diagonalizing matrices into the wave-function renormalization factor $Z^{1/2}(k)$ to express M_p^2 as a diagonal matrix. These eigenvalues, which we shall denote by $m_{a,p}^2$, are the squares of the physical masses. Then the S-matrix elements are defined by

$$S^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n) = \lim_{k_i^2\to -m_{a_i,p}^2} G^{(n)}_{b_1\cdots b_n}(k_1,\cdots k_n)\prod_{i=1}^{n}\{Z_i^{-1/2}(k_i)_{a_i,b_i}(k_i^2+m_{a_i,p}^2)\}\,, \tag{1.7}$$

where $m_{a_i,p}$ is the physical mass of the i-th particle, defined as the location of the pole as a function of $-k^2$ in the untruncated two point Green's function $G^{(2)}$ and $Z_i(k_i)_{a_i,b_i}$'s are the residues at these poles.

At the tree level $Z = 1$, $M_p^2 = m^2 I$ and hence the $R^{(n)}$ defined in (1.5) and $S^{(n)}$ defined in (1.7) agree. In general however $R^{(n)}$ and $S^{(n)}$ are different. While $S^{(n)}$ defined in (1.7) is the physically relevant quantity, conventional formulation of string theory directly computes $R^{(n)}$ defined in 1.5. This is a serious trouble for states receiving mass renormalization. Say external state with quantum number a_i and tree level mass m_{a_i} receives mass renormalization. Then beyond one loop radiative correction introduces series of $\frac{1}{k_i^2+m_{a_i}^2}$. At the same time string theory require $k_i^2 + m_{a_i}^2 = 0$. This makes the on-sehll amplitudes involving states receiving mass renormalization ill-defined beyond one loop.

- **Dynamical shift of vacuum and conformal invariance** : Demanding the Weyl invariance at the quantum level imposes stringent constrain on the dimension and geometry of background spacetime through which string is propagating. For the bosonic string theory, the sum of dimension of compact and non-compact dimension must be 26 and that of the superstring theory must be 10. Also the background spacetimes that avoid the Weyl anomaly must satisfy a set of classical equations. Below we shall discuss a situation in which the quantum corrections modify the background.

  Consider an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric compactification of string theory down to 3+1 dimensions, where we have $U(1)$ gauge fields with Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) terms generated at one loop [4–7]. It is possible to ensure that only one gauge field has FI term by choosing suitable linear combination of these gauge fields. Typically there are also massless scalars ϕ_i charged under this U(1) gauge field. FI term generates a term in the potential of the form

$$\frac{1}{g^2}\left(\sum_i q_i \phi_i^* \phi_i - C\, g^2\right)^2 \tag{1.8}$$

  where q_i is the charge carried by ϕ_i, C is a numerical constant that determines the coefficient of the FI term and g is the string coupling. C could be positive or negative and q_i's for different fields could have different signs. If we expand the potential in powers of ϕ_i around the perturbative vacuum $\phi_i = 0$, it is clear that some of these scalars can become tachyonic. Form of the effective potential suggest that the correct procedure to compute physical quantities is to shift the corresponding fields so that we have a new vacuum where $\sum_i q_i \langle\phi_i^*\rangle\langle\phi_i\rangle = C\, g^2$, and quantize string theory around this new background. However since classically the $C\, g^2$ term is absent from this potential (1.8),

this new vacuum is not a solution to the classical equations of motion. As a result on-shell methods [8–10], which require that we begin with a conformally invariant world-sheet theory, is not suitable for carrying out a systematic perturbation expansion around this new background.

It is possible to cure the singularities associated to mass renormalization and dynamical modification of vacuum by defining appropriate off-shell string amplitudes [12–14, 16–20, 23]. The off-shell amplitudes are defined by relaxing the classical on-shell condition on the external states. Classical on-shell condition, in world-sheet conformal field theory language, is equivalent to using integrated vertex operators having conformal dimensions $(1, 1)$. This means that for constructing off-shell amplitudes we need to use vertex operators having arbitrary conformal dimensions.

Consider a reference coordinate system on a Riemann surface denoted by z. Let z_i denote the location of the i-th puncture in the z-coordinate system and w_i denote the local coordinate system around the i-th puncture, related to z by some functional relation $z = f_i(w_i)$ such that the $w_i = 0$ point gets mapped to $z = z_i$: $f_i(0) = z_i$. Then the contribution to the n-point off-shell amplitude from the genus g Riemann surfaces can be expressed as

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i \circ V_i(0) \times \text{ghost insertions} \right\rangle , \tag{1.9}$$

where $f \circ V(0)$ denotes the conformal transformation of the vertex operator V by the function $f(w)$, the correlator $\langle\ \rangle$ is evaluated in the reference z-coordinate system and $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}}$ denotes integration over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces of genus g with n punctures with appropriate measure. A detailed description of how to construct the integration measure for a given choice of local coordinate system will be explained later. The off-shell amplitudes defined this way depend on the choice of local coordinate system w_i but are independent of the choice of the reference coordinate system z.

It is important to make sure that physical quantities extracted from the off-shell amplitudes defined this way are independent of the choice of the local coordinate system w_i. It is showed in [12–14] that the physical quantities like the renormalized masses of physical states and the S-matrix elements are independent of the choice of local coordinates if we work with a class of local coordinate systems satisfying the following properties:

- The local coordinate system is symmetric in all the puncture, i.e. the function $f_i(w)$

should depend on i only via the location z_i of the puncture.

- The choice of local coordinates is continuous over entire region of moduli space.
- Riemann surfaces that can be obtained by gluing two or more Riemann surfaces via gluing to each other using the plumbing fixture procedure

$$w_1 w_2 = e^{-s+i\theta} \quad 0 \le \theta < 2\pi, \quad 0 \le s < \infty \,. \tag{1.10}$$

  where w_1 and w_2 are the local coordinates at the punctures used for gluing, are declared to be contributions to the 1PR amplitudes. We shall call the corresponding Riemann surfaces the *1PR Riemann surfaces*. The rest of the Riemann surfaces are declared as *1PI Riemann surfaces*. On the 1PI contributions and the local coordinates at the punctures on these Riemann surfaces can be chosen arbitrarily subject to the previous conditions. But on the 1PR Riemann surfaces the choice of local coordinates must be that induced from the local coordinates of the 1PI Riemann surfaces which have been glued.

We shall call the choice of local coordinates satisfying the criteria described above *'gluing compatible local coordinate system'*. In the case of the superstring amplitudes we also need to impose similar restriction over the distribution of PCO's on the world-sheet. On the 1PI Riemann surfaces we are allowed to choose arbitrary distribution of PCO's. But on the 1PR Riemann surfaces we need to choose distribution of PCO's that is induced from the surfaces that are being glued using plumbing fixture construction.

Note that the off-shell string amplitudes $\Gamma^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots,k_n)$ for n external states having quantum numbers a_i, momentum k_i and tree level mass m_i for the i^{th} external state do not compute the off-shell Green's function $G^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots,k_n)$ of string theory. But they are related as

$$\Gamma^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots,k_n) = G^{(n)}_{a_1\cdots a_n}(k_1,\cdots,k_n) \prod_{i=1}^{n}(k_i^2+m_i^2). \tag{1.11}$$

Scattering amplitudes defined following LSZ- prescription using off-shell amplitudes defined this way are unitary and satisfy cutting rules [21–24].

Objective 3 : *Describe an explicit construction of 1PI region inside the moduli space of Riemann surfaces in terms of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates and the gluing compatible choice*

of local coordinates around the punctures. Also provide a systematic method for distributing the PCO's on Riemann surface over entire region of moduli space in a gluing compatible way. Then construct the superstring measure using the Beltrami differentials associated with the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields.

1.1.4 Correlation functions and the moduli parameters

The amplitude in superstring theory is an integral of an appropriate differential form over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. These differential forms are constructed using the correlation functions in an appropriate conformal field theory on the Riemann surface. In order to express the superstring measure in terms of the moduli parameters, it is essential to express the correlation functions of the relevant conformal field theory as a function of the moduli parameters.

Objective 4 : *Describe a method for expressing the correlation functions of the conformal field theories that are relevant for the superstring theory as functions of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.*

1.2 Summary of the results

The uniformization theorem of Poincaré and Koebe [63] asserts that, every genus-g Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ with n distinguished punctures subject to the constraint $2g + n \geq 3$, can be obtained by the proper discontinuous action of a Fuchsian group Γ on the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,n} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{H}}{\Gamma} \tag{1.12}$$

Fuchsian group Γ is a subgroup of the automorphic group of the Poincaré upper half plane. Riemann surfaces obtained this way are hyperbolic and has constant curvature -1 everywhere. A Riemann surface is naturally distinguished by its complex structure. This implies the following one-to-one correspondence:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Hyperbolic Structure} \\ \text{on a Riemann Surface} \end{array} \right\} \Longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Complex Structure} \\ \text{on a Riemann Surface} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1.13}$$

Therefore, the moduli space of the Riemann surface which is essentially the classifying space for the conjugacy classes of the distinguished complex structures on it can naturally be identified

with the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates provide a convenient parametrization of the Teichmüller space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces [49]. Basic idea behind the Fenchel-Nielsen parametrization is that every compact hyperbolic Riemann surface of genus g with n punctures can be obtained by combining $2g-2+n$ pairs of pants. By varying the parameters of this construction every compact hyperbolic metric can be obtained. At each attaching site there are two parameters. At the attaching site where we glue the boundary b_1 of the pair of pants P with boundary β_1 of the pair of pants Q, these parameters are the length $l(b_1) = l(\beta_1) = \ell$ of the boundaries b_1, β_1 and the twist parameter τ. Since there are $3g-3+n$ attaching sites for Riemann surface of genus g with n punctures, the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ is given by $(\tau_i, \ell_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$.

1.2.1 Bosonic string measure and the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates

Assume that the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates $(\tau_i, \ell_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$ are defined with respect to the pair of pants decomposition $\{C_i\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3$, where C_i denote a simple geodesic on the hyperbolic surface. The tangent space at a point in the Teichmüller space is spanned by the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell_i}\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$. The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i}$ is the twist vector field t_{C_i} associated with the curve C_i. Twist field t_α, where α is a simple closed geodesic, generate a flow on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. This flow can be understood as the operation of cutting the hyperbolic surface along α and attaching the boundaries after rotating one boundary relative to the other by some amount δ. The magnitude δ parametrizes the flow on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

Using the Beltrami differentials that represents the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell_i}\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$ we can write the off-shell bosonic string measure as

$$\Omega^{(g,n)}_{6g-6+2n}(|\Phi\rangle) = (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{-(3g-3+n)}\langle\Sigma|B_{6g-6+2n}|\Phi\rangle, \tag{1.14}$$

where

$$B_{6g-6+2n}[\frac{\partial}{\partial\ell_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell_{3g-3+n}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_{3g-3+n}}] = b(t_{C_1})b(t_{\tilde{C}_1}) \cdots b(t_{C_{3g-3+n}})b(t_{\tilde{C}_{3g-3+n}}), \tag{1.15}$$

and

$$b(t_\alpha) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} d^2z \Big(b_{zz} \mathbf{t}_\alpha + b_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \bar{\mathbf{t}}_\alpha \Big). \tag{1.16}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ denote the fundamental region of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ in the upper half plane. $\langle \Sigma |$ is the surface state associated with the surface $\mathcal{R}$ which describes the state that is created on the boundaries of the disc $D_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$ around the punctures having unit radius measured in the local coordinates around the punctures by performing the functional integral over the fields of the conformal field theory on $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$. The state $|\Phi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ defines the Hilbert space of the world-sheet conformal field theory. Then $\langle \Sigma | B_{6g-6+2n} | \Phi \rangle$ describes the n-point correlation function on $\mathcal{R}$ with the vertex operator for $|\Psi_i\rangle$ inserted at the i^{th} puncture using the gluing compatible local coordinate system around that punctures.

1.2.2 1PI and 1PR regions and the gluing compatible choice of local coordinates

The gluing compatibility requirement demands that the choice of local coordinates on a 1PR Riemann surface must be the same as the local coordinates induced on it from the component surfaces that are glued to obtain this surface via the plumbing fixture construction. At first sight, the local coordinates around the punctures that is induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface seems to be a gluing compatible choice of local coordinates. This is true for the surfaces with nodes, constructed by the plumbing fixture of hyperbolic surfaces. However, it turns out that when we construct the plumbing family of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, the resulting surfaces does not have -1 curvature everywhere on it. In the simplest form of a degeneration, two parts of a degenerate Riemann surface are connected by a single long cylinder. Assume that we are gluing surfaces with hyperbolic metric on it. In this case the cylinder connecting the component surfaces is a hyperbolic annulus. On the glued surface we have a -1 constant curvature metric, except at the tails of this hyperbolic annulus, where we have an accumulation of curvature. To make the glued surface hyperbolic we need to distribute the accumulated curvature all over the surface. The resulting metric can be obtained as a perturbative expansion in terms of plumbing fixture parameter by solving curvature correction equation [40, 41, 64]. To describe this equation, consider a compact Riemann surface having metric ds^2 with Gauss curvature $\mathbf{C}$. The conformally equivalent metric $e^{2f} ds^2$ has constant

curvature -1, if f satisfy the *curvature correction equation*

$$Df - e^{2f} = \mathbf{C}, \tag{1.17}$$

where D stands for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the Riemann surface.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_t$ the surface obtained by doing the plumbing fixture around m nodes of a hyperbolic surface $\mathcal{R}$ with m nodes. The plumbing fixture parameter is the m tuple, $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m)$. Let $\mathcal{R}_0 = \mathcal{R} - \{\text{nodes}\}$. $\mathcal{R}_0$ has a pair of punctures a_j, b_j in place of the j^{th} node of $\mathcal{R}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$. Assume that $w_j^{(1)}$ and $w_j^{(2)}$ are the local coordinates around the punctures a_j and b_j respectively. Let us approximation the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$ by the following metric on each parts of the surface,

- on the j^{th} collar of $\mathcal{R}_t$, use the t_j-fiber metric of the hyperbolic annulus

$$ds_t^2 = \Big(\frac{\pi}{\ln|t_j|}\csc\frac{\pi\ \ln|w_j^{(1)}|}{\ln|t_j|}\Big|\frac{dw_j^{(1)}}{w_j^{(1)}}\Big|\Big)^2, \tag{1.18}$$

- on the part of the surface away from the collars use the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_0$,
- at the collar boundaries use a metric that interpolate between the metric on the plumbing collar and the metric away from the collars.

The result is a grafted metric ds^2_{graft} for $\mathcal{R}_t$, see figure 5. The grafted curvature is -1 except at the collar boundaries, where the interpolation leads to a deviation of magnitude $(\ln|t|)^{-2}$. In order to get the expansion of hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$, we need to solve this curvature equation perturbatively around the grafted metric by adding a compensating factor. The relation between hyperbolic metric on the surface $\mathcal{R}_t$ and the grafted metric on it is given by

$$ds^2_{hyp} = ds^2_{graft}\Big(1 + \frac{4\pi^4}{3}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\ln\ |t_k|)^{-2}(E^\dagger_{k,1} + E^\dagger_{k,2}) + O(\sum_{k=1}^{n}(\ln\ |t_k|)^{-3})\Big). \tag{1.19}$$

The functions $E^\dagger_{k,1}$ and $E^\dagger_{k,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(.;2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the k^{th} collar. For the definition of Eisenstein series and melding of the Eisenstein series see section 3.1.3. We can write this metric expansion in terms of the

length $l = \frac{2\pi^2}{(-\ln|t|)} + O((\ln|t|)^{-2})$, of the geodesic on the plumbing collar computed using the grafted metric ds^2_{graft} (i.e., ds^2_t given by 3.67) as follows

$$ds^2_{hyp} = ds^2_{graft}\Big(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{l_k^2}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{k,1} + E^{\dagger}_{k,2}) + O(\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^3)\Big). \tag{1.20}$$

Then the length of geodesic on the collar calculated using hyperbolic metric satisfies

$$l_h = \frac{-2\pi}{\ln|t|}(1 + O((-\ln|t|)^{-2})) = l + O(l^3). \tag{1.21}$$

The length of a closed geodesic α disjoint from plumbing collars is given by

$$l_\alpha(l) = l_\alpha(0) + \sum_k \frac{l_k^2}{6}\int_\alpha (E_{k,1} + E_{k,2})ds + O(\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_k^3). \tag{1.22}$$

Now we shall explain a systematic procedure for correcting the choice of local coordinates to make it gluing compatible. Gluing compatibility condition require choosing local coordinates induced from component surfaces on parts of the moduli space of hyperbolic surfaces that can be constructed using plumbing fixture. For this we need to first define the part of the moduli space that can be constructed via plumbing fixture. We shall define this by imposing a restriction on the hyperbolic length of the core geodesic on the plumbing collar measured with respect to the metric on hyperbolic annulus.

The 1PI and 1PR regions of the moduli space : *We define the region of the moduli space that can be constructed via the plumbing fixture of two or more hyperbolic Riemann surfaces using hyperbolic annuli with core geodesics having hyperbolic length less than a constant value c_* (collar constant) as one particle reducible (1PR) region of the moduli space. The region inside the moduli space that is complement to 1PR region is called the 1PI region of moduli space. The collar constant c_* is assumed to be much less than the hyperbolic length of any geodesic on the surface that is homotopically inequivalent to the core geodesic on the plumbing collar.*

We need to rephrase this definition of the 1PR region of the moduli space in terms of hyperbolic lengths of geodesics on plumbing collar with respect to the hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surface. For this we just have to compute the length of the geodesic on the

hyperbolic Riemann surface that corresponds to the core geodesic on the plumbing collar that has hyperbolic length c_*. Assume that we are interested in the boundary of moduli space with m degenerating collars. We also assume that cutting along any of these collars separates the surface into two distinct Riemann surfaces. To first order in c_*, there is no correction to metric on the plumbing fixture surface in order to make it a hyperbolic metric. From second order onwards there is correction and is described in terms of truncated Eisenstein series associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the collar 1.20. To second order there is no correction to the degenerating geodesic on the plumbing collar. So we can define 1PR region again as the part of moduli space where any of the homotopically nontrivial geodesic, having the property that cutting along them will split the surface into two separate surfaces, has hyperbolic length less than c_*. Since there is a modification to the metric we need to modify the choice of local coordinates around the punctures to make it gluing compatible to second order in c_* as follows.

- For $l_j \geq (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$, inside 1PI region of moduli space, choose $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i$ as the local coordinate around i^{th} punctures, where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric

$$ds^2_{hyp} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2 \tag{1.23}$$

  around the i^{th} puncture. Here ϵ is an arbitrary constant value less than one.

- Inside 1PR region denoted by $l_j < c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ choose the local coordinates around the punctures to $\tilde{w}_i^{c_*^2} = e^{\frac{\pi}{c_*}} w_i^{c_*^2}$ where $w_i^{c_*^2}$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric

$$ds^2_{graft} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2 \Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{l_j^2}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{j,1} + E^{\dagger}_{j,2})\Big) \tag{1.24}$$

- Near the boundary of the 1PI region of the moduli space choose an annular region $c_* \leq l_j < (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ inside the 1PI region. Inside this annular regions change the local coordinates around the punctures to $\tilde{w}_i^{c_*^2} = e^{\frac{\pi}{c_*}} w_i^{c_*^2}$. Here $w_i^{c_*^2}$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric interpolating the metric in the region complement

to the annular region $c_* \leq l_j < (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ given by

$$ds^2_{inter} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{((1+\epsilon)c_* - l_j)^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^{\dagger}_{j,1} + E^{\dagger}_{j,2})\Big) \tag{1.25}$$

around the i^{th} puncture, where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the metric on the surface that is hyperbolic everywhere. $E^{\dagger}_{j,1}$ and $E^{\dagger}_{j,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(.;2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the j^{th} collar.

To find higher order corrections we need to first find the explicit solution for equation 1.20 to that order. Beyond second order we need to correct both the definition of 1PR region and choice of local coordinates to make the section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ gluing compatible. Note that choosing different values for the parameter c_* and ϵ give different choices of gluing compatible local coordinates. It is shown in [12–14] that all such choices of local coordinates give same value for the measurable quantities. Therefore, the arbitrary parameters c_* and ϵ will not appear in the measurable quantities as longs as we assume that these parameters are less than one and restrict to the same order in c_* up to which we restricted the perturbative solution to the curvature correction equation.

1.2.3 Integration of the bosonic string measure

The off-shell bosonic string measure that we constructed using the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of Teichmüller space is an object which live in the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, because it is invariant under the mapping class group (MCG) transformations. This means that in order to evaluate the string amplitudes we need a method for integrating an MCG-invariant function over the moduli space. To this purpose consider a hyperbolic Riemann surface with n borders having hyperbolic lengths $L_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$. Assume that we have the following identity,

$$\sum_{[\alpha]\in \text{Mod.}[\gamma]} f_i(l_\alpha(\mathcal{R})) = L_i, \tag{1.26}$$

where f is a real function of the hyperbolic length $l_\alpha(\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i l_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{R})$ of curve α on hyperbolic Riemann surface, which is an MCG image of curve $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i\gamma_i$ and L_i is the hyperbolic length of i^{th} boundary curve. Here γ_i are simple closed curves on hyperbolic bordered Riemann

surface $\mathcal{R}$ having hyperbolic length l_{γ_i}. Mod.$[\gamma]$ denote the set of MCG images of the curve γ. We also assume that cutting along curves $\gamma_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, k$ provides s disconnected bordered Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma),\ j = 1, \cdots, s$.

Consider an MCG invariant function $H(l_i, \tau_i)$ defined on the bordered surface $\mathcal{R}$ with borders having lengths $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, \cdots, L_n)$, where (l_i, τ_i) denotes the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates defined with respect to a pair of pants decomposition of $\mathcal{R}$. Since $H(l_i, \tau_i)$ is invariant under MCG transformations we are free to choose any pair of pants decomposition of surface to define the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates without changing the form of it. Then we can consider the integration of $H(l_i, \tau_i)$ over the moduli space:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})} dV\ H(l,\tau) &= \frac{1}{L_i} \sum_{[\alpha]\in \text{Mod.}[\gamma]} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})} dV\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{L_i |\text{Sym}(\gamma)|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma} dV\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{L_i |\text{Sym}(\gamma)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} d\ell_{\gamma_1} \cdots d\ell_{\gamma_k} \int_0^{2^{-M_{\gamma_1}} \ell_{\gamma_1}} \cdots \int_0^{2^{-M_{\gamma_k}} \ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_1} \cdots d\tau_{\gamma_k} \\
&\times \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^1(\gamma))} dV(\mathcal{R}^1(\gamma)) \cdots \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^s(\gamma))} dV(\mathcal{R}^s(\gamma))\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

Here τ_{γ_i} is the twist along curve γ_i and $M_{\gamma_i} = 1$ if γ_i bounds a torus with one boundary component otherwise $M_{\gamma_i} = 0$. In the second term we absorbed the MCG images of curve γ to the integration by enlarging the region of integration from the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})$ to the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma$. The covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma$ can be obtained from the Teichmüller space by taking the quotient with the subgroup of full mapping class group that do not act on the curve γ. In the third step we used the fact that the space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma$ can be obtained from the moduli spaces of component surfaces obtained by cutting the surface $\mathcal{R}$ along the curves $\gamma_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, k$ and k number of infinite cones. For more details see section 3.3.1. Now we can repeat the same procedure for integration over $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))$. We shall continue this until we are finished with integrations over all Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

The Mirzakhani-McShane identity: The Mirzakhani-McShane identity for the bordered hyperbolic surfaces [34,35] provides a function of the form 1.26. Before stating the identity let us introduce some notations. We say three isotopy classes of connected simple closed curves,

$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ on $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$, bound a pair of pants if there exists an embedded pair of pants $P \subset \mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ such that $\partial P = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. Here α_i can be simple closed geodesics of length 0.

- For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{F}_i$ be the set of unordered pairs of isotopy classes of simple closed curves $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ bounding a pairs of pants with β_i such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \notin \partial(\mathcal{R}_{g,n})$. Curve γ mentioned in the previous section corresponds to $\mathcal{F}_i$ is given by $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$.

- For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, let $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ be the set of isotopy classes of simple closed curves γ bounding a pairs of pants containing β_i and β_j.

Now we shall state the Mirzakhani-McShane identity for bordered surfaces. For any $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(L_1, \cdots, L_n)$ with $3g - 3 + n > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \mathcal{D}(L_1, l_{\alpha_1(\mathcal{R})}, l_{\alpha_2(\mathcal{R})}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})) = L_1. \tag{1.28}$$

where $l_{\alpha(\mathcal{R})}$ denote the hyperbolic length of the curve α on $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(L_1, \cdots, L_n)$ denote the Teichmüller space of bordered hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with border lengths $L_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$. Functions $\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $\mathcal{E}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= x_1 - \ln\Big(\frac{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1+x_3}{2})}{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1-x_3}{2})}\Big), \\
\mathcal{E}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 2\,\ln\Big(\frac{e^{\frac{x_1}{2}} + e^{\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}}}{e^{-\frac{x_1}{2}} + e^{\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}}}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

1.2.4 Superstring amplitudes and the PCO's

Off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory can be obtained by constructing the off-shell superstring measure and then integrating it over the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. Like in the bosonic string theory, using the Beltrami differentials associated with Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields in the tangent space of Teichmüller space and a choice of gluing compatible local coordinates around the punctures we construct the off-shell superstring measure. But the construction of off-shell superstring measure is more involved than that in the bosonic string theory due to the presence of PCO's. For obtaining consistent off-shell amplitudes we need to impose gluing compatibility requirement for the PCO distribution.

For performing integration over the moduli space we use the idea of inserting sufficient number of Mirzakhani-McSane identities and lift the integration to the associated covering space of the moduli space that we briefly described in the previous subsection.

In order to make the choice of local coordinates and the PCO distribution gluing compatible we use an important property of the Mirzakhani-McShane identity. Superstring amplitude with n external states and g loop is defined by integrating the superstring measure over $6g-6+2n$ number of moduli parameters. We will explain in section 5.1.2 that inserting the Mirzakhani-McShane identity inside the integration over the moduli space each time the amplitude splits into several terms and an integration over an infinite cone $(0 \leq \tau < \ell,\ 0 \leq \ell \leq \infty)$ that separates from the integration over the moduli space. As a result, by inserting sufficient number of the Mirzkhani-MacShane identity we will end up with several terms and each is an integration over a set of infinite cones. We shall call the end result as the maximal decomposition of the off-shell superstring amplitude. We shall argue in section 5.1.2 that each term in the maximal decomposition is associated with a specific pair of pants decomposition of the genus g hyperbolic Riemann surface with n punctures. An interesting property of the Mirzakhani-McShane identity guarantees that by making the PCO distribution and choice of local coordinates gluing compatible with respect to the pair of pants decomposition associated with each term in the maximal decomposition of the off-shell superstring amplitude we can make sure that the off-shell superstring amplitude has the required off-shell factorization properties.

In general off-shell superstring amplitudes defined this way are ill-defined due to the presence spurious divergences. So we need to define appropriate integration cycles that avoid these unwanted divergences. Again we shall work with each term in the maximal decomposition. With in each term we can implement the vertical integration prescription to make this term free from spurious divergences. As a result, the full off-shell superstring amplitude will become free from spurious divergences.

1.2.5 Correlation functions and the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates

The off-shell superstring measure can be written as an appropriate correlation function on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$. Then it can be integrated over the moduli space by going to a certain cover of the moduli space to obtain the off-shell superstring amplitude. In order to perform the integration we need to express these correlation functions as functions of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. This can be done by considering the path integral over a hyperbolic Riemann

surface and treating them as obtained by gluing path integral on a set of pairs of pants that corresponds to a specific pair of pants decomposition of the surface. Gluing the path integral corresponds to identifying the boundaries of the pairs of pants and integrating over all possible field configurations along the boundaries with same boundary conditions for the identified boundaries for the pairs of pants. This can then be converted into a statement in the operator formulation by identifying the path integral over each of the pair of pants in the pair of pants decomposition with a specific boundary conditions as the inner product between the surface state corresponding to the pair of pants and states that induces the boundary conditions along each boundaries of the pair of pants. In section 7 we discuss a systematic procedure for gluing the path integral over each of the pairs of pants. By implementing this procedure it is possible to obtain the correlation functions of the conformal field theories that are relevant to the superstring theory as functions of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

1.3 Organization

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we discuss the formal construction of off-shell amplitudes in bosonic string theory. In section 3 we discuss the explicit construction of off-shell amplitudes in bosonic string theory using hyperbolic Riemann surface. In section 4 we explain the general construction of off-shell amplitudes in superstring theory that are free from infrared and spurious divergences. In section 5 we describe an explicit construction of superstring amplitudes with off-shell external states in terms of hyperbolic Riemann surface and the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of Teichmüller space of Riemann surfaces. In section 6 we illustrate the construction by explicitly constructing simple string amplitudes. In section 7 we discuss a systematic procedure for expressing the correlation functions of the conformal field theories that are relevant to the superstring theory as functions of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. We end the main part of the paper by mentioning some interesting directions that require further study in section 8. In appendix A we discuss basic facts about hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and its Teichmüller space. Appendix B is devoted for describing a systematic algorithm for expressing the generators of a Fuchsian group in terms of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the associated surface.

2 The off-shell bosonic string amplitudes

In this section we shall review the general construction of off-shell amplitudes in bosonic string theory. The on-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory is obtained by integrating an appropriate $6g-6+2n$ real dimensional differential form over the moduli space of Riemann surfaces denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. This differential form on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is constructed by computing the correlator of vertex operators having conformal dimension (1,1) that corresponds to the external states satisfying the tree-level on shell condition. However, generic states of string theory undergo mass renormalization. States having masses different from that at tree level are mapped to vertex operators having conformal dimension different from $(1,1)$. Therefore, for generic states in string theory on-shell amplitudes defined using vertex operators having conformal dimension (1,1) do not compute S-matrix elements beyond tree level. This forces us to consider the off-shell amplitudes constructed using vertex operators of arbitrary conformal dimensions.

2.1 The world-sheet theory

Bosonic string theory is formulated in terms a conformal field theory (CFT) defined on a Riemann surface. This conformal field theory has two sectors: matter sector and ghost sector. Matter CFT has central charge $(26,26)$ and the central charge of CFT of reparametrization ghosts is $(-26,-26)$. The ghost system is composed of the anti-commuting fields $b, c, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$. The total CFT has central charge $(0,0)$. The Hilbert space of CFT is denoted by $\mathcal{H}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_0$ a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ defined as

$$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0 \ \text{ if } \ (b_0 - \bar{b}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \ (L_0 - \bar{L}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \tag{2.30}$$

where $\bar{L}_n$ and L_n denote the total Virasoro generators in the left and the right-moving sectors of the world-sheet theory. Physical states that appear as external states in the S-matrix elements computation belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_1$ of $\mathcal{H}_0$ satisfying the condition

$$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \ \text{ if } \ |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0, \ (b_0 + \bar{b}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \ \text{ghost number}(|\Psi\rangle) = 2. \tag{2.31}$$

We shall denote the BPZ conjugate of state $|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0$ by $\langle\phi_i^c|$ satisfying

$$\langle\phi_i^c|\phi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij},\ \langle\phi_j|\phi_i^c\rangle = (-1)^{n_{\phi_i}}\delta_{ij},\ \sum_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i^c| = (-1)^{n_{\phi_i}}\sum_i |\phi_j^c\rangle\langle\phi_j| = 1, \tag{2.32}$$

where n_{ϕ_i} is the ghost number of $|\phi_i\rangle$.

2.2 General construction of the off-shell bosonic string amplitudes

The on-shell amplitudes are defined using vertex operators of conformal dimension $(1,1)$. Due to this, the on-shell amplitudes do not depend on spurious data like the choice of local coordinates on Riemann surface around the punctures at which vertex operators are inserted. This means that integration measure of an on-shell amplitude is a genuine differential form on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. But off-shell amplitudes defined using vertex operators of arbitrary conformal dimension depend on the choice of local coordinates on Riemann surface around the punctures. Therefore, we can not consider the integration measure of an off-shell amplitude as a genuine differential form on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Instead, we need to think of them as a differential form defined on a section of a larger space $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$. This space is defined as a fiber bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. The fiber direction of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ corresponds to choices of the local coordinates around the n punctures of the genus g Riemann surface. If we restrict ourselves to the states that belongs to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, then we can consider the differential form of our interest as defined on a section of space $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$. This space is smaller compared to $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$[1]. We can understand $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ as a base space of fiber bundle $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ with the fiber direction corresponds to the phases of local coordinates.

2.2.1 Tangent vectors of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$

In order to construct a differential form over a space we need to first study it's tangent space at a generic point. Since we are interested in constructing a differential form on a section of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$, we need to study the tangent space of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ associated with deformations of the punctured Riemann surface and/or the choice of local coordinates around the punctures. We shall do this using Schiffer variation [14, 28, 36, 37].

[1]We mode out the phases of local coordinates. Thus we essentially consider the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ by the group generated out of rotations of the local coordinates. Therefore, the resulting space, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$, is smaller that $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$.



Figure 1: Pair of pants decomposition of genus 2 surface with 3 punctures. P_i, denotes pair of pants with local coordinate z_i inside P_i for $i = 1, \cdots, 5$. D_i denote the disc around the i^{th} puncture having unit radius with respect to the local coordinate w_i defined around the i^{th} puncture for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

To elucidate the idea of Schiffer variation consider a Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{P}_{g,n}$. This means that $\mathcal{R}$ is a genus g Riemann surface with n punctures and a specific choice of local coordinates around the punctures. We shall denote the local coordinate around the i^{th} puncture by w_i and disc around i^{th} puncture $|w_i| < 1$ by D_i for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Consider a pair of pants decomposition of $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$ by choosing $3g-3+n$ homotopically non-trivial disjoint curves on it. This gives $2g-2+n$ number of pair of pants denoted by $P_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, 2g-2+n$, see figure 1. Denote the coordinate inside P_i by z_i. Assume that i^{th} disc D_i shares it's boundary $|w_i| = 1$ with j^{th} pair of pants P_j and k^{th} pair of pants P_k shares a boundary with m^{th} pair of pants P_m. Then we have the following transition functions

$$\begin{aligned} z_j &= f_i(w_i),\ \text{analytic for } P_j \cap D_i,\ \text{can have singularities elsewhere,} \\ z_k &= f_{km}(z_m),\ \text{analytic for } P_k \cap P_m,\ \text{can have singularities elsewhere.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Schiffer variation generate all deformations of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ by varying the transition function associated with disc around the punctures $f_i(w_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, n$ by keeping all other transition functions $f_{km}(z_m)$ fixed. We can generate such variations by keeping the coordinates z_k inside pair of pants $P_k,\ k = 1, \cdots, 2g-2+n$ fixed and changing the coordinates inside the discs D_i from $w_i \to w_i^\epsilon$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. This change of coordinates deform the transition function associated with the disc D_i around the i^{th} puncture as follows

$$f_i^\epsilon(w_i) = f_i(w_i) - \epsilon v^{(i)}(z_j),\ v^{(i)}(z_j) = f_i'(w_i) v^{(i)}(w_i). \tag{2.34}$$

Here we assumed that boundary of D_i is shared with the pair of pants P_j. Form of $v^{(i)}(w_i)$ can be obtained from the fact that $f_i^\epsilon(w_i^\epsilon) = z_k = f_i(w_i)$.

Then the tangent vector of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ is given by

$$\vec{v}(z) = (v^{(1)}(z), \cdots, v^{(n)}(z)). \tag{2.35}$$

where z denotes the coordinates on $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$. Behaviour of $v^{(i)}(z)$ on $\mathcal{R}$ decides the kind of deformations it induces on $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$:

- $\vec{v}(z)$ *is a null vector* : if it is holomorphic everywhere except possibly at the punctures.
- $\vec{v}(z)$ *deforms the local coordinates around punctures* : if it is holomorphic inside $D_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$ which vanishes at the punctures and it does not homomorphically extend into $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$.
- $\vec{v}(z)$ *moves the punctures* : if it is holomorphic inside $D_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$ and is non vanishing at the punctures and also it does not homomorphically extend into $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$.
- $\vec{v}(z)$ *generate deformations in the intrinsic moduli space* $\mathcal{M}_g$ *of* $\mathcal{R}$ *which is not associated with punctures* : if it has poles at one or more punctures and also it does not homomorphically extend into $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$. Set of $3g-3$ such vector fields with poles of order $q, \cdots, 3g-3$ at any of the punctures generate the complete set of deformations of $\mathcal{M}_g$.

2.2.2 Differential form on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$

Consider p tangent vectors $V_1, \cdots, V_p$ of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ and let $\vec{v}_1, \cdots, \vec{v}_p$ be the corresponding n-tuple vector fields. We can construct an operator valued p-form B_p, whose contraction with the tangent vectors $V_1, \cdots, V_p$ is given by

$$B_p[V_1, \cdots, V_p] = b(\vec{v}_1) \cdots (\vec{v}_p), \tag{2.36}$$

where $b(\vec{v})$ is defined as

$$b(\vec{v}) = \sum_i \oint dw_i v^{(i)}(w_i) b^{(i)}(w_i) + \sum_i \oint d\bar{w}_i \bar{v}^{(i)}(\bar{w}_i) \bar{b}^{(i)}(\bar{w}_i). \tag{2.37}$$

$b, \bar{b}$ denote the anti-ghost fields. We define the p-form on $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$ as

$$\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle) = (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{-(3g-3+n)}\langle\Sigma|B_p|\Phi\rangle. \tag{2.38}$$

Here $|\Phi\rangle$ is some element of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with ghost number

$$n_\Phi = p + 6 - 6g. \tag{2.39}$$

$\langle\Sigma|$ is the surface state associated with the surface $\mathcal{R}$, which describes the state that is created on the boundaries of D_i by performing a functional integral over the fields of CFT on $\mathcal{R} - \sum_i D_i$. Inner product between $\langle\Sigma|$ and a state $|\Psi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$

$$\langle\Sigma|(|\Psi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle) \tag{2.40}$$

describes the n-point correlation function on $\mathcal{R}$ with the vertex operator for $|\Psi_i\rangle$ inserted at the i^{th} puncture using the local coordinate system w_i around that puncture. It is clear that $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ is a p-form on $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$. Remember that a p-form on a space generate a number when contracted with p tangent vectors of this space and this number is anti-symmetric under the exchange of any pair of tangent vectors. Since anti-ghost fields $b, \bar{b}$ are anti-commuting $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ also has this property.

To construct a p-form on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ we need to just impose a restriction on the state $|\Phi\rangle$. Instead of allowing $|\Phi\rangle$ to be any state belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ restrict it to be an element of $\mathcal{H}_0^{\otimes n}$. We can check this claim by showing that if we choose any of the tangent vector contracted with B_p to construct $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ be that generate phase changes for the local coordinates. For this note that such a vector has components of the form $v^{(i)}(w_i) = w_i$ and $\bar{v}^{(i)}(\bar{w}_i) = -\bar{w}_i$. It is clear that such vectors do not change $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ if $|\Phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0$. This is because for $\vec{v} = (0, \cdots, w_i, \cdots, 0)$, $b(\vec{v}) = b_0^{(i)} - \bar{b}_0^{(i)}$ and $T(\vec{v}) = L_0^{(i)} - \bar{L}_0^{(i)}$, where the superscript denote that the modes b_0, L_0 and their complex conjugates are defined with respect to the local coordinates inside the i^{th} puncture. Here

$$T(\vec{v}) = \sum_i \oint dw_i v^{(i)}(w_i) T^{(i)}(w_i) + \sum_i \oint d\bar{w}_i \bar{v}^{(i)}(\bar{w}_i) \bar{T}^{(i)}(\bar{w}_i). \tag{2.41}$$

Since $(b_0 - \bar{b}_0)|\Phi\rangle = (L_0 - \bar{L}_0)|\Phi\rangle = 0$, the change in $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ due to the phase rotation of local coordinates vanishes.

2.2.3 Differential form on section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$

Now we shall discuss a general construction of tangent vectors on a section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$, where we allow both f_i and f_{km} to vary [29]. Since we are interested in a section that corresponds to choosing specific local coordinates we need to just worry about tangent vectors for the moduli space. In this case tangent vector will have $3g-3+2n$ number of components associated with $3g-3+n$ homotopically non-equivalent curves that are used for the pair of pant decomposition of surface $\mathcal{R}$ and the n circles bounding the discs $D_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$ around n punctures. These $3g-3+2n$ closed curves on $\mathcal{R}$ gives as $2g-2+2n$ coordinate patches. Let us denote the local coordinate on m^{th} patch by z_m. Denote the real coordinates of moduli space of genus g Riemann surface with n punctures by $(t^{(1)}, \cdots, t^{(6g-6+2n)})$. Assume that coordinate patches m and n have a non-empty intersection and contour C_{mn} runs between them. Change in transition function relating patches m and n, under a change in moduli is given by

$$\frac{\partial z_m}{\partial t^{(k)}}\Big|_{z_n} = v_{km}^{z_m} - \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial z_n}\Big|_t v_{kn}^{z_n} = v_{km}^{z_m} - v_{kn}^{z_m}, \tag{2.42}$$

where $v_{km}^{z_m} = \frac{dz_m}{dt^{(k)}}$. Then $3g-3+2n$ tuple vector field $\vec{v}_k = (\cdots, \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial t^{(k)}}\Big|_{z_n}, \cdots)$ corresponds to varying the moduli $t^{(k)}$ and

$$b(\vec{v}_k) = \sum_{(mn)} \oint_{C_{mn}} \Big(dz_m \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial t^k}\Big|_{z_n} b_{z_m z_m} - d\bar{z}_m \frac{\partial \bar{z}_m}{\partial t^k}\Big|_{z_n} b_{\bar{z}_m \bar{z}_m} \Big), \tag{2.43}$$

where summation over (mn) denotes the summation over $3g-3+2n$ closed curves on $\mathcal{R}$ gives as $2g-2+2n$ coordinate patches. From 2.42 we see that

$$b(\vec{v}_k) = \sum_{m=1}^{2g-2+2n} \oint_{C_m} \Big(dz_m v_{km}^{z_m} b_{z_m z_m} - d\bar{z}_m v_{km}^{\bar{z}_m} b_{\bar{z}_m \bar{z}_m} \Big). \tag{2.44}$$

Using Stoke's theorem we get

$$b(\vec{v}_k) = \int d^2z \Big(b_{zz}\mu^z_{k\bar{z}} + b_{\bar{z}\bar{z}}\mu^{\bar{z}}_{kz} \Big). \tag{2.45}$$

Here μ_k denote the Beltrami differential associated with the moduli $t^{(k)}$ which is related to the coordinate changes via the infinitesimal version of Beltrami equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\bar{z}_m}_{kz_m} &= \partial_{z_m} v^{\bar{z}_m}_{km}, \\ \mu^{z_m}_{k\bar{z}_m} &= \partial_{\bar{z}_m} v^{z_m}_{km}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

2.2.4 Gluing compatible integration cycle

Off-shell amplitudes relevant to the S-matrix element computations in bosonic string theory are constructed by integrating differential forms on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$. These forms are built using the state $|\Phi\rangle$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_1^{\otimes n}$ that represents the off-shell external states. In particular ghost number of $|\Phi\rangle$ is $2n$. So the rank of relevant differential form is $p = 6g - 6 + 2n$. This matches with the dimension of moduli space of the genus g Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with n punctures. Though the rank of $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ matches with that of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, we can not regard it as a genuine top form on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. This is because it depends on the choice of local coordinates around the punctures and is non-zero even if the tangent vectors $\vec{v}$ generate deformations of local coordinate without varying the surface $\mathcal{R}$. Therefore, we need to integrate $\Omega_p^{(g,n)}(|\Phi\rangle)$ over a section of the fiber bundle $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ whose base space is $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

The physical quantities that can be extracted from off-shell amplitudes like the renormalized masses and the S-matrix elements must be independent of the choice of the section [14]. This is true only if we impose a condition on the choice of this section, known as the requirement of gluing compatibility [12,13]. To describe this condition, consider two Riemann surfaces $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$. Surface $\mathcal{R}_1$ is a genus g_1 surface with n_1 punctures and $\mathcal{R}_2$ is a genus g_2 surface with n_2 punctures. Denote the collection of pairs of pants on $\mathcal{R}_1$ by $\{P_k^{(1)}\}$ and discs around punctures by $D_1^{(1)}, \cdots, D_{n_1}^{(1)}$. Similarly denote the collection of pairs of pants on $\mathcal{R}_2$ by $\{P_k^{(2)}\}$ and discs around punctures by $D_1^{(2)}, \cdots, D_{n_2}^{(2)}$. We can glue disc $D_i^{(1)}$ and $D_j^{(2)}$ using the plumbing fixture relation:

$$w_i^{(1)} w_j^{(2)} = e^{-s+\mathrm{i}\theta}, \qquad 0 \le s < \infty, \quad 0 \le \theta < 2\pi \tag{2.47}$$

This will produce another surface $\mathcal{R}$ having genus $g = g_1 + g_2$ and $n = n_1 + n_2 - 2$ punctures. Surfaces that can be constructed this way occupy a boundary region of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. This part of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ can be parametrized by the coordinates of $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1}$, $\mathcal{M}_{g_2,n_2}$ and (s,θ). Gluing compatibility condition requires that the section in fiber bundle $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$ over this region of moduli space be chosen such that the relationship between coordinates of $\{P_k^{(1)}\}$ and $D_1^{(1)}, \cdots, \cancel{D_i^{(1)}}, \cdots, D_{n_1}^{(1)}$ depend only on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1}$ and not on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g_2,n_2}$ and (s,θ). Similarly the relation between coordinates of $\{P_k^{(2)}\}$ and $D_1^{(2)}, \cdots, \cancel{D_j^{(2)}}, \cdots, D_{n_2}^{(2)}$ depend only on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g_2,n_2}$ and not on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1}$ and (s,θ). Also the dependence of these relations on the moduli of $\mathcal{M}_{g_l,n_l}$ must be the one induced from the choice of of the section $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g_l,n_l}$ for $l = 1, 2$. Therefore, we can say that the requirement of gluing compatibility is equivalent of the following two conditions:

- The choice of local coordinates around the punctures of the two pieces, namely $\mathcal{M}_{g_1,n_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{g_2,n_2}$, are such that the relations between the local coordinates on each piece are independent of the local coordinates of the other piece and the parameters (s,θ) used for plumbing fixture of the two pieces in (2.47);

- The choice of local coordinate in each of the two pieces is induced from the choice of an appropriate section $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g_i,n_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ over the moduli space of each piece. In other words, on the regions of the moduli space that we can use (2.47) to construct the surface, we have:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g_1,n_1} \bigoplus \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g_2,n_2} \bigoplus \hat{\mathcal{P}}(s,\theta) \tag{2.48}$$

  In which $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(s,\theta)$ is the space of possible local coordinates up to phase factor on the plumbing tube.

3 Explicit construction of the bosonic string amplitudes

In this section we shall explicitly construct the off-shell amplitudes in bosonic string theory using hyperbolic Riemann surfaces following the general construction described in section 2. For a quick introduction into the theory of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and their moduli space see appendix A.

3.1 Gluing compatible section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$

The striking feature of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces is the fact that Riemann surfaces with nodes obtained by the plumbing fixture of hyperbolic surfaces are again hyperbolic. This suggests that if we choose the local coordinates around the punctures as that induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface, at least on the degeneration locus where the plumbing parameter vanishes, this choice will match with local coordinates that is induced from the component surfaces. This is an essential constraint satisfied by a gluing compatible section on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$. We shall argue in the rest of this section that away from the degeneration locus also we can define a consistent choice of local coordinate that leads to a gluing compatible section on $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$.

A hyperbolic Riemann surface can be represented as a quotient of the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ by a Fuchsian group. Puncture on a hyperbolic Riemann surface corresponds to the fixed point of a parabolic element of the Fuchsian group acting on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. For a puncture p, there is a natural local conformal coordinate w with $w(p) = 0$ and the metric is locally given by

$$ds^2 = \Big(\frac{|dw|}{|w|\ln|w|}\Big)^2. \tag{3.49}$$

Let z be the coordinate on the upper half-plane. Then the distinguished local coordinate is given as

$$w = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} z} \tag{3.50}$$

for the puncture that corresponding parabolic element whose fixed point is at infinity $z_\infty = i\infty$ on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. As required this choice of local coordinate is invariant under the translation, $z \to z + 1$, which represents the action of the generator of the corresponding parabolic element. In terms of coordinate z, the metric around the puncture takes the form

$$ds^2 = \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{(\mathrm{Im} z)^2}, \tag{3.51}$$

which is the hyperbolic metric for the Poincaré upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$, as it should be. Local canonical coordinate around the puncture w given by 3.50 is unique modulo a phase factor. If the fixed point of the parabolic element corresponding to the cusp is at a finite point x on the



Figure 2: Plumbing fixture of two surfaces at punctures p and q.

real axis of upper-half plane, then the local coordinate is given by

$$w = e^{-\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{z-x}}. \tag{3.52}$$

Before using the proposed choice of local coordinates for constructing the off-shell amplitude it is important to ensure that they satisfies the gluing compatibility requirement. For this we should first answer the following questions:

- What are the Fenchel-Nielsen length parameters of the surface obtained via plumbing fixture of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces?
- What are the local coordinates induced around punctures on the surface obtained via plumbing fixture of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces?

To this purpose, let us analyze the hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surfaces obtained via plumbing fixture of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

3.1.1 Plumbing fixture and hyperbolic Riemann surfaces

The degenerating families of Riemann surfaces are readily given by cut and paste constructions in hyperbolic geometry, following Fenchel and Nielsen. Below we shall discuss the relation between cut and paste construction of degenerating families of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and plumbing fixture construction of degenerating families of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

Plumbing fixture construction : Consider the compactification divisor $\mathcal{D}$ of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$, Deligne-Mumford stable curve compactification $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of the moduli space of Riemann surface [39]. A point of $\mathcal{D}$ represents a Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with nodes. By definition, a neighbourhood of a

node p of $\mathcal{R}$ is complex isomorphic to either $\{|w^{(1)}| < \epsilon\}$ or

$$U = \{w^{(1)}w^{(2)} = 0|\ |w^{(1)}|, |w^{(2)}| < \epsilon\}, \tag{3.53}$$

where $w^{(1)}$ and $w^{(2)}$ are the local coordinates around the two sides of the node p. To move away from the compactification divisor, identify U with the 0-fibre of a family

$$\{w^{(1)}w^{(2)} = t|\ |w^{(1)}|, |w^{(2)}| < \epsilon, |t| < \epsilon\}. \tag{3.54}$$

A deformation of $\mathcal{R} \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ which opens the node is given by varying the parameter t.

Consider a Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_0$ with m nodes. Denote by $p_1, \cdots, p_m$ the nodes of $\mathcal{R}_0 \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$. For the node p_i, punctures a_i and b_i of $\mathcal{R}_0 - \{p_1, \cdots, p_m\}$ are paired. Let

$$U_i^1 = \{|w_i^1| < 1\},\ U_i^2 = \{|w_i^2| < 1\};\ i = 1, \cdots, m \tag{3.55}$$

be disjoint neighbourhoods of the punctures a_i and b_i respectively. Here $w_i^{(1)} : U_i^1 \to U$ and $w_i^{(2)} : U_i^2 \to U$ with $w_i^{(1)}(a_i) = 0$ and $w_i^{(2)}(b_i) = 0$ are the local coordinate around the two sides of the neighbourhood U of the node p_i. Let us consider an open set $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{R}_0$ disjoint from the set U_i^1, U_i^2 which support Beltrami differentials μ_j that can span the tangent space of the Teichmüller space of $\mathcal{R}_0 - \{p_1, \cdots, p_m\}$ (dimension is $3g - 3 - m$). Given

$$s = (s_1, \cdots, s_{3g-3-m}) \in \mathbb{C}^{3g-3-m}$$

for a neighbourhood of the origin, the sum $\mu(s) = \sum_j s_j \mu_j$ is a solution $\omega^{\mu(s)}$ of the Beltrami equation. Assume that surface $\omega^{\mu(s)}(\mathcal{R}_0) = \mathcal{R}_s$ is a quasiconformal deformation of $\mathcal{R}_0$. Then we shall parametrize the opening of the nodes as follows. The map $\omega^{\mu(s)}$ is conformal on U_i^1 and U_i^2 and therefore $w_i^{(1)}$ and $w_i^{(2)}$ also serves as local coordinates for $\omega^{\mu(s)}(U_i^1),\ \omega^{\mu(s)}(U_i^2) \subset \omega^{\mu(s)}(\mathcal{R}_0)$. Given

$$t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m,\ |t_i| < 1$$

we construct the surface $\mathcal{R}_{t,s}$ as follows. Remove the discs $\{0 < |w_i^{(1)}| \leq |t_i|\}$ and $\{0 < |w_i^{(2)}| \leq$



Figure 3: Two annuli having inner radius $|t|$ and outer radius 1 obtained by removing a disc of radius $|t|$ from D_1 and D_2 where t is a complex parameter.

$|t_i|\}$ from $\mathcal{R}_s$, see figure 3. Attach $\{|t_i| < |w_i^{(1)}| < 1\}$ to $\{|t_i| < |w_i^{(2)}| < 1\}$ by identifying $w_i^{(1)}$ and $\frac{t_i}{w_i^{(2)}}$. The construction is complex; the tuple (t, s) parametrizing $\mathcal{R}_{t,s}$ provides a local complex coordinate chart $\mathcal{C}$.

Plumbing fixture of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces : Now we shall try to understand plumbing fixture for hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. For this purpose let us introduce the notion of a collar. For a geodesic α on the hyperbolic surface $\mathcal{R}$ of length l_α, an area $2l_\alpha \cot\frac{l_\alpha}{2}$ neighbourhood is called as the collar around the geodesic α. The standard collar around the geodesic α is the collection of points p whose hyperbolic distance from the geodesic l_α is less than $w(\alpha)$ given by

$$\sinh w(\alpha) \sinh \frac{l_\alpha}{2} = 1. \tag{3.56}$$

The standard the collar is described as a quotient of the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. To describe this quotient space, consider the deck transformation $z \to e^{l_\alpha} z$. The matrix in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ associated with this transformation is given by:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{l_\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{l_\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.57}$$

It is clear from this form that it generates a cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. We shall denote this subgroup by Γ_{l_α}. To find the quotient space, we must specify a fundamental domain for the action of Γ_{l_α} on $\mathbb{H}$. The fundamental domain is the given by a strip in $\mathbb{H}$, see figure (4). If we



Figure 4: Fundamental domain of collar is the region inside the annulus and wedge.

quotient $\mathbb{H}$ with $z \to e^{l_\alpha} z$ relation, we identify the two sides of the strip. This gives a cylinder which is topologically an annulus. The quotient induces a hyperbolic structure on this annulus from $\mathbb{H}$. The core geodesic of this hyperbolic annulus has hyperbolic length l_α. Then standard collar is approximately the Γ_{l_α} quotient of the wedge $\{\frac{l_\alpha}{2} < \arg z < \pi - \frac{l_\alpha}{2}\}$, see figure (4).

The standard collar or hyperbolic annulus can be constructed via the plumbing fixture of two discs $D_1 = \{|z| < 1\}$ and $D_2 = \{|w| < 1\}$ and by endowing a hyperbolic metric on it. The plumbing fixture locus

$$\mathcal{F} = \{zw = t \Big| \ |z|, |w|, |t| < 1\}, \tag{3.58}$$

is a complex manifold fibered over the disk $D = \{|t| < 1\}$. The $t \neq 0$ fibres are the annuli $\{|t| < |z| < 1\}$ with complete hyperbolic metric,

$$ds_t^2 = \Big(\frac{\pi}{\ln|t|}\csc\Big(\pi\frac{\ln|z|}{\ln|t|}\Big)\Big|\frac{dz}{z}\Big|\Big)^2, \tag{3.59}$$

where csc is the abbreviation of cosecant. The $t = 0$ fibre is singular and is the union of the discs D_1 and D_2 joined at the origin. To obtain a hyperbolic metric, we need to remove the origin from D_1 and D_2, then each punctured disc has a complete hyperbolic metric,

$$ds_0^2 = \Big(\frac{|dz|}{|z|\ln|z|}\Big)^2 \text{ on } \{0 < |z| < 1\} \cup \{0 < |w| < 1\}. \tag{3.60}$$

For small $|t|$ we have the following expansion of hyperbolic metric on the punctured disc

$$ds_t^2 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\Theta^2 + \frac{1}{15}\Theta^4 + \cdots\right) ds_0^2 \text{ on } \{|t| < |z| < 1\} \tag{3.61}$$

where $\Theta = \pi\frac{\ln|z|}{\ln|t|}$. It is clear form this expansion that limit $ds_t^2 \to ds_0^2$ is real analytic in $(\ln\frac{1}{|t|})^{-1}$ and uniform for $|z|, |w| > \epsilon$. Closed geodesic in the t-fibre is $|z| = |t|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and has length

$$l = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\ln|t|}. \tag{3.62}$$

It is known that there exists a positive constant c_* such that if the length l of a geodesic γ on a hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ is less than or equal to c_*, then the standard collar embeds isometrically about γ [47, 48]. This constant c_* is known as the collar constant. We shall call geodesics of length at most c_* as *short geodesics*. Therefore, whenever the length of the simple geodesic with respect which we can perform the cut and paste construction of Fenchel-Nielsen becomes less than the collar constant, we can replace collar around this short geodesic with a domain of the hyperbolic annulus. Then we can interpret this collar as a plumbing collar. This allows as to find the needed bridge between plumbing fixture construction and the cut and paste construction.

The disc $D = \{|t| < 1\}$ can be thought of as the moduli space for the Hyperbolic annulus. The Riemannian Weil-Petersson metric on D [38] is given by

$$ds_{WP}^2 = -\frac{2\pi^3|dt|^2}{|t|^2(\ln|t|)^3}. \tag{3.63}$$

We can identify the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates (ℓ, τ) for the moduli space of hyperbolic annulus as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= -\frac{2\pi^2}{\ln|t|} \\ \frac{2\pi\tau}{\ell} &= \arg t = \mathrm{Im}(\ln t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

If we use this form, then (3.63) can be written as $d\ell \wedge d\tau$. This perfectly agrees with the Wolpert's formula for the WP metric on the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces

obtained via WP symplectic form on moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces [46].

This identification can be generalized to the case of a hyperbolic surface with m disjoint short geodesics. The collar neighbourhood of each short geodesic can be interpreted as a plumbing collar. Given an $\epsilon > 0$, on the plumbing collar we have the following estimate for the length l_i of the geodesic, homotopic to the core of the t_i annulus [38],

$$\Big|\frac{2\pi^2}{l_i} - \ln\frac{1}{|t_i|}\Big| < \epsilon,\ i = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.65}$$

Also the hyperbolic metric of $\mathcal{R}_{t,s}$ on each of the t_i-annulus is asymptotic to the degeneration fixture. Therefore, we see that the degeneration of a hyperbolic metric is associated to the formation of wide collars about short geodesics ($l \leq c_*$).

From the above discussion, we tempt to claim that hyperbolic Riemann surface near the boundary can be understood as surface obtained by the plumbing fixture of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. To confirm this claim, we must be able to determine the hyperbolic metric on the plumbing family of the Riemann surfaces obtained via plumbing fixture. We shall describe below that, in the simplest case, the plumbing fixture of two hyperbolic Riemann surfaces connected by a hyperbolic annulus generates a new Riemann surface with a metric that is hyperbolic almost all regions in the Riemann surface, but this metric is not hyperbolic all over the surface.

3.1.2 Hyperbolic metric on plumbing family

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_t$, for $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m)$ obtained via plumbing fixture around m nodes of a hyperbolic surface $\mathcal{R}_{t=0} \equiv \mathcal{R}_0$ with m nodes. Let $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0 = \mathcal{R}_0 - \{\text{nodes}\}$. $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ has a pair of punctures (a_j, b_j) in place of the j^{th} node of $\mathcal{R}_0,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$. Local coordinates are assumed to be $w_j^{(1)}$ and $w_j^{(2)}$ around the punctures a_j and b_j with the property that $w_j^{(1)}(a_j) = 0$ and $w_j^{(2)}(b_j) = 0$. It is possible to find the hyperbolic metric on the plumbing family as an expansion in t [40–42].

We start by choosing $w_j^{(1)}$ and $w_j^{(2)}$ such that the $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ hyperbolic metric has the local expression

$$ds^2 = \Big(\frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta|\ln\,|\zeta|}\Big)^2,\ \zeta = w_j^{(1)},\ \zeta = w_j^{(2)}, \tag{3.66}$$

ζ small, at the punctures. As the first approximation to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$, for $t \neq 0$



Figure 5: Grafted metric on surface obtained via plumbing fixture.

take the t_j-fiber metric of the hyperbolic annulus:

$$ds_t^2 = \left(\frac{\pi}{\ln|t_j|}\csc\frac{\pi\ln|\zeta|}{\ln|t_j|}\left|\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}\right|\right)^2, \quad \zeta = w_j^{(1)} \text{ or } \zeta = w_j^{(2)}, \tag{3.67}$$

in the j^{th} collar of $\mathcal{R}_t$. The hyperbolic metric away from the collars can be taken to be the hyperbolic metric on $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_0$. The metric on the j^{th} collar is taken to be of the form (3.67). These two choices must join smoothly at the two boundaries of a collar. We interpolate between the two choices at the boundaries of a collar. The result is a grafted metric ds^2_{graft} for $\mathcal{R}_t$, see figure 5. The grafted curvature is -1 except at the collar boundaries, where the interpolation leads to a deviation of magnitude $(\ln|t|)^{-2}$. This deviation makes the resulting surface almost hyperbolic except at the boundaries of the collar.

However, we must have a complete hyperbolic metric to claim that the natural local coordinates induced from the hyperbolic metric as gluing compatible choice of local coordinate. This difficulty can be circumvented using *the curvature correction equation* [40,41]. To describe the curvature correction equation, consider a compact Riemann surface having metric ds^2 with Gauss curvature [2] $\mathbf{C}$. Then another metric $e^{2f}ds^2$ on this surface has constant curvature -1 provided

$$Df - e^{2f} = \mathbf{C}. \tag{3.68}$$

[2]In two dimension, the Gaussian curvature is half of the Ricci curvature of the surface.

where D is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the surface. Therefore, in order to get the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$, we need to solve this curvature correction equation perturbatively around the grafted metric by adding a compensating factor.

Let us introduce a positive constant b_* less than one and a negative constant a_0 for specifying the overlap of coordinate charts and to define a collar in each $\mathcal{R}_t$. We shall The grafted metric ds^2_{graft} on $\mathcal{R}_t$ is the following:

- In the region complement to the region in $\mathcal{R}_t$ described by the fiberation

$$\mathcal{F}_{b_*} = \{(w_i^{(1)}, w_i^{(2)}, t_i) |\ w_i^{(1)} w_i^{(2)} = t_i, |w_i^{(1)}|, |w_i^{(2)}| < b_*;\ i = 1, \cdots, m\} \tag{3.69}$$

  use the metric ds^2 on $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ restricted to $\mathcal{R}^*_{b_*}$. The surface $\mathcal{R}^*_{b_*}$ is obtained rom $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ by removing the punctured discs $\{0 < |w_i^{(1)}| \leq b_*\}$ about a_i and $\{0 < |w_i^{(2)}| \leq b_*\}$ about b_i for $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

- In the region in plumbing collar $\mathcal{F}_{b_*}$ that is complement to the collar bands described by the fiberation

$$e^{a_0} b_* \leq |w_i^{(1)}| \leq b_*,\ e^{a_0} b_* \leq |w_i^{(2)}| \leq b_*;\ i = 1, \cdots, m \tag{3.70}$$

  use the hyperbolic metric ds^2_t 3.67.

- In the collar bands $\{e^{a_0} b_* \leq |w_i^{(j)}| \leq b_*\}$ use the geometric-interpolation of the two metrics ds^2 and ds^2_t given by

$$ds^2_{graft} = (ds^2)^{1-\eta} (ds^2_t)^{\eta} \text{ with } \eta = \eta(\ln(|w^{(j)}|/b_*)), \tag{3.71}$$

  for $j = 1, 2$. Here $\eta(a)$, a smooth function, identically unity for $a \leq a_0 < 0$ and identically zero for $a \geq 0$.

3.1.3 Leading order hyperbolic metric

Let us discuss the leading correction to the grafted metric needed for making it a hyperbolic metric on the plumbing family [41]. For a Fuchsian group Γ, with the stabilizer of infinity $\Gamma_\infty($

i.e. the group generated by the transformation $z \to z+1$), consider the relative Poincaré series

$$E(z;2) = \sum_{A \in \Gamma/\Gamma_\infty} (\mathrm{Im}A(z))^2, \tag{3.72}$$

where z is the $\mathbb{H}$ coordinate. The function $(\mathrm{Im}z)^2$ on $\mathbb{H}$ is an eigenfunction of the hyperbolic laplacian with eigenvalue 2. This seres, known as the Eisenstein series, converges locally uniformly on $\mathbb{H}$. It has the expansion

$$E(z;2) = (\mathrm{Im}z)^2 + \hat{e}(z) \tag{3.73}$$

with $\hat{e}(z)$ bounded as $O((\mathrm{Im}z)^{-1})$ for $\mathrm{Im}z$ large. The quotient space $\{\mathrm{Im}(z) > 1\}/\Gamma_\infty$ embeds in $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$. This region in the upper half plane that corresponds to the hyperbolic area 1 neighbourhood of the puncture associated with Γ_∞ on hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ is known as the cusp region for this puncture in $\mathbb{H}$. Cusp regions for distinct punctures are disjoint.

Consider a special truncation of the Eisenstein series for given choice of η and the parameters b_*, a_0 and t.

Truncated Eisenstein series: *The special truncation $E^\#$ of the Eisenstein series is given by the following modification in the cusp regions:*

- *In the cusp region associated with the puncture that is used for the plumbing fixture, perform the for* $\mathrm{Im}z > 1$*, define*

$$E^\#(z;2) = [1 - \eta(-2\pi\mathrm{Im}z - \ln\, b_*)](\mathrm{Im}z)^2 + [1 - \eta(-2\pi\mathrm{Im}z + \ln(b_*/|t|) + a_0)]\hat{e}(z). \tag{3.74}$$

- *In the cusp region associated with other punctures for* $\mathrm{Im}z > 1$*, define*

$$E^\#(z;2) = [1 - \eta(-2\pi\mathrm{Im}z + \ln(b_*/|t|) + a_0)]E(z;2). \tag{3.75}$$

The surface $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ is a finite union of Riemann surfaces with punctures. Let E be the Eisenstein series associated with a puncture p. Consider the family $\{\mathcal{R}_t\}$ given by plumbing m pairs of

cusp regions. Each surface $\mathcal{R}_t,\ t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m)$ is obtained by identifying m pairs of sub annuli for cusp regions. We shall extend the special truncation $E^{\#}$ by zero on the components of $\mathcal{R}$ not containing the puncture p. For local coordinates $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}$ on the cusp regions of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_0$ and constant $b_* < 1$ the punctured discs $\{0 < |w^{(1)}| \leq |t|/b_*\},\ \{0 < |w^{(2)}| \leq |t|/b_*\}$ are removed and the annuli $\{|t|/b_* < |w^{(1)}| \leq b_*\},\ \{|t|/b_* < |w^{(2)}| \leq b_*\}$ are identified by the rule $w^{(1)}w^{(2)} = t$ to form a collar. For $w^{(1)} = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} z},\ z \in \mathbb{H}$

- the identified annulus is covered by $\{\ln(|t|/b_*) < -2\pi \mathrm{Im} z < \ln\, b_*\}$;
- the primary collar band $\{b_* e^{a_0} \leq |w^{(1)}| \leq b_*\}$ is covered by the strip $\{\ln\, b_* + a_0 \leq -2\pi \mathrm{Im} z \leq \ln\, b_*\}$;
- the secondary collar band $\{|t|/b_* \leq |w^{(1)}| \leq |t| e^{-a_0}/b_*\}$ is covered by the strip $\{\ln\,(|t|/b_*) \leq -2\pi \mathrm{Im} z \leq \ln\, \ln(|t|/b_*) - a_0\}$.

The extended $E^{\#}$ has support in the $w^{(1)}, w^{(2)}$ cusp regions contained in $\{|w^{(1)}| \geq |t|/b_*\} \cup \{|w^{(2)}| \geq |t|/b_*\}$.

Melding $E^{\dagger}$: *We shall define the melding $E^{\dagger}$ on $\mathcal{R}_t$ of the Eisenstein series associated to be: given on each pair of identified annuli by the sum of values of $E^{\dagger}$ at $w^{(1)}$ and at $w^{(2)} = w^{(1)}/t$ on the overlap of $\{|t|/b_* < |w^{(1)}| < b_*\}$ and $\{|t|/b_* < |w^{(2)}| < b_*\}$, and equal to $E^{\#}$ on the complement of the identified annuli. The melding is a smooth function.*

As we mentioned earlier, $(\mathrm{Im}(z))^2$ is the eigenfunction of the hyperbolic Laplacian with eigenvalue 2. The contribution of the truncation of the Eisenstein series to the hyperbolic metric can be determined by analysing the quantity $(D_{\mathrm{graft}} - 2)E^{\dagger}$ on the collar in which D_{graft} is the Laplacian in the grafted metric. In the complement of the collar, the grafted metric is the hyperbolic metric and $E^{\dagger} = E$ and $(D_{\mathrm{graft}} - 2)E^{\dagger}$ becomes $(D_{\mathrm{hyp}} - 2)E$ for $D_{\mathrm{hyp}} \equiv y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)$ where $z = x + \mathrm{i}y$. By the definition of E given in (3.72), this quantity is zero. However, this quantity is non-zero on the collar and can be used to determine the contribution to the hyperbolic metric on the collar from the grafted metric defined on the collar band. It can be shown that [41]:

$$(D_{\mathrm{graft}} - 2)E^{\dagger}(z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\Lambda + \mathcal{O}\left((-\ln|t|)^{-1}\right) \tag{3.76}$$

where

$$\Lambda \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left(a^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial a}\eta\right), \quad \text{for } a \equiv \ln|w^{(1)}| \text{ or } \ln|w^{(2)}|$$

Finally we can use this result to find the relation between the hyperbolic metric on the plumbing family and the grafted metric. Assume that $t = (t_1, \cdots, t_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $|t_i| < b_*^4,\ i = 1, \cdots, m$ are the plumbing fixture parameters for a surface $\mathcal{R}_t$. For $|t_i| < b_*^4$ the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_t$ is not dependent on the parameter b_*. To find the degenerate expansion of the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$, one should use curvature correction equation (3.68). The approach of curvature correction equation asserts that the hyperbolic metric on the degenerate surface $\mathcal{R}_t$ has the following expansion [40, 41]:

$$ds^2_{\text{hyp}} = ds^2_{graft}\left(1 + 2(D_{\text{graft}} - 2)^{-1}(1 + \mathbf{C}_{\text{graft}}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\| 1 + \mathbf{C}_{\text{graft}} \|\right)^2\right) \tag{3.77}$$

In which $\|\cdot\|$ is an appropriate norm and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{graft}}$ is the Gaussian curvature of the grafted metric and is given by [40]:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{graft}} = -1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{6}\Lambda + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4), \quad \epsilon \equiv \frac{\pi}{\ln|t|} \tag{3.78}$$

where Λ is given in (3.76).

Using this expansion, the degenerate expansion for the hyperbolic metric of $\mathcal{R}_t$ in terms of the grafted metric is given by the following expansion due to Obitsu and Wolpert (theorem 4 of [41]):

Expansion for the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$: *Given a choice of $b_* < 1$ and a cut-off function η , then for all small t the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp} of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_t$, obtained by the plumbing fixture of the m pairs of annuli, has the following expansion*:

$$ds^2_{hyp} = ds^2_{graft}\left\{1 + \frac{4\pi^4}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\ln|t_i|)^{-2}(E^{\dagger}_{i,1} + E^{\dagger}_{i,2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}(\ln|t_i|)^{-3}\right)\right\} \tag{3.79}$$

The functions $E^{\dagger}_{i,1}$ and $E^{\dagger}_{i,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(\cdot; 2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the i^{th} collar.

This exapnsion for the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}_t$ can be written in terms of the i^{th} collar

geodesic $l_i = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\ln|t|} + \mathcal{O}\left((\ln|t|)^{-2}\right)$ computed in ds_t^2 metric:

$$ds^2_{hyp} = ds^2_{graft}(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{l_i^2}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{i,1} + E^{\dagger}_{i,2}) + \mathcal{O}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i^3)) \tag{3.80}$$

We can use this metric expansion to compute the lengths of following simple geodesics on $\mathcal{R}_t$:

- The hyperbolic length of the geodesic in the i^{th} plumbing collar is given by

$$l_i^{(\text{hyp})} = -\frac{2\pi}{\ln|t|}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left((-\ln|t|)^{-2}\right)\right) = l_i + \mathcal{O}\left((l_i)^3\right) \tag{3.81}$$

  This formula shows that there is no first order correction i.e. terms of order l_i^2 to the length of i^{th} collar geodesic.

- The length of a simple closed geodesic α, disjoint from the plumbing collars is given by

$$l_\alpha(\{l_i\}) = l_\alpha(\{0\}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{l_i^2}{6} \int_\alpha ds\,(E^{\dagger}_{i,1} + E^{\dagger}_{i,2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i^3\right) \tag{3.82}$$

  In this formula, $l_\alpha(\{l_i\})$ is the length of α when the value of the core geodesic of the i^{th} collar is l_i computed in the ds_t^2 metric which is given by $l_i = -\frac{2\pi^2}{\ln|t|}$ and $l_\alpha(0)$ means the length of α when the lengths of all plumbing collars are zero. Away from the collars, $E^{\dagger}_{i,1}(z,2) = E_{i,1}(z,2)$, so we can write:

$$l_\alpha(\{l_i\}) = l_\alpha(\{0\}) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{l_i^2}{6} \int_\alpha ds\,(E_{i,1} + E_{i,2}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i^3\right) \tag{3.83}$$

3.1.4 1PR region and gluing compatible choice of local coordinates

Now we are in a position to check the validity of the proposed choice of local coordinates. We proposed that for a puncture p the local conformal coordinate w, with $w(p) = 0$, is induced from the hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surface. In terms of the local coordinate w, metric around the puncture becomes $ds^2 = \left(\frac{|dw|}{|w|\ln|w|}\right)^2$. It is clear from the hyperbolic metric 3.80 on plumbing family that such a choice of coordinate is valid only to the first order in the

hyperbolic length of the geodesics on the plumbing collar. Beacuse the choice of coordinates in each component of the surface in 1PR region must be independent of plumbing moduli parameters and moduli parameters of rest of the components. As a result, if the plumbing collar carry a geodesic of finite hyperbolic length, then the local coordinate induced around the punctures on the surface obtained via plumbing fixture deviates from the proposed local coordinates. This in particular means that our choice of local coordinates do not provide a gluing compatible section of $\mathcal{P}_{g,n}$.

Now we shall explain a systematic procedure for correcting the choice of local coordinates to make it gluing compatible. Gluing compatibility condition require choosing local coordinates induced from component surfaces on parts of the moduli space of hyperbolic surfaces that can be constructed via plumbing fixture. For this, we need to first define the part of the moduli space that can be constructed using the plumbing fixture construction by gluing two or more hyperbolic Riemann surafces. We shall define this by imposing a restriction on the hyperbolic length of the core geodesic on the plumbing collar measured with respect to the metric on hyperbolic annulus.

The 1PI and 1PR regions in the moduli space: *We define the region of the moduli space that can be constructed via plumbing fixture of two or more Riemann surfaces using hyperbolic annuli with core geodesics having hyperbolic length less than c_* as one particle reducible (1PR) region of the moduli space. Region inside moduli space that is complement to 1PR region is defined as the 1PI region of moduli space. We assume that c_* is a small constant which is much less than the hyperbolic length of any geodesic on the surface that is homotopically inequivalent to the core geodesic on the plumbing collar.*

In terms of plumbing fixture fiber, corresponds to m plumbing collars, we can state this as follows. All Riemann surfaces constructed by gluing hyperbolic Riemann surfaces using set of m plumbing collars as given below forms the 1PR region inside the moduli space:

$$\{w_i^{(1)}w_i^{(2)} = t_i \mid |t_i| \leq |w_i^{(1)}|, |w_i^{(2)}| < 1,\ |t_i| < e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{c_*}},\ i = 1, \cdots, m\}. \tag{3.84}$$

Let us rescale the local coordinates $w_i^{(1)}, w_i^{(2)}$ as follows and declare the rescaled coordinates as the new local coordinates

$$\tilde{w}_i^{(1)} = e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i^{(1)} \quad \tilde{w}_i^{(2)} = e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i^{(2)},\ i = 1, \cdots, m. \tag{3.85}$$

In terms of new local coordinates the plumbing fixture fiber defining the 1PR region read as

$$\{\tilde{w}_i^{(1)}\tilde{w}_i^{(2)} = \tilde{t}_i \mid |\tilde{t}_i| \leq |\tilde{w}_i^{(1)}|, |\tilde{w}_i^{(2)}| < e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}},\ |\tilde{t}_i| < 1,\ i = 1, \cdots, m\}. \tag{3.86}$$

We need to rephrase this definition of 1PR region of moduli space in terms of hyperbolic lengths of geodesics on plumbing collar with respect to the hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surface. For this we just have to compute the length of the geodesic on Riemann surface that corresponds to the core geodesic on the plumbing collar that has hyperbolic length c_*. This require finding the solution of equation 3.68 as a perturbative expansion in c_*. To zeroth order we can approximate the hyperbolic metric on the surface near degeneration with the grafted metric described in section 3.1.2. As a result, *as the first approximation, we can define the part of the moduli space where any of the homotopically nontrivial geodesic has hyperbolic length greater than c_* as the 1PI region of the moduli space. We declare $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i$ as the local coordinate around i^{th} punctures, where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric around the i^{th} puncture which vanishes at the puncture.*

To second order, there is no correction to the degenerating geodesic on the plumbing collar. Therefore, to second order in c_*, we can define the 1PI region again as the part of moduli space where any of the homotopically nontrivial geodesic, having the property that cutting along them will split the surface into two separate surfaces, has hyperbolic length greater than c_*. Assume that we are interested in neighbourhood of the boundary of the moduli space corresponding to m degenerating collars. Cutting along any of these collars will separate the surface into two distinct Riemann surfaces. To first order, there is no correction to metric on the plumbing fixture surface in order to make it a hyperbolic metric. From second order onwards there is correction and is described in terms of the truncated Eisenstein series associated with the pair of cusps plumbed to form the collar 3.80.Since there is a modification to the metric we need to modify the choice of local coordinates around the punctures to make it gluing compatible to second order in c_* as follows.

- For $l_j \geq (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$, inside 1PI region of moduli space, choose $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i$ as the local coordinate around i^{th} punctures, where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric which take the following form around the punctures

$$ds^2_{hyp} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2 \tag{3.87}$$

around the i^{th} puncture. Here ϵ is an arbitrary constant value less than one.

- Inside 1PR region denoted by $l_j < c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ choose the local coordinates around the punctures to $e^{\frac{\pi}{c_*}}\tilde{w}_i$ where $\tilde{w}_i$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric

$$ds^2_{graft} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{l_j^2}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{j,1} + E^{\dagger}_{j,2})\Big) \tag{3.88}$$

- Near the boundary of the 1PI region of the moduli space choose an annular region $c_* \leq l_j < (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ inside the 1PI region. Inside this annular regions change the local coordinates around the punctures to $e^{\frac{\pi}{c_*}}\hat{w}_i$. Here $\hat{w}_i$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric interpolating the metric in the region complement to the annular region $c_* \leq l_j < (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ given by

$$ds^2_{inter} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{((1+\epsilon)c_* - l_j)^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^{\dagger}_{j,1} + E^{\dagger}_{j,2})\Big) \tag{3.89}$$

  around the i^{th} puncture, where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the metric on the surface that is hyperbolic everywhere. $E^{\dagger}_{j,1}$ and $E^{\dagger}_{j,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(.;2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the j^{th} collar.

We can generalize the procedure to higher order in c_*, say to the n^{th} order in c_*. *The idea behind choosing the local coordinates around the punctures is that it should be same as the coordinate induced from the grafting metric inside the 1PR region of the moduli space.* Therefore, we need to find the explicit solution for curvature correction equation 3.80 to n^{th} order in c_*. This will relate the hyperbolic metric with grafting metric that is valid up to n^{th} order in c_*. Beyond second order we need to correct both the definition of 1PR region and choice of local coordinates to make it gluing compatible, since the length of the core geodesic can also receive correction beyond the second order. The algorithm for finding a gluing compatible choice of local coordinate around the punctures up to $c_*^n,\ n \geq 3$ is as follows:

- Solve of the curvature corrections equation (3.68) to order n in c_*. Using this solution we can find the correction to the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp} to order n from (3.77).
- Compute the correction to the lengths of the collars using the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp} expressed in terms of grafted metric.

- Define the the 1PI region inside the moduli space as the hyperbolic surfaces with no simple geodesics having length less than C_*, where C_* is the length of the geodesic computed using the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp} on the plumbing collar of Riemann surface obtained by gluing hyperbolic surfaces using a hyperbolic annulus having geodesic length c_*. The 1PR region inside the moduli space is the region inside the moduli space that is obtained by gluing the Riemann surfaces belongs to the 1PI region via plumbing fixture.

- Define the local coordinates on Riemann surfaces inside the 1PR regions as $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{C_*}}\tilde{w}_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$, where $\tilde{w}_i$ is natural local coordinate around the punctures induced from the grafted metric, represented in terms of the hyperbolic metric.

- In order to define the local coordinates around the punctures on the Riemann surfaces inside the 1PI region, divide the 1PI region into two, annular band near the boundary of the moduli space and region inside the 1PI region that is complement to the annular band. Near the boundary of the moduli space that corresponds to degeneration of m disjoint nontrivial simple closed curves, define this annular band as region where the length of the geodesics on the plumbing collars take value between C_* and $(1+\epsilon)C_*$. On the Riemann surfaces belongs to the the region inside the 1PI region that is complement to the annular band, choose local coordinates around the punctures as $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{C_*}}w_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$, where w_i is the natural local coordinates induced from the hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surface. On the Riemann surfaces that belongs to the annular band choose local coordinate $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{C_*}}\hat{w}_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$, where $\hat{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinates induced from the metric on the Riemann surface that interpolate between the grafted metric on the Riemann surface that belongs to the boundary of 1PI region and and hyperbolic metric on the Riemann surface that belongs to the outer boundary of the annular band.

Note that choosing different interpolating metrics and various values for the parameter c_* and ϵ give different choices of gluing compatible local coordinates. It is shown in [12–14] that all such choices of local coordinates give same value for the measurable quantities. Therefore, the arbitrary parameters c_* and ϵ will not appear in the measurable quantities as longs as we restrict them to the same order in c_* up to which we restricted the perturbative solution to the curvature correction equation.

3.2 Integration measure on the section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$

As explained in subsection 2.2.3 for writing the measure on a gluing compatible section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n}$, we need to compute the Beltrami differentials associated with the moduli parameters of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. We shall use the Fenchel-Nielsen parametrization of the Teichmller space of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces [49].

The basic idea behind the Fenchel-Nielsen parametrization is that every hyperbolic metric on a Riemann surface can be obtained by piecing together the metric from simple subdomains. The basic building block of a compact hyperbolic Riemann surface is a pair of pants. This construction is based on the following observations.

- The lengths λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 of alternating sides of a right hexagon in the hyperbolic plane can be arbitrarily prescribed in $(0, \infty)$. If the hexagon is doubled across the unlabeled sides, then we obtain a pair of pants P with geodesic boundary lengths $l_j = 2\lambda_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3$.

- Given a pair of pants P with boundaries $\alpha_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3$ and a pair of pants Q with boundaries $\beta_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3,\ P$ can be attached to Q along α_1 and β_1. The resulting surface is a geometric sum, that has a hyperbolic metric whose restriction to each piece is the original metric. Proof of this claim is based on the observation that the geometry in a tubular neighbourhood of a simple closed geodesic of length l is completely determined by l [38].

By combining $2g - 2 + n$ pairs of pants, a compact surface of genus g and n boundaries can be constructed. By varying the parameters of this construction every compact hyperbolic metric can be obtained. At each attaching site there are two parameters. At the attaching site where we glue the boundary b_1 of the pair of pants P with boundary β_1 of the pair of pants Q, these parameters are the length $l(b_1) = l(\beta_1) = \ell$ of the boundaries b_1, β_1 and the twist parameter τ. Twist parameter is defined as follows. Let p_1 be a point on boundary α_1 and point q_1 tbe a point on boundary β_1. Compared to other points on α_1 point p_1 is assumed to has the minimum hyperbolic distance from the boundary α_2. Similarly point q_1 has the minimum hyperbolic distance from the boundary β_2. Twist parameter τ is the distance between p_1 and q_1 along $\alpha_1 \sim \beta_1$. Then the parameters $(\tau_j, \ell_j),\ 1 \leq j \leq 3g - 3 + n,\ \tau_j \in \mathbb{R},\ l_j \in \mathbb{R}^+$ for a fixed identification pattern $\mathcal{P}$ (pair of pants decomposition) endows the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ of the genus-g Riemann surfaces with n boundary components with a global real-analytic

coordinates together with a natural symplectic form called WP symplectic form [46]:

$$\omega_{WP} = \sum_{i=1}^{3g-3+n} d\ell_j \wedge d\tau_j \tag{3.90}$$

Which in turn defines a Kähler metric on the Teichmüller space. The boundary components are curves with lengths $L_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$. When all $L_i = 0,\ i = 1, \cdots, n$, we have a Riemann surface with n punctures.

Assume that Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates $(\tau_i, \ell_i),\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$ are defined with respect to the pair of pants decomposition $\{C_i\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3$, where C_i denote a simple geodesic on the hyperbolic surface. For $i \neq j$, the curves C_i and C_j are disjoint and non-homotopic to each other. The tangent space at a point in the Teichmüller space is spanned by the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\ell_i}\right\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$. The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i}$ is the twist vector field t_{C_i} associated with the curve C_i. The twist field t_α, where α is a simple closed geodesic, generates a flow on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$. This flow can be understood as the operation of cutting the hyperbolic surface along α and attaching the boundaries after rotating one boundary relative to the other by some amount δ. The magnitude δ parametrizes the flow on $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$.

The universal cover of a hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ is the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ endowed with the hyperbolic metric and the uniformization group is a finitely generated Fuchsian group Γ. Assume that the simple closed geodesic α corresponds to the element

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

in Γ. Let $\langle A \rangle$ denote the infinite cyclic group generated by A. Then the Beltrami differential corresponding to the twist vector field t_α is given by [44]

$$\mathbf{t}_\alpha = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}(\mathrm{Im}z)^2\overline{\Theta}_\alpha, \tag{3.91}$$



Figure 6: Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i}$ are the twist vector fields associated with curve C_i and Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i}$ are the twist vector fields associated with curve $\tilde{C}_i$

where Θ_α is the relative Poincaré series

$$\Theta_\alpha = \sum_{B \in \langle A \rangle \backslash \Gamma} \omega_{B^{-1}AB}. \tag{3.92}$$

Here

$$\omega_A = \frac{(a+d)^2 - 4}{\Big(cz^2 + (d-a)z - b\Big)^2}, \tag{3.93}$$

and z is the coordinate on the upper half plane. Then the Beltrami differential for Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i}$ is given by $\mathbf{t}_{C_i}$.

Now we shall characterize the Beltrami differential for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial l_i}$. Let α and β be geodesics on $\mathcal{R}$. Then

$$t_\alpha l_\beta = \sum_{p \in \alpha \cap \beta} \cos \theta_p \tag{3.94}$$

where l_β is the hyperbolic length of the geodesic β and t_α is the twist vector field associated with the geodesic α [45]. Here sum is over the intersection points p of the geodesics α and β

and θ_p is the angle measured from α to β. From the above formula it is clear that $t_\alpha l_\beta = 0$, whenever the geodesics α and β are disjoint. As a result, $t_{C_i} l_i = 0$ since $\alpha \cap \beta = 0$. Then we can identify the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i}$ with $t_{\tilde{C}_i}$ where $\tilde{C}_i$ is the simple closed nontrivial curve on $\mathcal{R}$ that intersect the geodesic C_i at two points with the angle of intersection $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and disjoint from the geodesics $C_j,\ j = 1, \cdots, \not{i}, \cdots, 3g-3+n$, see figure 6. Thus we see that the Beltrami differential for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i}$ is given by $\mathbf{t}_{\tilde{C}_i}$.

Using the Beltrami differentials that represents the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_i}\right\},\ i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$ we can write the off-shell bosonic string measure as

$$\Omega^{(g,n)}_{6g-6+2n}(|\Phi\rangle) = (2\pi \mathrm{i})^{-(3g-3+n)} \langle \Sigma | B_{6g-6+2n} | \Phi \rangle, \tag{3.95}$$

where

$$B_{6g-6+2n}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{3g-3+n}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{3g-3+n}}] = b(t_{C_1}) b(t_{\tilde{C}_1}) \cdots b(t_{C_{3g-3+n}}) b(t_{\tilde{C}_{3g-3+n}}), \tag{3.96}$$

and

$$b(t_\alpha) = \int_{\mathcal{F}} d^2 z \Big(b_{zz} \mathbf{t}_\alpha + b_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \bar{\mathbf{t}}_\alpha \Big). \tag{3.97}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the fundamental domain in the upper half-plane for the action of the Fuchsian group Γ associated with the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$. State $|\Phi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and the quantity $\langle \Sigma | B_{6g-6+2n} | \Phi \rangle$ describe the n-point correlation function on $\mathcal{R}$ with the vertex operator for the state $|\Psi_i\rangle$ inserted at the i^{th} puncture using the gluing compatible local coordinate system around that punctures that described in section 3.1.4.

3.3 Integration over the moduli space

The off-shell bosonic string amplitude is obtained by integrating the off-shell bosonic string measure over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of genus g Riemann surface with n punctures. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is the quotient of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with the action of the mapping class group. Unfortunately, the explicit fundamental region for the action of the mapping class

group is not known for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for the Teichmüller space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces. The reason is that the form of the action of the mapping class group on the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates is not known explicitly [32, 33]. Therefore, integrating a mapping class group invariant function over the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces is not a straightforward operation to perform. In this section we shall discuss a way to bypass this difficulty using a prescription introduced by Mirzakhani [35].

The basic idea of [35] is as follows. The integration over the moduli space can be lifted to an appropriate covering space and the pull-back of the WP volume form defines a volume form in the covering space. The most important feature of this covering space is that it can be decomposed into a product of lower-dimensional moduli spaces and a set of two dimensional infinite cones. Then we can again lift the integration over the lower dimensional moduli spaces to obtain another set of lower dimensional moduli spaces and infinite cones. At the end we will end up with an integration over a set of infinite cones whose explicit domains in the Teichmüller space parametrized using the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates are known. Keeping this in mind, below we shall explain a method to lift an integral over a space to a covering of that space.

Consider the space M with a covering space N. The covering map is given by $\pi : N \to M$. If dv_M is a volume form on M, then $dv_N \equiv \pi^{-1} * (dv_M)$ defines a volume form on the cover N. Suppose that f is a smooth function on N, then the push forward of f on M, which is denoted by $\pi_* f$ is defined by:

$$(\pi_* f)(x) \equiv \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}\{x\}} f(y) \tag{3.98}$$

This basically means that the value of the push-forward of a function f at a point x of M can be obtained by summation over the values of the function f at all points in the fiber of the point x in N. This relation defines a a smooth function on M. Then, the integral of this pull-back function over M can be lifted to the covering space as follows:

$$\int_M dv_M \ (\pi_* f) \ = \int_N dv_N \ f \tag{3.99}$$

This suggest that if we have a covering of the moduli space that has a well-defined region in the Teichmüller space, then the integration of a function on the moduli space can be performed by expressing this function as a push-forward of a function in the covering space. In the remaining part of this section, we shall explain that it is indeed possible to find such a covering of the moduli space and express the MCG-invariant functions as a push-forward of a function defined

in the covering space.

3.3.1 The covering space of the moduli space

The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is obtained from the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ by stating that different points which are related by the action of all mapping class group (MCG) elements on the curves on a Riemann surface are equivalent. But the geometry and topology of this quotient space is not well understood. Therfore, we shall study a covering space of the moduli space. Assume that γ is a simple closed curve on the Riemann surface. Consider a space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{g,n}$ which is obtained from the Teichmüller space by identifying different points that are related by the subgroup of the MCG group that keeps γ fixed. The space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{g,n}$ is larger than $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ because it is obtained by taking a quotient of the Teichmüller space by a smaller group. The most important feature of this space is that it can be thought of as a product of lower dimensional moduli spaces and infinite cones [35] where the infinite cones corresponds to twists about the curve γ from 0 to ℓ_γ (for generic case)[3] and length of the curve ℓ_γ of γ. Lower dimensional moduli spaces are the moduli spaces of the surfaces obtained by cutting the original surface along curve γ. Below we shall elaborate on this idea.

Consider a multi-curve γ on genus g Riemann surface with n borders $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ of the following form:

$$\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \gamma_i, \tag{3.100}$$

where $c_i > 0$ and $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_k$ are disjoint non-homotopic simple closed curves on $S_{g,n}$, surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ with specific marking on it. By marking, we mean a specific choice of system of curves on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ which leads to a pair of pant decomposition of the surface. Denote the mapping class group of the genus g Riemann surface with n borders by $\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n}$. Consider the k-tuple $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_k)$. For $h \in \mathrm{Mod}_{g,n}$, let $h \cdot \Gamma = (h \cdot \gamma_1, \cdots, h \cdots \gamma_k)$. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_\Gamma$ be the set of homotopy classes of elements of of the set $\mathrm{Mod}_{g,n} \cdot \Gamma$:

$$\mathcal{O}_\Gamma = \{[\alpha] \,|\, \alpha = h \cdot \Gamma, \quad [\alpha] \sim [\beta] \iff \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are homotopic}\} \tag{3.101}$$

Let us consider the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma$, where $\mathbf{L} = (L_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|A|}$ and $A = \partial S_{g,n}$,

[3] As we explain below, there is a situation when the range of τ is between 0 and $\frac{\ell_\gamma}{2}$

defined by the following space of pairs:

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma} = \{(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}, \eta) | X \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(L),\ \eta = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_k) \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}, \eta_i \text{ is a closed geodesics on } \mathcal{R}_{g,n}\}. \tag{3.102}$$

For any set A of homotopy classes of simple closed curves on $S_{g,n}$, define the stabilizer of A, $\mathrm{Stab}(A)$, by

$$\mathrm{Stab}(A) = \{h \in \mathrm{Mod}_{g,n} |\ h \cdot A = A\} \subset \mathrm{Mod}_{g,n} \tag{3.103}$$

For $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \gamma_i$, define the symmetry group of γ, $\mathrm{Sym}(\gamma)$, by:

$$\mathrm{Sym}(\gamma) = \mathrm{Stab}(\gamma) / \cap_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{Stab}(\gamma_i) \tag{3.104}$$

Let

$$\pi^{\Gamma} : \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L}) \tag{3.105}$$

be the projection map defined by $\pi^{\Gamma}(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}, \eta) = \mathcal{R}_{g,n}$. Denote by ϕ_{γ} the Dehn twist along curve γ. Then

$$G_{\Gamma} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{Stab}(\gamma_i) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(S_{g,n}) \tag{3.106}$$

is generated by the set of Dehn twists $\phi_{\gamma_i},\ i = 1, \cdots, k$, and the elements of the mapping class group of $S_{g,n}(\gamma)$. The surface $S_{g,n}(\gamma)$ denote the surface $S_{g,n} - U_{\gamma}$, where U_{γ} is an open set homeomorphic to $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}(0,1) \times \gamma_i$ around γ. Cutting the surface $S_{g,n}$ along each of the curves γ_i produces two additional boundary components. Therefore, the surface $S_{g,n}(\gamma)$, which is obtained by cutting the surface along all the curves in Γ, is a possibly disconnected surface with $n + 2k$ boundary components and $s = s(\gamma)$ connected components. Let us denote the connected component of $S_{g,n}(\gamma)$, by $\mathcal{R}^i(\gamma),\ i = 1, \cdots, s$, see figure (7) and (10).

Now for $\Gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_k),\ \mathbf{L} = (L_1, \cdots, L_n)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \cdots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$, let $\mathcal{M}(S_{g,n}, \ell_{\Gamma} = \mathbf{x}, \ell_{\beta} = \mathbf{L})$ be the moduli space of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces homeomorphic to $S_{g,n}(\gamma)$ such that the hyperbolic length of the curves γ_i are $\ell_{\gamma_i} = x_i,\ i = 1, \cdots k$ and the hyperbolic length of the boundary curves are $\ell_{\beta_i} = L_i\ i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(L)^{\Gamma}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma} = \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\mathcal{R}) / G_{\Gamma} \tag{3.107}$$



Figure 7: Cutting the surface along curve $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$.

where $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\mathcal{R})$ denote the Teichmüller space of genus g Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ with n borders. Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\mathcal{R})$ admits following factorization [35, 43]:

$$\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\mathcal{R}) = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma)) \times \prod_{\gamma_i} \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} \tag{3.108}$$

Then we have [35, 43]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma} = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))/\mathrm{MCG}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma)) \times \prod_{\gamma_i} (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{Dehn}_*(\gamma_i). \tag{3.109}$$

Here $\mathrm{Dehn}_*(\gamma_i)$ is generated by a half twist if the curve bounds a torus with a single boundary [4] and otherwise is generated by a simple twist. It acts only on the variables τ_j with fundamental domain $0 < \tau_{\gamma_j} < \ell_{\gamma_j}/2$ if γ_j bounds a torus with a single boundary or otherwise with fundamental domain $0 < \tau_{\gamma_j} < \ell_{\gamma_j}$. Using the factorization of the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma}$, the volume form of the moduli space of genus-g hyperbolic Riemann surface with n boundary component can be decomposed as:

$$dV = \prod_{i=1}^{s} dV(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma)) \times \prod_{\gamma_j} d\ell_{\gamma_j} \wedge d\tau_{\gamma_j} \tag{3.110}$$

We can perform integration on $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^{\Gamma}$ by first performing the integration over $\prod_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))$

[4] The reason for the half-twist in the case of torus with a boundary component is that this surface has a non-trivial authomorphism called elliptic involution.



Figure 8: Cone with infinite length representing the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\gamma}$. Thick gray circle having radius $\frac{\ell_\gamma}{2\pi}$ corresponds to the range of twist parameter associated with curve γ having length ℓ_γ.

for fixed values of lengths of curves γ_j, where $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma)) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))/\mathrm{MCG}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))$ is the moduli space of component surface $\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma)$. Then integrate over $d\tau_{\gamma_j}$ followed by $d\ell_{\gamma_j}$ integration for $j = 1, \cdots s$.

3.3.2 Integration of an MCG-invariant function over the moduli space

The off-shell bosonic string measure is an object that live in moduli space which is built using the coordinates of Teichmüller space, because it is invariant under mapping class group transformations. In this subsection, we shall describe a method for performing the integration of an MCG-invariant function over the fundamental region of the mapping class group in the Teichmüller space.

To be able to lift the integration over the moduli space to the covering of the moduli space, we need a covering map. To this purpose, assume that we have the following identity:

$$\sum_{[\alpha]\in \mathrm{Mod}.[\gamma]} f_i(\ell_\alpha(\mathcal{R})) = L_i \tag{3.111}$$

where f is a real function of the hyperbolic length $\ell_\alpha(\mathcal{R})$ of curve α, which is an mapping class group image of the curve $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i\gamma_i$ and L_i is the hyperbolic length of i^{th} boundary curve. Here γ_i is a simple closed curve on the bordered hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$ having hyperbolic length ℓ_{γ_i}. The Mirzakhani-McShane identity, which we shall explain in the subsection 3.3.3, is of this form. We also assume that cutting along curves $\gamma_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, k$

provides s disconnected bordered hyperbolic Riemann surfaces.

Consider an MCG-invariant function $H(\ell_i, \tau_i)$ defined on the bordered surface $\mathcal{R}$, where (ℓ_i, τ_i) denotes the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates defined with respect to a pair of pants decompositon of $\mathcal{R}$. *By MCG-invariance, we mean the following identity:*

$$\forall g \in \mathrm{Mod}_{g,n} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H(g \cdot \ell_i, g \cdot \tau_i) = H(\ell_i, \tau_i) \tag{3.112}$$

Since $H(l_i, \tau_i)$ is invariant under all MCG transformations, we are free to choose any pair of pants decomposition of surface to define the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates without changing the form of it. Then we can integrate $H(l_i, \tau_i)$ over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})} dV\ H(l,\tau) &= \frac{1}{L_i} \sum_{[\alpha]\in \mathrm{Mod}.[\gamma]} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})} dV\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{L_i |\mathrm{Sym}(\gamma)|} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})^\Gamma} dV\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{L_i |\mathrm{Sym}(\gamma)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^k} d\ell_{\gamma_1} \cdots d\ell_{\gamma_k} \int_0^{2^{-M_{\gamma_1}} \ell_{\gamma_1}} \cdots \int_0^{2^{-M_{\gamma_k}} \ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_1} \cdots d\tau_{\gamma_k} \\
&\times \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^1(\gamma))} dV(\mathcal{R}^1(\gamma)) \cdots \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^s(\gamma))} dV(\mathcal{R}^s(\gamma))\ f_i(\ell_\gamma) H(\ell,\tau)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.113}$$

Here τ_{γ_i} is the twist along curve γ_i and $M_{\gamma_i} = 1$ if γ_i bounds a torus with one boundary component otherwise $M_{\gamma_i} = 0$. In general the twisting parameter along γ_i can be between 0 and ℓ_{γ_i}. In the case of a simple geodesic γ_i separating off a one-handle $\mathrm{Stab}(\gamma_i)$ contains a half twist and so τ_{γ_i} varies with fundamental region $\{0 \le \tau_{\gamma_i} \le \frac{\ell_{\gamma_i}}{2}\}$. The reason is that every Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{M}_{1,1}(\mathbf{L})$ comes with an elliptic involution, but when $(g,n) \neq (1,1)$, a generic point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\mathbf{L})$ does not have any non-trivial automorphism fixing the boundary components set wise. Now we can repeat the same procedure for integration over $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^j(\gamma))$. We shall continue this until we end up with integration only over infinite cones. The final integrand would be a product of some number of the function f appears in the (3.111) with the function $H(\ell_i, \tau_i)$ integrated over the following domain of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates:

$$0 \le \ \tau_i \le 2^{-M_{\gamma_i}} \ell_i \qquad i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n$$

$$0 \leq \ \ell_i < \infty \qquad i = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n. \tag{3.114}$$

To be able to do explicit integration, we have to specify the function which appears in (3.111).

3.3.3 The Mirzakhani-McShane identity

The Mirzakhani-McShane identity provides a function defined on the Teichmüller space such that the sum of its value over the elements of each orbit of $\text{Mod}_{g,n}$ is a constant independent of the orbit [34, 35]. Before stating the identity let us discuss some aspects of infinite simple geodesic rays on a hyperbolic pair of pants.

Consider the unique hyperbolic pair of pants with $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with geodesic boundary curves $(\beta_i)_{i=1}^3$ such that $l_{\beta_i}(P) = x_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3$. One or more boundary curves are allowed to have vanishing lengths. Pair of pants $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is obtained by pasting two copies of the (unique) hexagons, whose edges are geodesic that meet perpendicularly with non-adjacent sides of length $\frac{x_1}{2}, \frac{x_2}{2}$ and $\frac{x_3}{2}$, along the three remaining sides. Thus $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ admits a reflection involution symmetry $\mathcal{J}$ which interchanges the two hexagons. On such a hyperbolic pair of pants there are 5 complete geodesics disjoint form β_2, β_3 and β_1. Two of these geodesics meet β_1, say at z_1 and z_2, and spiral around β_2, see figure 9. Similarly, the other two geodesics meet β_1, say at y_1 and y_2, and spiral around β_3. Fifth geodesic is the common simple geodesic perpendicular from β_1 to itself meeting β_1 perpendicularly at two points, say at w_1 and w_2. Points w_1 and w_2 are related by the involution symmetry $\mathcal{J}$. Similarly $\mathcal{J}(z_1) = z_2$ and $\mathcal{J}(y_1) = y_2$. The geodesic length of the interval between z_1 and z_2 along the boundary β_1 containing w_1 and w_2 is given by [35]

$$\mathcal{D}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 - \ln\Big(\frac{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1+x_3}{2})}{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1-x_3}{2})}\Big). \tag{3.115}$$

Twice the geodesic distance between two geodesics perpendicular to β_1 spiralling around β_2 and β_3 is given by [35]

$$\mathcal{E}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2\ \ln\Big(\frac{e^{\frac{x_1}{2}} + e^{\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}}}{e^{-\frac{x_1}{2}} + e^{\frac{x_2+x_3}{2}}}\Big). \tag{3.116}$$

We say three isotopy classes of connected simple closed curves $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ on $S_{g,n}$, bound



Figure 9: Complete geodesics that are disjoint from b_2, b_3 and orthogonal to b_1.



Figure 10: Cutting the surface along curve $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ produces a pair of pants, a genus 1 surface with 3 borders and a genus 1 surface with 2 borders.

a pair of pants if there exists an embedded pair of pants $\Sigma \subset S_{g,n}$ such that $\partial\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$. Here α_i can be simple closed geodesics of length 0. Following definitions are useful:

- For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathcal{F}_i$ be the set of unordered pairs of isotopy classes of simple closed curves $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ bounding a pairs of pants with β_i such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \notin \partial(S_{g,n})$, see figure 10 and figure 11.

- For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, let $\mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ be the set of isotopy classes of simple closed curves γ bounding a pairs of pants containing β_i and β_j, see figure 12 .

Now, we shall state the Mirzakhani-McShane identity for bordered surfaces. For any genus g hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(L_1, \cdots, L_n)$ with n borders $\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n$ having lengths



Figure 11: Cutting the surface along curve $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ produces a pair of pants and genus 1 surface with 5 borders.



Figure 12: Cutting the surface along curve γ_2 produces a pair of pants and a genus 2 surface with 3 borders.



Figure 13: Curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ on one punctured torus.

$L_1, \cdots, L_n$, satisfying $3g-3+n>0$, we have

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \mathcal{D}(L_1, l_{\alpha_1(\mathcal{R})}, l_{\alpha_2(\mathcal{R})}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})) = L_1. \tag{3.117}$$

From this we can obtain a useful special case where the boundary β_1 tends to a puncture p_1. For any $\mathcal{R}\in\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(0, L_2, \cdots, L_n)$ with $3g-3+n>0$, we have

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{R})+l_{\alpha_2}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})+L_i}{2}}} + \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})-L_i}{2}}}\Big) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.118}$$

3.3.4 Computing the volume of moduli space

In order to make the reader comfortable with the integration method described, let us describe two sample integrations. We shall perform the integration of WP volume form over the moduli space of one punctured torus and sphere with four borders. WP volume form is the simplest mapping class group invariant object available on the moduli space.

Volume of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$

Let us compute the volume of moduli space of one punctured torus. The WP symplectic

form in the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates is given by $d\ell \wedge d\tau$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1}} d\ell \wedge d\tau. \tag{3.119}$$

McShane identity for one punctured hypebolic torus is given by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_1} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\ell_\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3.120}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is the set of all simple closed geodesics on the one puncture hyperbolic torus. Any curve in this set can be obtained from a single representative geodesic say $\tilde{\gamma}$ by acting with mapping class group transformations, see figure 13. Then we can compare McShane identity for one punctured hyperbolic torus (i.e. hyperbolic torus with border having length $L_1 = 0$) with 3.111 and make the following identification

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial L_1} f(\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}})\Big|_{L_1=0} = \frac{2}{1 + e^{\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}}}. \tag{3.121}$$

Following the integration prescription discussed in subsection 3.3.2, let us insert the McShane identity inside the volume integration 3.119

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) &= \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Mod.}\tilde{\gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1}} \frac{2}{1 + e^{\ell_\gamma}} d\ell_\gamma \wedge d\tau_\gamma \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^{\tilde{\gamma}}_{1,1}} \frac{2}{1 + e^{\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}}} d\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}} \wedge d\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.122}$$

Covering space $\mathcal{M}^{\tilde{\gamma}}_{1,1}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{M}^{\tilde{\gamma}}_{1,1} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{1,1}}{\text{Stab}(\tilde{\gamma})} = \{(\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}, \tau_{\tilde{\gamma}}) | 0 \leq \tau \leq \ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}\}, \tag{3.123}$$

with the identification that points in the cover $(\ell_\gamma, 0)$ and $(\ell_\gamma, \ell_\gamma)$ are identified. This identification is needed because full twist around the curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a Dehn twist around it. So the space



Figure 14: Cone with infinite length representing the covering space $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\tilde{\gamma}}$. Thick gray circle having radius $\frac{\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}}{2\pi}$ corresponds to the range of twist parameter associated with curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ having length $\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}$

.



Figure 15: Curves γ_2, γ_3 and γ_4 on sphere with four borders.

$\mathcal{M}_{1,1}^{\tilde{\gamma}}$ can be identified with an infinite cone as shown in figure 14. Then we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) = \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}} \int_0^{\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}} d\tau_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{2}{1+e^{\ell_{\tilde{\gamma}}}} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \tag{3.124}$$

Volume of $\mathcal{M}_{0,4}(\mathbf{L})$

Let us compute the volume of moduli space of genus zero hyperbolic Riemann surface with four borders $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4)$ having lengths $\mathbf{L} = (L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4)$. Mirzakhani-McShane identity

for genus zero hyperbolic Riemann surface with four borders is given by

$$\sum_{i=2}^{4} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, \ell_\gamma) = L_1. \tag{3.125}$$

Then the volume can be calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}(\mathbf{L})) &= \frac{1}{L_1} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,4}(\mathbf{L})} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, \ell_\gamma)\ d\ell_\gamma \wedge d\tau_\gamma \\
&= \frac{1}{L_1} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}^{\gamma_i}_{0,4}(\mathbf{L})} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, \ell_{\gamma_i})\ d\ell_{\gamma_i} \wedge d\tau_{\gamma_i} \\
&= \frac{1}{L_1} \sum_{i=2}^{4} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\gamma_i} \int_0^{\ell_{\gamma_i}} d\tau_{\gamma_i}\ \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, \ell_{\gamma_i}) \\
&= 2\pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(L_1^2 + L_2^2 + L_3^2 + L_4^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.126}$$

Here the curves γ_2, γ_3 and γ_4 are as shown in figure 15. Note that the covering space $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}_{0,4}(\mathbf{L})$ is same as the covering space $\mathcal{M}^{\tilde{\gamma}}_{1,1}$.

4 General construction of the superstring amplitudes

Let us move on to the superstring theory. To avoid cluttering, we shall discuss heterotic string theory which has holomorphic part similar to a superstring theory and antiholomorphic part similar to a bosonic string theory compactified on 16 dimensional integer, even, self-dual lattice [30]. In this section we shall review the general construction of the off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory.

4.1 The world-sheet theory

The world-sheet theory of the heterotic string theory at tree level contains the matter field theory with central charge(26,15), and the ghost system of total central charge (-26,-15) containing the anti commuting fields $b, c, \bar{b}, \bar{c}$ and the commuting β, γ ghosts. The (β, γ) system can be bosonized [3], by replacing it with a system involving a scalar field ϕ with background

charge $Q = -2$ and two conjugate anti-commuting fields ξ and η with conformal spin 0 and 1 respectively. The bosonization prescription reads

$$\beta(z) = \partial_z \xi(z) e^{-\phi(z)},\ \gamma(z) = \eta(z) e^{\phi(z)}. \tag{4.127}$$

Reversing this prescription we get the following identifications:

$$\xi(z) = \mathrm{H}(\beta(z)),\ \eta(z) = \partial_z \gamma(z) \delta(\gamma(z)),\ e^{\phi(z)} = \delta(\beta(z)),\ e^{-\phi(z)} = \delta(\gamma(z)), \tag{4.128}$$

where H denotes the heaviside step function. Therefore, the complete set of bosonization relations are:

$$\gamma(z) = \eta e^{\phi(z)},\ \beta(z) = \partial_z \xi(z) e^{-\phi(z)},\ \delta(\gamma(z)) = e^{-\phi(z)},\ \delta(\beta(z)) = e^{\phi(z)} \tag{4.129}$$

As is shown in [3], when the bosonic superconformal ghost system, i.e. (β, γ) system, is bosonized, we are left with a choice among different Bose sea levels or a picture number. Each choice of a picture number leads to a different inequivalent representation for the ghost vacuum. However, it is also shown that each choice leads to a different description of the same physical states [3]. To go from one description to the other, we need an operation, which is called picture-changing operation. As is clear from the name, it changes the picture number of the bosonic superconformal ghost vacuum and also the primaries, i.e. the vertex operators, of the superconformal field theory build upon that vacuum. The picture-changing operation is done using the picture-changing operator (PCO) $\chi(z)$ which is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(z) &= \{Q_B, \xi(z)\} = \oint dw\ j_B(w) \xi(z), \\ j_B(z) &= c(z)\Big(T_m(z) + T_{\beta,\gamma}(z)\Big) + \gamma(z) T_F(z) + b(z) c(z) \partial c(z) - \frac{1}{4} \gamma(z)^2 b(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4.130}$$

Here Q_B denotes the world-sheet BRST charge, j_B denotes the BRST current and $T_F(z)$ denote the superpartner of the matter stress tensor $T_m(z)$. The picture changing operator is BRST invariant and is a dimension zero primary operator having picture number one.

Let us denote the total Hilbert space of the world-sheet theory by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{NS} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{R}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{NS}$ denote the Nevue-Schwarz (NS) sector and $\mathcal{H}^{R}$ denote the Ramond (R) sector. We denote

by $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0^{NS} \otimes \mathcal{H}_0^R$, a subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0 \ \text{ if } \ (b_0 - \bar{b}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \ (L_0 - \bar{L}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \ \eta_0|\Psi\rangle = 0, \\
&\text{picture number}(|\Psi\rangle) = -1, \ \text{if } |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0^{NS}, \\
&\text{picture number}(|\Psi\rangle) = -\frac{1}{2}, \ \text{if } |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0^R.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.131}$$

Physical states that will appear as the external states in the S-matrix computation belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_1$ of $\mathcal{H}_0$ satisfying the condition

$$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1 \ \text{ if } \ |\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0, \ (b_0 + \bar{b}_0)|\Psi\rangle = 0, \ \text{ghost number}(|\Psi\rangle) = 2. \tag{4.132}$$

Therefore, although the picture changing operator looks like an exact operator, it is a non-trivial operator, because $\xi(z)$ do not commute with η_0.

4.2 Off-shell superstring amplitudes

The construction of the off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory is similar to the construction of the off-shell amplitudes in the bosonic string theory. For instance, in the superstring theory also we need to choose a gluing compatible local coordinates around the punctures at which the vertex operators are inserted. However, there are additional complications that we need to address in the superstring theory. These complications are arising from the following fact. In order to construct the genus g contribution to the amplitudes in the superstring theory with n_{NS} number of NS external states having -1 picture and $2n_R$ number of R external states having $-\frac{1}{2}$ picture, we need to insert $2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R$ picture changing operators (PCO's) on the genus g Riemann surface with $n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$ punctures. Inserting these operators on the Riemann surface introduces following additional issues compared to the bosonic string theory.

- For consistency, on 1PR region of moduli space we need to distribute PCO's on the surface in a way that is consistent with the PCO distribution which is induced from plumbing fixture.

- PCO's may collide each other or with vertex operators. These collisions introduce singularities into the amplitude which have no physical interpretation. Moreover, even if

there is no such collisions, presence of PCO's can introduce spurious singularities in the amplitude when the locations of PCO's on the surface satisfy certain global conditions.

As a reuslt, in order to define the superstring amplitude we need to define the superstring measure and the integration cycle carefully by avoiding the occurrence of the spurious singularities.

Therefore, like in the bosonic string theory, the integration measure of the off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory is not a genuine differential form on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Instead we need to think of the integration measure of the off-shell superstring amplitude involving n_{NS} number of NS states and $2n_R$ number of R states as a differential form defined on a section of a larger space $\mathcal{P}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, defined as a fiber bundle over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Fiber directions correspond to different choices of the local coordinates around the punctures where the vertex operators are inserted and positions of $2g-2+n_{NS}+2n_R$ number of PCO's. If we restrict ourselves to the states that belongs to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, then we can consider the differential form of our interest as defined on a section of space $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ that is smaller compared to $\mathcal{P}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. We can understand $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ as the base space of the fiber bundle $\mathcal{P}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ with the fiber direction corresponds to the phases of local coordinates.

4.3 Gluing compatible integration cycle

In the superstring theory, the gluing compatibility of the integration cycle refers to choosing the local coordinates and the PCO distribution that respect the plumbing fixture construction in the 1PR region of the moduli space. Inside 1PI region of moduli space we are free to choose local coordinates and PCO distribution arbitrarily. The definition of the gluing compatible choice of the local coordinates is same as described in the subsection 2.2.4. Therefore, we shall discuss briefly only the meaning of the gluing compatibility requirement on the distribution of PCO's on the Riemann surface.

Consider the situation where the genus g Riemann surface with n_{NS} punctures having NS sector states and $2n_R$ punctures having R sector states degenerate into a genus g_1 Riemann surface with n^1_{NS} punctures having NS sector states and $2n^1_R$ punctures having R sector states and a genus g_2 Riemann surface with n^2_{NS} punctures with NS sector states and $2n^2_R$ punctures having R sector states. There can be two possible type of degenerations:

- The degeneration where an NS sector state propagates along the tube connecting the Riemann surfaces.

- The degeneration where an R sector state propagates along the tube connecting the Riemann surfaces.

The genus of the degenerate surfaces are related by the constraint $g = g_1 + g_2$. If an NS sector state propagates along the tube connecting the Riemann surfaces, then $n_{NS} = n^1_{NS} + n^2_{NS} - 2$ and $n_R = n^1_R + n^2_R$. In this case we we need to make sure that inside the 1PR region of moduli space distribute $2g - 2 + n_{NS} + n_R$ number of PCO's on Riemann surface such that $2g_1 - 2 + n^1_{NS} + n^1_R$ number of PCO's are on the genus g_1 component surface and $2g_2 - 2 + n^2_{NS} + n^2_R$ number of PCO's are on the genus g_2 component surface that are used for plumbing construction. If an R sector state propagate along the tube connecting the Riemann surfaces, then $n_{NS} = n^1_{NS} + n^2_{NS}$ and $n_R = n^1_R + n^2_R - 1$. In this case we we need to make sure that inside the 1PR region of moduli space distribute $2g - 2 + n_{NS} + n_R$ number of PCO's on Riemann surface such that $2g_1 - 2 + n^1_{NS} + n^1_R$ number of PCO's are on the genus g_1 component surface and $2g_2 - 2 + n^2_{NS} + n^2_R$ number of PCO's are on the genus g_2 component surface that are used for plumbing construction. The remaining PCO has to be distributed on a homotopically non-trivial cycle on the plumbing tube as follows

$$\chi_0 = \oint \frac{dz}{z} \chi(z). \tag{4.133}$$

There is a reason for distributing the extra PCO on a homotopically non-trivial cycle on the plumbing tube, instead of placing it at a point on the tube. Placing the PCO on a point in the plumbing tube will not allow us to interpret the integration over the moduli of the plumbing tube with the R sector state propagating through it as propagator of the R sector string states. This is due to the fact that the reparametrization ghost field mode b_0 do not commute with the picture changing operator. On the other hand if we work within the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_0$, then the PCO distributed over a cycle commute with b_0, L_0 and $\bar{b}_0, \bar{L}_0$. This means that smearing the PCO on a cycle in the plumbing tube allows us to interpret the integration over the moduli of the plumbing tube with the R sector state propagating through it as the propagator of the R sector string states [18].

4.4 Regulating the infrared divergences

Integration cycle for the off-shell amplitudes near the boundary of the moduli space where the surface degenerate has to be chosen carefully. This is because the off-shell amplitudes can

have infrared divergences from these regions. These regions corresponds to $s \to \infty$ limit of 2.47. There are following two kinds of infrared divergences that can appear in the off-shell amplitude.

- *Generic degeneration*: referred to a degeneration in which the state propagates through the degenerate collar carry general off-shell momentum.
- *Special degeneration*: referred to a degeneration in which the state propagates through the degenerate collar is forced to carry zero or on-shell momentum.

We can regulate the infrared divergences caused by the generic degenerations by making the analytic continuation $s \to is$ and including a damping factor $e^{-\epsilon s}$ in the integral as $s \to \infty$. The infrared divergences caused by the special degenerations are regulated by restricting the s integral by some upper cut-off Λ [10].

4.5 Singularities associated with PCO's

We discussed in section 4.1 that super reparametrization ghost system (β, γ) can be replaced with (ϕ, ξ, η) system. Let us analyze the following correlation function on genus g Riemann surface in (ϕ, ξ, η) CFT [27]

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \xi(x_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \eta(y_i) \prod_{k=1}^{m} e^{q_k \phi(z_k)} \rangle_{\alpha,\beta} \\ = \quad & \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Theta[^{\alpha}_{\beta}](-\vec{y}_j + \sum \vec{x} - \sum \vec{y} + \sum q\vec{z} - 2\vec{\Delta})}{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \Theta[^{\alpha}_{\beta}](-\vec{x}_j + \sum \vec{x} - \sum \vec{y} + \sum q\vec{z} - 2\vec{\Delta})} \frac{\prod_{i_1<i_2} E(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) \prod_{j_1<j_2} E(y_{j_1}, y_{j_2})}{\prod_{i<j} E(x_i, y_j) \prod_{k<l} E(z_k, z_l)^{q_k q_l} \prod_k \sigma(z_k)^{2q_k}}, \\ & \sum_{k=1}^{m} q_k = 2g - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.134}$$

Here $\Theta[^{\alpha}_{\beta}]$ is the genus g theta function having characteristic (α, β) corresponding to a specific choice of the spin structure, $E(x, y)$ is the prime form and $\sigma(z)$ is a $\frac{g}{2}$ differential representing the conformal anomaly of the ghost system. $\sum \vec{x}, \sum \vec{y}$ and $\sum q\vec{z}$ denote respectively $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \vec{x}_i, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \vec{y}_j$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{m} q_k \vec{z}_k$ with

$$\vec{x} = \int_{P_0}^{x} \vec{\omega}, \tag{4.135}$$

where ω_i stands for the Abelian differentials on the Riemann surface and P_0 is an arbitrary point on the Riemann surface. $\vec{\Delta}$ is the Riemann class vector characterizing the divisor of zeroes of the theta function. Components of the Riemann class vector are given by

$$\Delta_k = \pi \mathrm{i} + \frac{\tau_{kk}}{2} - \frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \sum_{j \neq k} \int_{A_j} \omega_j(P) \int_{P_0}^{P} \omega_k, \tag{4.136}$$

where τ denotes the period matrix and A_j denote the j^{th} A-cycle on the Riemann surface. The dependence of the Riemann class on the arbitrary point P_0 will cancel the dependence of $\vec{x}$ on P_0 to make the theta function independent of P_0.

There are two kinds of singularities that appear in the correlation function 4.134 :

- The prime form $E(x, y)$ has a simple zero at $x = y$. Therefore, the prime forms appearing in the denominator introduces poles in the correlation function. These poles corresponds to the collision of the operators.

- It is known that on genus g Riemann surface the Theta function vanishes. Therefore, the factor $\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \Theta[^{\alpha}_{\beta}](-\vec{x}_j + \sum \vec{x} - \sum \vec{y} + \sum q\vec{z} - 2\vec{\Delta})$ in the denominator also introduces poles in the correlation function.

Using the identifications 4.127 and operator product expansions of η, ξ fields, it is possible to see that this denominator factor becomes independent of the locations of the β's and γ's [52,53]. As a result, the poles associated with Theta functions do note depend on the locations where β, γ fields are inserted. Note that the vertex operators and the BRST charge are constructed using the (β, γ) system, but the picture changing operator contains $\partial\xi, \eta$ and $e^{q\phi}$ factors that can not be expressed as polynomials of β and γ. This suggest that the picture changing operators are the source of poles in the correlation function that corresponds to the vanishing of Theta functions appearing in the denominator. Also the locations of these poles are functions of the locations of the PCO's in the correlation function.

4.6 Prescription for avoiding spurious poles: vertical integration

The picture changing formulation of the superstring theory requires inserting certain number of PCO's on the world-sheet for defining the superstring amplitudes. Since the PCO's do not corresponds to any physical excitations of the superstring, the singularities introduced by the

PCO's in the measure of superstring amplitude has no physical meaning. Therefore, the singularities associated with PCO's are called the *spurious singularities*. Since the correct, gauge invariant amplitude should be free from the spurious singularities, for constructing superstring amplitudes, we need to construct integration cycles that avoid the occurrence of the spurious singularities. Such integration cycles can be constructed systematically by using the *vertical integration* prescription introduced in [14] and elaborated in [15]. This prescription is based on the following observations:

- The locations of the spurious poles are functions of the positions of the PCO's.
- Changing the position of one PCO at a time depends only on the initial and final locations of the PCO and not on the path. Also this path is allowed to pass through the spurious poles. Such a path will not introduce any singularity.

In the remaining part of this subsection we shall briefly review the vertical integration prescription [14, 15].

The spurious singularity specifies a real co-dimension two locus on the Riemann surface. The Riemann surface has real dimension two. Therefore, the locations on the Riemann surface which introduce spurious singularity into the integrand is a finite set of points on the Riemann surface. Therefore, we need to choose only those sections in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ as integration cycle for superstring amplitudes that do not contain locations of PCO's leading to spurious singularities. However, in general it is not possible to find such a continuous section over the whole moduli space. Therefore, we need to split the whole moduli space into different regions and choose sections of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ over each regions that avoid the occurrence of spurious poles. But in a generic situation these section will not smoothly join together along the boundaries of different regions. The vertical integration prescription provides a systematic procedure for gluing these sections that avoid the spurious singularities over each region along their boundaries to give a formal spurious pole free continuous integration cycle in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},n_R}$.

4.6.1 A warm-up example

In order to elucidate the general construction of the integration cycle that avoids spurious singularities, let us consider the case with only one PCO. For simplicity, assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) = q = 2$, where $n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$. Let us denote by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ the subspace of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ where only positions of PCO that avoid spurious singularities are allowed. We shall



Figure 16: Triangulation of the two-dimensional moduli space

denote the position of the PCO by a and the point in the moduli space by m. Hence, the superstring measure takes the following form:

$$\omega_q(m,a) = \langle (\mathcal{X}(a) - \partial_a \xi(a) da) \wedge \mathcal{O} \rangle_q \tag{4.137}$$

This form is defined on a section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. If this section is defined by the function

$$s : \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R},$$

the scattering amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ is defined by integrating the pull-back of this form to $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ under s over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} s^*(\omega_n) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} \omega_n(m, s(m)) \tag{4.138}$$

In general, there exist no global section $s : \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. The general idea for circumventing this difficulty is to find a fine tiling of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ and define a local section for each of the tiles in the tiling. Such a fine tiling can be given by a triangulation of the moduli space. We denote a triangulation by $T \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\#\text{ of triangles}} T_i$, in which T_i's are triangles of the triangulation, see the figure (16). The scattering amplitude can receive following three kinds of contributions:

1. By construction a set of local sections of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ are defined on the triangles. The

local section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ defined on T_i is given by the following map:

$$s_i : T_i \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}, \qquad i = 1, \cdots, \#\text{ of triangles} \tag{4.139}$$

These sections avoid spurious singularities. Then, the contribution from T_i to the scattering amplitudes is given by:

$$\mathcal{A}^{(i)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \equiv \int_{T_i} s_i^*(\omega_q) = \int_{T_i} \omega_q(m, s_i(m)), \quad i = 1, \cdots, \#\text{ of triangles} \tag{4.140}$$

2. In general, the local sections s_i and s_j do not agree on B_{ij}. Therefore, we need to consider appropriate correction factor from B_{ij} to the scattering amplitude, that corresponds to making the sections s_i and s_j agree on B_{ij}. We denote the correction factors from various common boundaries of the triangles by $\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, $i, j = 1, \cdots, \#$ of triangles.

3. In general, even after making the sections coincide on the boundaries B_{ij}, B_{jk} and B_{ki}, that meet at the vertex of a triangle, the corresponding sections may not agree on the intersection of these boundaries denoted by the points p_{ijk}. Therefore, we need to consider appropriate correction factor from p_{ijk} to the scattering amplitude. We denote the correction factors from various common points of the various boundaries of the triangles by $\mathcal{A}^{(ijk)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, $i, j, k = 1, \cdots, \#$ of triangles. In general, there can be more than three triangles that share the same vertex. We denote the correction factors from the common vertex of n triangles by $\mathcal{A}^{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, $i_1, \cdots, i_n = 1, \cdots, \#$ of triangles.

To find the full amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, we need to find the expressions for $\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)}_{g,n_{NS}2,n_R}$'s. The determination of these expressions is done by the method of vertical integration. We explore each pieces separately:

- **Determining $\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$**
  The expression for $\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ can be obtained by choosing a vertical segment $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$ over B_{ij}. To construct this segment, we choose a point $m \in B_{ij}$ and a curve $P_{ij}(m, v)$ that connects $s_i(m)$ and $s_j(m)$ in $\mathcal{R}(m) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. The parameter $v \in [0, 1]$ labels a position along the curve:
  $$P_{ij}(m, v) : [0, 1] \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \tag{4.141}$$



Figure 17: Vertical segment for a two dimensional moduli space. $s_i(m)$ and $s_j(m)$ are sections over T_i and T_j. The definition of a vertical segment involves the choice of a curve $P_{ij}(m,v)$ in $\mathcal{R}(m^*)$ that connects sections $s_i(m^*)$ and $s_j(m^*)$ over $m^* \in B_{ij}$. For a fixed $m^* \in B_{ij}$, as the parameter v changes over the interval $[0,1]$, the curve connects the two sections $s_i(m^*)$ and $s_j(m^*)$ over m^*.

The vertical segment can be parametrized as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_{ij} \equiv \{(m,v) \,|\, m \in B_{ij},\ v \in [0,1]\,\} \tag{4.142}$$

To get the correction factor from the boundary B_{ij}, ω_q should be integrated over the path $P_{ij}(m,v)$ that connects the sections $s_i(m)$ and $s_j(m)$ over the B_{ij} (see figure (17)) instead of the sections themselves. A point on $P_{ij}(m,v)$ is given by a value of the parameter v. Therefore, the form is a function of (m,v). From (4.137), we can first integrate over v:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(ij)}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} &= \int_{\mathcal{V}_{ij}} \omega_q(m,v) = \int_{m\in B_{ij}} \int_{v\in[0,1]} \langle (\mathcal{X}(m,v) - \partial_v \xi(m,v) dv) \wedge \mathcal{O} \rangle_q \\
&= \int_{m\in B_{ij}} \langle (\xi(s_i(m)) - \xi(s_j(m)) \wedge \mathcal{O}) \rangle_{q-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.143}$$

- **Determining $\mathcal{A}^{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)}_{g,n_{NS},n_R}$ for $n \geq 3$**

Let's consider the simplest case, namely $n = 3$. The contribution $\mathcal{A}^{(i,j,k)}_{g,n_{NS},n_R}$ is present only if the vertical segments $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$ over B_{ij}, $\mathcal{V}_{jk}$ over B_{jk} and $\mathcal{V}_{ki}$ over B_{ki} do not match over p_{ijk}. However, the formula (4.143) is independent of the choice of path $P_{ij}(m, v)$ and hence the choice of the vertical segment. Therefore, we can always choose the curves $P_{ij}(m, v)$, $P_{jk}(m, v)$ and $P_{ki}(m, v)$ such that vertical segments $\mathcal{V}_{ij}$ over B_{ij}, $\mathcal{V}_{jk}$ over B_{jk} and $\mathcal{V}_{ki}$ over B_{ki} match over the triple intersection point p_{ijk}. Therefore, there is no contribution from p_{ijk}. Similarly, all $\mathcal{A}^{(i_1,\cdots,i_n)}_{g,n_{NS},n_R}$ can make to vanish for $n \geq 4$.

Adding everything together with appropriate sign, gives the final expression for a scattering process involving n_{NS} external NS states and $2n_R$ R external states which has a (real) two-dimensional moduli space and needs only one PCO insertion. The sign factor can be fixed by specifying the orientations of B_{ij}.

4.6.2 The vertical integration prescription

In this subsection, we shall explain a systematic procedure finding the continuous integration cycles that avoid spurious poles, for the case of higher dimensional moduli space with more than one PCO's. An appropriate tiling of the moduli space can be obtained by considering the dual triangulation of the moduli space. By definition, a *dual triangulation* Υ *of an* n*-dimensional manifold* is given by gluing together the n-dimensional polyhedra along their boundary faces. The faces of an n-dimensional polyhedra have co-dimensions $1 \leq k \leq n$. The gluing should be in such a way that every co-dimension k face of a polyhedra in Υ is contained in exactly $k + 1$ polyhedra in Υ (see figure (18)). The later property of a dual triangulation gives better control over the number of polyhedra which have a common co-dimension k face. Therefore, it would be easier to find the correction factors from these faces. Hence, we assume that we have a dual triangulation Υ of the moduli space.

Consider the dual triangulation Υ of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ the co-dimension k face that is shared by the co-dimension zero faces $\mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_k}$. We can pick an orientation of the $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ as follows:

$$\partial\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k} = -\sum_{\beta} \mathcal{M}_{k+1}^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k\beta} \tag{4.144}$$

where the sum over β runs over all co-dimension 0 faces $\mathcal{M}_0^{\beta}$, distinct from $\mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_k}$,



Figure 18: Dual triangulation of the two-dimensional moduli space. Each co-dimension one face (i.e. an edge) is shared by two polyhedra and each co-dimension two face (i.e. a vertex) is shared by three polyhedra.

that have nonempty intersection with $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$. From these definitions it is clear that the orientation of $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ changes sign under $\alpha_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_j$ for any pair (i,j).

To define a well-defined integration cycle, we must remove the locus of spurious singularities. As we saw, these loci correspond to the bad locations of the PCO's on the Riemann surface. Therefore, we must remove these loci. The information about the locations of the PCO's is encoded in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. If we choose a particular Riemann surface, i.e. a point in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n},\ n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$, the fiber direction of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, in addition to the information about various choices of the local coordinates around the punctures up to phases, includes the information about the locations of the PCO's. Therefore, we need to remove those points of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ corresponding to bad locations of the PCO's on the Riemann surface which show themselves as spurious singularities. For this reason, denote by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ the subspace of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ in which, in each fiber, one deletes the bad points (points which causes spurious singularity) at which the PCO should not be inserted. Make Υ to be fine enough so that the projection map $\phi : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ has gluing compatible section s^α over each of the polyhedra $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$. This means that along the section s^α, we will not encounter any spurious singularity.

We need to impose further conditions on s^α. Since Υ is a dual triangulation, a co-dimension k face of the polyhedron $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ is shared with $k+1$ polyhedra. The $k+1$ sections over these $k+1$ polyhedra comes with $k+1$ allowed PCO distributions that are free from the spurious poles, denoted by $a^0, \cdots, a^k$. Each a^α stands for a set of $K = 2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R$ points $(z_1^\alpha, \cdots, z_K^\alpha)$

where $z_i^\alpha(m) \in \mathcal{R}(m)$. Here $\mathcal{R}(m)$ denotes the Riemann surface that corresponds to the point m in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Then at each point that belongs to the co-dimension k face, we can have $(k+1)^K$ possible arrangement of the PCO's $(z_1, \cdots, z_K)$ where each z_i can take values $z_i^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, z_i^{\alpha_k}$. The additional condition on the section s^α is that *the PCO distribution associated with each s^α should be such that at each point belongs to the codimension k face, all $(k+1)^K$ possible arrangement of PCO's $(z_1, \cdots, z_K)$ should be free from spurious singularity.* We shall call this condition on the sections s^α as *spurious pole free condition.* This also means that distribution of the PCO over the Riemann surface may not go over from one polyhedron $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ to $\mathcal{M}_0^\beta$ smoothly, there is a discontinuity in the PCO distribution.

Now we shall describe a systematic method to fill the gaps in the integration cycle caused by this discontinuity in the PCO distribution, by providing the compensating integration cycles. Let $\mathcal{M}_1^{\alpha\beta}$ be the codimension 1 face shared by the codimension 0 faces $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\beta$. Then on $\mathcal{M}_1^{\alpha\beta}$, we need to choose a path $P_{\alpha\beta}$ from the PCO locations $(z_1^\alpha, \cdots, z_K^\alpha)$ to $(z_1^{(\beta)}, \cdots, z_K^{(\beta)})$. If we denote by $\widetilde{\Xi}(m)$ the product $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(m) \times \cdots \times \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ of K copies of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(m)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(m)$ is the universal cover of $\mathcal{R}(m)$, then $P_{\alpha\beta}$ can be regarded as a path in $\widetilde{\Xi}$ from the PCO locations on $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ to the PCO locations on $\mathcal{M}_0^\beta$. Once a path $P_{\alpha\beta}$ has been chosen in this way, we will choose $P_{\beta\alpha}$ be $-P_{\alpha\beta}$, i.e. the same path traversed in opposite direction. The paths will be constructed by moving PCO's one at a time from an initial location $z_j^{(\alpha)}$ (for some j) to a final location $z_j^{(\beta)}$.

For a codimension 2 face $\mathcal{M}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, there are three sets of PCO data: $(z_1^\alpha, \cdots, z_K^\alpha), (z_1^\beta, \cdots, z_K^\beta)$, $(z_1^\gamma, \cdots, z_K^\gamma)$. We now consider 3^K PCO configurations $(z_1, \cdots, z_K)$ with z_i taking values $z_i^{(\alpha)}, z_i^{(\beta)}$ or $z_i^{(\gamma)}$ for each i. Then we have the path $P_{\alpha\beta}$ from the origin $(z_1^\alpha, \cdots, z_K^\alpha)$ to the point $(z_1^\beta, \cdots, z_K^\beta)$, the path $P_{\beta\gamma}$ is a path from $(z_1^\beta, \cdots, z_K^\beta)$ to $(z_1^\gamma, \cdots, z_K^\gamma)$ and $P_{\gamma\alpha}$ is represented by a path from $(z_1^\gamma, \cdots, z_K^\gamma)$ to $(z_1^\alpha, \cdots, z_K^\alpha)$. Together they form a closed path in $\widetilde{\Xi}(m)$. We now need to choose a subspace $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ of $\widetilde{\Xi}(m)$, satisfying the following properties:

- The boundary of $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is given by

$$\partial P_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \simeq -P_{\alpha\beta} - P_{\beta\gamma} - P_{\gamma\alpha} \tag{4.145}$$

  where $\simeq$ means that the boundary of $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ can be regarded as a collection of 2-dimensional subspaces of Ξ, which is the product $\mathcal{R}(m) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}(m)$ of K copies of $\mathcal{R}(m)$, whose corner points agree with those of the right hand side of 4.145. However the hypercubes themselves may not be identical since we might have used different choices for construct-

ing the faces of various dimensions from the given corner points and might even have used different representatives for some of the PCO locations on the universal cover of $\mathcal{R}(m)$.

- $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is made of a collection of rectangles whose vertices are points of $\widetilde{\Xi}$ with coordinates z_i^α, z_i^β or z_i^γ and along each rectangle only two coordinates of $\widetilde{\Xi}$ vary.
- $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is chosen to be antisymmetric under the exchange of any two pairs of its subscripts.

We can continue this procedure for higher co-dimensions. Given a co-dimension k face $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ shared by $k+1$ codimension zero faces $\mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, \mathcal{M}_0^{\alpha_k}$, we can represent the PCO locations determined by the sections $s^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, s^{\alpha_k}$ as points in $\widetilde{\Xi}$, with the i-th PCO location as $z_i^{\alpha_s}$. The analysis at the previous step would have determined the $(k-1)$-dimensional subspaces $P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_{k-1}}, P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_{k-2}\alpha_k}$ etc., each of which can be represented as $(k-1)$-dimensional subspace of $\widetilde{\Xi}$ composed of a union of hypercuboids with vertices given by a point in $X^{(k+1)}$ in which

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(k+1)} \equiv\ & \{(m; a^0, \cdots, a^k) |\ m \in \mathcal{M}_{g,n} \\ & \text{and } \{a^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^k \text{ are } k+1 \text{ spurious pole free PCO's configurations}\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.146}$$

and in each hypercuboids only $k-1$ of the coordinates of $\widetilde{\Xi}$ vary. We now have to choose a k-dimensional subspace $P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ of $\widetilde{\Xi}$ satisfying the condition

$$\partial P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k} \simeq -\sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-i} P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_{i-1}\alpha_{i+1}\cdots\alpha_k} \tag{4.147}$$

Here also we have the same interpretation for $\simeq$ as above. We don't have to continue this forever. Because it is clear that we must have $k \leq n$ since $\mathcal{M}_k$ has codimension k. Also we must have $k \leq K$ since $P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ has dimension k. Typically, we always have $K \leq n$ and hence $k \leq K$ is the bound that we need to satisfy.

Once we have chosen all the $P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ via this procedure, we can formally construct a continuous integration cycle in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ (fiber bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$) as follows. First, for each codimension zero face $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$, the section s^α gives a section of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ over $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$. Let us call this Σ_α. In a generic situation, s^α and s^β do not match at the boundary $\mathcal{M}_1^{\alpha\beta}$ separating $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ and $\mathcal{M}_0^\beta$, leaving a gap in the integration cycle between Σ_α and Σ_β. We fill these gap by including, for each $\mathcal{M}_1^{\alpha\beta}$, a cycle $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ obtained by fibering $P_{\alpha\beta}$ on $\mathcal{M}_1^{\alpha\beta}$ with gluing compatible

coordinates around the punctures. However since on the codimension 2 face $\mathcal{M}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, $P_{\alpha\beta}, P_{\beta\gamma}$ and $P_{\gamma\alpha}$ enclose a non-zero subspace of Ξ, the subspaces $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \Sigma_{\beta\gamma}$ and $\Sigma_{\gamma\alpha}$ will not meet. This gap will have to be filled by the space $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ obtained by fibering $P_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ over $\mathcal{M}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ with gluing compatible coordinate around the punctures. Proceeding this way we include all subspaces $\Sigma_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ obtained by fibering $P_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ on $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ with gluing compatible local coordinate choice. This formally produces a continuous integration cycle in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$. We shall call the segments $\Sigma_{\alpha_0\cdots\alpha_k}$ for $k \geq 1$ the vertical segments. Note that the vertical segments are allowed to pass through the spurious poles.

4.7 Integration measure

The construction of the superstring measure is similar to the bosnonic string measure, except for the additional contributions coming from the PCO's. The superstring measure is given by

$$\Omega_p^{g,n_{NS},2n_R} = (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{-(3g-3+n)}\langle\Sigma|\mathcal{B}_p|\Phi\rangle, \qquad \mathcal{B}_p = \sum_{\substack{r=0\\ r\leq J}}^{p} K^{(r)} \wedge B_{p-r} \tag{4.148}$$

where $J = 2g-2+n_{NS}+n_R$, B_p is the same as 2.36, $|\Phi\rangle$ is some element of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ of the world-sheet CFT with ghost number $n_\Phi = p+6-6g$. $\langle\Sigma|$ is the surface state and the inner product between $\langle\Sigma|$ and a state $|\Psi_1\rangle\otimes\cdots\otimes|\Psi_n\rangle \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ describes the n-point correlation function on $\mathcal{R}$ with the vertex operator for $|\Psi_i\rangle$ is inserted at the i^{th} puncture using the local coordinate system w_i around that puncture. $K^{(r)}$ is an operator-valued r-forms along the fiber direction of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^d_{g,n_{NS},n_R}$ that corresponds to positions of the PCO's. It is defined as the r-form component of

$$\mathbf{K} = (\chi(z_1) - \partial\xi(z_1)dz_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge(\chi(z_J) - \partial\xi(z_J)dz_J) \tag{4.149}$$

The contributions from the codimension zero faces $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ are straight forward to describe; we simply pull back the form $\Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$, $d = 6g-6+2n_{NS}+4n_R$ to $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ using the section s^α and integrate it over $\mathcal{M}^\alpha$. We write $\mu_p^\alpha(m) = (s^\alpha)^*(\Omega_p^{g,n_{NS},2n_R})$, so the contribution of $\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha$ to the scattering amplitude is given by $\int_{\mathcal{M}_0^\alpha}\mu_d^\alpha$, which is free from spurious singularities.

$\Omega_p^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ given by (6.183) has an important property. Let us consider some k-dimensional region P of $\Xi(m)$, constructed using the procedure described in the previous section. Suppose

that along P, the PCO locations $z_{i_1}, \cdots, z_{i_k}$ vary, keeping the other PCO locations fixed. Suppose further that along the edges of P along which z_i varies, its limits are u_i and v_i. Then we have

$$\int_P \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R} = (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{-(3g-3+n)} \langle \Sigma | \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{d-k} | \Phi \rangle, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{d-k} = \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \leq J-k}} \widetilde{K}^{(r)} \wedge B_{d-r} \tag{4.150}$$

where the overall sign has to be fixed from the orientation of the subspace P and $\widetilde{K}^{(r)}$ is the r-form component of

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}} = \prod_{s=1}^{k} (\xi(u_{i_s}) - \xi(v_{i_s})) \prod_{j=1; j \neq i_1, \cdots, i_k}^{J} (\chi(z_i) - \partial\xi(z_i) dz^i) \tag{4.151}$$

Since the set (u_i, v_i, z_i) takes values from the set $(z_i^{\alpha_0}, \cdots, z_i^{\alpha_J})$, the result is free from spurious singularities as long as the sections over each polyhedron satisfy the spurious pole free condition even if the subspace P contains spurious poles. Then the full amplitude may be expressed as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{J} (-1)^{k(k+1)/2} \sum_{\{\alpha_0, \cdots, \alpha_k\}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k}} \int_{P_{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \tag{4.152}$$

Therefore, we can do the following steps to get the superstring amplitudes:

- Consider a fixed co-dimension k faces $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0 \ldots \alpha_k}$ in the dual triangulation of the moduli space and the k-dimensional fiber $P_{\alpha_0 \ldots \alpha_k}$ over it;
- Find the contribution to the amplitude from this fiber using (4.150);
- Sum over all co-dimension k faces $\mathcal{M}_k^{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_k}$ in the dual triangulation of the moduli space. The summation takes into account the orientations of the co-dimension k faces represented by the $(-1)^{k(k+1)/2}$ factor in (4.152).

5 Explicit construction of the superstring amplitudes

In this section we shall describe an explicit construction the off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory using hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, following the general construction described in the previous section. This construction is similar to the construction of the off-shell amplitudes in the bosonic string theory explained in the section 3. Hence, we shall restrict our discussion to the additional details needed for the construction of the off-shell amplitudes in the superstring theory.

5.1 Gluing compatibility and Mirzakhani-McShane decomposition

The gluing compatibility requirement in the case of the off-shell superstring amplitudes refers to the gluing compatible choice of local coordinates around the punctures where the vertex operators are inserted and the gluing compatible distribution of the PCO's on the Riemann surface. We define the 1PR region of the moduli space in the same way as we define in the section 3.1.4. Below we shall describe a systematic method for making the distribution of the PCO's and the choice of local coordinates around the punctures on the Riemann surface gluing compatible. To this purpose, let us discuss an important property of the Mirzakhani-McShane identity explained in the subsection 3.3.3.

5.1.1 Property of the Mirzakhani-McShane decomposition

The Mirzakhani-McShane identity for the bordered hypebolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}(L_1, \cdots, L_n)$ with $3g-3+n>0$, is given by

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \mathcal{D}(L_1, l_{\alpha_1(\mathcal{R})}, l_{\alpha_2(\mathcal{R})}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \mathcal{E}(L_1, L_i, l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})) = L_1, \tag{5.153}$$

For details about the summation and different quantities appearing in this identity see 3.3.3. Note that the function $\mathcal{D}(x,y,z)$ given by

$$\mathcal{D}(x,y,z) \;=\; 2\,\ln\Big(\frac{e^{\frac{x}{2}}+e^{\frac{y+z}{2}}}{e^{\frac{-x}{2}}+e^{\frac{y+z}{2}}}\Big), \tag{5.154}$$

vanishes in the limits $y \to \infty$ keeping x, z fixed and $z \to \infty$ keeping x, y fixed. Similarly, the function $\mathcal{E}(x, y, z)$ given by

$$\mathcal{E}(x,y,z) \;\; = \;\; x - \ln\Big(\frac{\cosh(\frac{y}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x+z}{2})}{\cosh(\frac{y}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x-z}{2})}\Big), \tag{5.155}$$

becomes 0 in the limit $z \to \infty$ keeping x, y fixed.

This limiting behaviour has an interesting consequence. Consider a genus g superstring amplitude with n_{NS} NS external states and $2n_R$ R external states whose measure is denoted by $\Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K)$, where $d = 6g - 6 + 2n$ and $n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$. Positions $z_1, \cdots, z_K$ corresponds to the locations of $K = 2g - 2 + n_{NS} + n_R$ number of the PCO's on this surface. Integrating $\Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K)$ over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of genus g surface with $n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$ punctures provide the amplitude $A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ of our interest

$$A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} dV \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K). \tag{5.156}$$

Let us insert the Mirzkhani-McShane identity for a punctured Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{T}_{g,n}$ with $3g - 3 + n > 0$, given by

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \frac{2}{1 + e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{R}) + l_{\alpha_2}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,i}} \frac{2}{1 + e^{\frac{l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} = 1, \tag{5.157}$$

inside the integration over the moduli space. Following the discussion in the section 3.3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} \;\; &= \;\; \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\alpha_{1,j}+\alpha_{2,j}}} dV \frac{2}{1 + e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_{1,j}}(\mathcal{R}) + l_{\alpha_{2,j}}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K) \\ &+ \;\; \sum_{i=2}^{n} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\gamma_i}} dV \frac{2}{1 + e^{\frac{l_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K), \end{aligned} \tag{5.158}$$

where the sum over j denotes the summation over distinct pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ in $\mathcal{F}_1$ that can not be related via mapping class group action and γ_i is a representative curve from the set $\mathcal{F}_{1,i}$. $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\alpha_{1,j}+\alpha_{2,j}}$ denotes the cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ that is associated with the curve $\alpha_{1,j} + \alpha_{2,j}$ and



Figure 19: Cutting the surface along curve $\alpha_{1,1}+\alpha_{2,1}$ produces a pair of pants with one puncture and 2 borders, a genus 1 surface with 2 punctures and one border and a genus 1 surface with one puncture and one border.

$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\gamma_i}$ denotes the cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ that is associated with the curve γ_i. These spaces have been defined by (3.102).

Observe that when both curves in the pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ degenerates then the hyperbolic length of at least one of the curves in the pair $\{\alpha_{1,l}, \alpha_{2,l}\}$ with $l \neq j$ and all curves γ_i in the second sum becomes infinite. Similarly if any of the curve γ_i in the second summation degenerates then the hyperbolic length of another curve γ_j in the sum with $i \neq j$ and at least one of the curves in all of the pairs $\{\alpha_{1,i}, \alpha_{2,i}\}$ in the first sum becomes infinite. This means that when the surface $\mathcal{R}$ degenerates with both of the curve in the pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ having hyperbolic length that tends zero, then finite contribution comes only from the term corresponds to this pair of curve in the right hand side of equation 5.158. Other terms provide only exponentially suppressed contributions. See figures 19,20,21,22.

5.1.2 The maximal decomposition of the superstring amplitude

Let us decompose the superstring amplitude $A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R}$ by inserting the Mirzakhani-McShane identity inside the integration over moduli space.

$$\begin{aligned} A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} &= \sum_j \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\alpha_{1,j}+\alpha_{2,j}}} dV \frac{2}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_{1,j}}(\mathcal{R})+l_{\alpha_{2,j}}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1,\cdots,z_K) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^{n} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\gamma_i}} dV \frac{2}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\gamma_i}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1,\cdots,z_K), \end{aligned} \tag{5.159}$$



Figure 20: Cutting the surface along curve $\alpha_{1,2}+\alpha_{2,2}$ produces a pair of pants with one puncture and 2 borders and genus 1 surface with 3 punctures and 2 borders.

where pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ are curves as shown in figure 19 and figure 20 and curve γ_i is a curve as shown in figure 21. The summation in the first term is over different kinds of pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$. There are following two possibilities :

- One kind of pair of curves is such that cutting along them produces a pair of pants with boundary elements puncture b_1 and borders $\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}$, a genus g_j surface with n_j punctures and one border α_1 and a genus $\hat{g}_j = g - g_j$ surface with $\hat{n}_j = n - n_j$ punctures and one border α_2, figure 19.

- Another possibility is that cutting along $\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}$ produces a pair of pants with boundary elements as puncture b_1 and borders α_1, α_2 and a genus $g-1$ surface with $n-1$ punctures and borders α_1, α_2, figure 20.

Finally, cutting along γ_i produces a pair of pants with boundary elements as punctures b_1, b_i and border γ_i and a genus g surface with $n-2$ punctures and border γ_i, figure 21.

Covering space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\gamma}$ can be thought of as product of lower dimensional moduli spaces and cones, see subsection 3.3.1. Here the cones corresponds to pairs of twist τ_γ which run from 0 to ℓ_γ (for generic case) and length ℓ_γ which run from from 0 to ∞ of the disconnected components of curve γ. Lower dimensional moduli spaces are the moduli space of the surfaces obtained by cutting the original surface along curve γ. For example consider the following term in the Mirzakhani-MacShane decomposition of the amplitude

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}^{\alpha_{1,j}+\alpha_{2,j}}} dV \frac{2}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_{1,j}}(\mathcal{R})+l_{\alpha_{2,j}}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1,\cdots,z_K), \tag{5.160}$$



Figure 21: Cutting the surface along curve γ_2 produces a pair of pants with 2 punctures and one border and a genus 2 surface with 2 punctures and one border.



Figure 22: All curves $\gamma_2, \alpha_{1,1} + \alpha_{2,1}, \alpha_{1,2} + \alpha_{2,2}$ intersect each other.

where pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ is assumed to be of the following kind. Cutting the surface $\mathcal{R}$ along the pair of curves $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ produces a pair of pants with boundary elements puncture β_1 and borders $\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}$, a genus g_j surface $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}}$ with n_j punctures and one border α_1 and a genus $\hat{g}_j = g - g_j$ surface $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,j}}$ with $\hat{n}_j = n - n_j$ punctures and one border α_2, see figure 19. Following the discussion in subsection 3.3.2, we can write this term as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} dl_{\alpha_{1,j}} dl_{\alpha_{2,j}} \int_0^{2^{-M_{\alpha_{1,j}}} l_{\alpha_{1,j}}} \int_0^{2^{-M_{\alpha_{2,j}}} l_{\alpha_{2,j}}} d\tau_{\alpha_{1,j}} d\tau_{\alpha_{2,j}}$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}})} dV(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}}) \int_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}})} dV(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,j}}) \frac{2\Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},2n_R}(z_1, \cdots, z_K)}{1 + e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_{1,j}}(\mathcal{R}) + l_{\alpha_{2,j}}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}}. \tag{5.161}$$

Due to the property of Mirzakhani-McShane decomposition that is discussed in subsection 5.1.1 in the degeneration limit corresponds to the degeneration of both curves in the pair $\{\alpha_{1,j}, \alpha_{2,j}\}$ only this term will finite contribution to the full amplitude. Now we can insert the Mirzakhani-McShane identity for the surfaces $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,j}}$ inside the integration over $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{1,j}})$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_{2,j}})$. This provide further decomposition of the amplitude. Let us continue this way until we end up with only a set of pair of pants as component surfaces. We shall call this the maximal decomposition of the amplitude.

It is clear that at this stage each term in the full decomposition of amplitude corresponds to a specific pair of pants decomposition with curves on $\mathcal{R}$ that are used to cut the surface as the system of curve that provide this pants decomposition. Note that pair of pants decomposition associated with two distinct terms are not related to each other via a mapping class group transformation. Also due to the limiting behaviour of the functions that appear in the Mirzakhani-McShane identity, it is clear that when the surface degenerates by making the hyperbolic length of any curve γ tend to zero, only those terms in the maximal decomposition with associated pair of pants decomposition that do not contain any curve that intersect with γ will be finite. Remember that we defined the 1PR region inside the moduli space as that part in the surface obtained by gluing hyperbolic surfaces using hyperbolic annuli carrying geodesic with length less than the collar constant c_*. The collar constant c_* is assumed to be a very small number. As a result, *near the boundary of the 1PI region that corresponds to very small hyperbolic lengths of the curves $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_m$, only terms in the maximal decomposition of*

the amplitude that is associated with pair of pants decomposition which contain all $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_m$ will be finite. Therefore, we need to ensure that assignment of the local coordinates around the punctures and the PCO distribution are gluing compatible with respect to the degenerations of the curves $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_m$ only for these terms.

5.1.3 The gluing compatible PCO distribution

We shall utilize the above mentioned property of the maximal decomposition of the superstring amplitude to distribute the PCO's on Riemann surface in a gluing compatible way.

Reference pair of pants decomposition: Consider a genus g hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with n punctures. Assume that $2n_R$ number of R sector vertex operators and n_{NS} sector vertex operators are inserted at $n = n_{NS} + 2n_R$ punctures. Introduce $3g - 3 + n$ curves on the surface corresponding to a specific pair of pants decomposition of $\mathcal{R}$. Choose this pair of pants decomposition in such a way that two R sector states are inserted in the same pair of pants. We shall call this pair of pants decomposition, the *reference pair of pants decomposition* of the Riemann surface. We shall utilize the reference pair of pants decomposition for distributing the PCO's. Distribute $K = 2g - 2 + n$ number of PCO' on $\mathcal{R}_0$ in the following way.

- Do not place any PCO on pair of pants that contain two R punctures.
- Place one PCO in all remaining pair of pants.

Whenever we need to a place a PCO in a pair of pants, place this PCO at the middle point of the length minimizing geodesic connecting two boundaries of the pair of pants. We are free to choose any pair of boundaries. However, once we make a choice, we need to stick with that choice. With this prescription we can write the position of PCO as a function moduli parameters of the surface. Note that such a position vary as we move in the moduli space.

Denote the location of i^{th} PCO in the above mentioned PCO distribution by z_i^0. With this PCO distribution let us define superstring theory amplitude as

$$A_{g,n_{NS},2n_R} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{g,n}} dV \Omega_d^{g,n_{NS},n_R}(z_1^0, \cdots, z_K^0). \tag{5.162}$$

It is clear that above choice of PCO distribution is not gluing compatible. Near a generic boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ this PCO distribution has to be modified by performing vertical integra-

tion. Now we shall describe a systematic method for changing PCO locations that lead us to a gluing compatible PCO distribution. Based on the observation that we made in the previous paragraph, we shall argue that it is possible to make the PCO distribution gluing compatible by changing the PCO distribution with in each term of the maximal decomposition of the string amplitude.

PCO distribution for terms in the maximal decomposition: Consider the k^{th} term in the maximal decomposition and denote the pair of pants decomposition associated with this term by $\mathcal{P}_k = \{\mathcal{C}_j^k,\ j = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n\}$. For this term use the measure that is defined by choosing the following distribution of PCO's. We assume that collar constant c_* is very small so that we can neglect contributions of order c_*^3 .

- For $l_{\mathcal{C}_j} \geq (1+\epsilon)c_*$, where $\mathcal{C}_j$ is a geodesic that separates the surface into two disconnected pieces and ϵ is a constant less than one, choose the PCO distribution that is defined with respect to the reference pair of pants decomposition as explained above.

- Near the boundary of the moduli space, where m curves, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k,\ j = 1, \cdots, m\}$, from pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_k$ degenerates, choose the region $l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k} \leq (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ inside the integration region associated with the maximal decomposition. These m curves have the property that cutting the surface along any of them will separates the surface into two distinct Riemann surfaces. On the surfaces belongs to this region change the PCO distribution to the following. Assume that the surface as obtained from plumbing fixture of component surfaces with the m curves living on the plumbing collars. On the component surfaces we have the reference pair of pants decomposition. Associated with these reference pair of pants we also have the reference PCO distribution as explained above. On the surfaces belongs to the region $l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k} \leq (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$, let us choose the pair of pants decomposition that is consistent with the reference pair of pants decompositions on the component surfaces. Therefore, w need to place the PCO's on the middle point of the geodesics that are used for inserting PCO's in the component surfaces with respect to the reference pair of pants decomposition. Remember that a geodesic is sensitive to the metric on the surface. Use the grafted metric on the surface

that is expressed in terms of the hyperbolic metric as below to find these geodesics:

$$ds^2_{graft} = ds^2_{hyp}\Big(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{l^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^k_j}}{3}(E^\dagger_{k,1} + E^\dagger_{k,2})\Big), \tag{5.163}$$

where $E^\dagger_{j,1}$ and $E^\dagger_{j,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(.;2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the j^{th} collar. If the puncture that are being glued to obtain the plumbing collar carry a R sector states then smear a PCO on the core geodesic of the plumbing collar. On the locus $l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^k_j} = (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j = 1, \cdots, m$ inside the integration region, the PCO distribution do not match. Fill this gap by following the vertical integration prescription.

To find the higher order corrections, we need to solve the curvature correction equation (3.68) perturbatively to higher orders of c_*. This gives the higher order corrections to the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp}. This procedure can be done up to arbitrary order by solving the curvature correction equation [40], as explained in the subsection 3.1.4.

5.1.4 The gluing compatible choice of local coordinates

Again, we shall use the maximal decomposition of the superstring amplitudes for choosing the local coordinates around the punctures on the hyperbolic Riemann surface over the entire region of the moduli space in gluing compatible way. Consider the k^{th} term in the maximal decomposition and denote the pair of pants decomposition associated with this term by $\mathcal{P}_k = \{\mathcal{C}^k_j,\ j = 1, \cdots, 3g-3+n\}$. Then, for this term use the measure that is defined by choosing around each punctures following choice of local coordinates. We assume that collar constant c_* is very small so that we can neglect contributions of order c_*^3 .

- For $l_{\mathcal{C}^k_j} \geq (1+\epsilon)c_*$, where $\mathcal{C}^k_j$ is a geodesic that separates the surface into two disconnected pieces and ϵ is a constant less than one, choose $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i$ as the local coordinate around i^{th} punctures. Here w_i is the local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric around the i^{th} puncture which vanishes at the puncture. In terms of the local coordinates w_i, the hyperbolic metric takes the following form around the punctures

$$ds^2_{hyp} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2. \tag{5.164}$$

- Near the boundary of the 1PI region, where m curves, $\{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k,\ j=1,\cdots,m\}$, from pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_k$ degenerates, choose an annular region $c_* \leq l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k} < (1+\epsilon)c_*,\ j=1,\cdots,m$. These m curves have the property that cutting the surface along any of them will separates the surface into two distinct Riemann surfaces. Inside this annular regions use the local coordinates around the punctures to be $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\hat{w}_i$ where $\hat{w}_i$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric

$$ds^2_{inter} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{((1+\epsilon)c_* - l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k})^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^\dagger_{j,1}+E^\dagger_{j,2})\Big) \tag{5.165}$$

around the i^{th} puncture. Here $E^\dagger_{j,1}$ and $E^\dagger_{j,2}$ are the melding of the Eisenstein series $E(.;2)$ associated to the pair of cusps plumbed to form the k^{th} collar.

- Inside the 1PR region defined by $l_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k}, < c_*,\ j=1,\cdots,m$ choose the local coordinates around the punctures to $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\tilde{w}_i$ where $\tilde{w}_i$ is the local coordinates induced from the metric

$$ds^2_{graft} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{l^2_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_j^k}}{3}(E^\dagger_{j,1}+E^\dagger_{j,2})\Big) \tag{5.166}$$

Again, to find the higher order corrections, we need to solve the curvature correction equation (3.68) perturbatively to higher orders of c_*.

5.2 The infrared regulator and the maximal decomposition

The off-shell superstring amplitudes can have infrared divergences coming from the boundary region of the moduli space where the surface degenerate. We shall regulate them by properly choosing the integration cycle in that region. Consider the boundary region of moduli space that corresponds to the degeneration of a specific curve $\mathcal{C}$. Based on the observations that we made in the preceding subsection it is not difficult to see that we need to modify the integration cycle for only those terms in the maximal decomposition that are associated with the degeneration of curve $\mathcal{C}$. For these terms integration cycle contains integration over hyperbolic length $l_{\mathcal{C}}$ of the curve $\mathcal{C}$. For generic degeneration near the boundary we shall deform the integration cycle by changing $l_{\mathcal{C}} \to -\mathrm{i}l_{\mathcal{C}}$ for $l_{\mathcal{C}} \leq c_*$. Also we need to multiply the measure with a factor of

$e^{-\frac{2\pi^2\epsilon}{l}}$. This can be seen from the equation 3.64 that states the relation between the hyperbolic length of the geodesic on the degenerating collar and the plumbing fixture parameter. For special degeneration we shall place a lower cut $\frac{2\pi^2}{\Lambda} < c_*$ of for $l_{\mathcal{C}}$ integration. For the definitions of the special and the generic degenerations see the subsection 4.4.

5.3 The integration cycle avoiding the spurious poles

The off-shell superstring amplitudes defined this way may contain the spurious poles. Therefore, we need to construct the integration cycles that avoid these unwanted divergences. Again we shall work with each term in the maximal decomposition of the superstring amplitude. With in each term we can perform the vertical integration to make this term free from the spurious divergences as discussed in the subsection 4.6. As a result the full superstring amplitude will become free from the spurious divergences.

6 Illustration of the construction through examples

In this section we illustrate the construction described in this paper by explicitly constructing simple string amplitudes.

6.1 Tree level four point off-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory

As a simple example to illustrate the above method, let us consider tree level string amplitude with four off-shell external states in the bosonic string theory. The steps for this computation are as follows:

- Let us start by writing the formal expression for the string amplitude, given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{0,4} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,4}} \Omega_2^{0,4}(\ell, \tau), \tag{6.167}$$

  where $\Omega_2^{0,4}(\ell, \tau) = \Lambda(\ell, \tau) d\ell \wedge d\tau$ is the string measure, a rank 2 differential form, which can be constructed using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures using the gluing compatible choice of local coordinates.



Figure 23: A hyperbolic sphere with four punctures. The punctures are represented as cusps at infinity.

- Find the maximal decomposition of the expression 6.167. For this, consider the Mirzkhani-McShane identity for a four punctured sphere, given by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{4} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_{1,k}} \frac{2}{1 + e^{\frac{l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} = 1. \tag{6.168}$$

  Say, γ_k is a representative curve for the set $\mathcal{F}_{1,k}$, for $k = 2, 3, 4$ as shown in figure 23. They lead to three non-equivalent pairs of pants decomposition distinguished by the different grouping of the punctures. If the punctures are denoted by p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, the different pairs of pants decomposition correspond to the following grouping of the punctures:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1 : \{(p_1, p_2), (p_3, p_4)\} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \textit{cutting along } \gamma_2 \\ \mathcal{P}_2 : \{(p_1, p_3), (p_2, p_4)\} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \textit{cutting along } \gamma_3 \\ \mathcal{P}_3 : \{(p_1, p_4), (p_2, p_3)\} \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \textit{cutting along } \gamma_4 \end{aligned} \tag{6.169}$$

There are therefore, three terms in the maximal decomposition of the tree level four point string amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{0,4} &= \sum_{k=2}^{4}\int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\gamma_k}} d\ell_{\gamma_i} d\tau_{\gamma_k} \frac{2\Lambda^k(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{4}\int_0^{\infty} d\ell_{\gamma_k}\int_0^{\ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_k} \frac{2\Lambda^k(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.170}$$

- Split each term in the maximal decomposition of the amplitude into three contributions coming from the 1PR region, an annular band near the boundary of the 1PI region and the region complement to the annular band inside the 1PI region of the moduli space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{0,4} &= \sum_{k=2}^{4}\Big\{\int_0^{c_*} d\ell_{\gamma_k}\int_0^{\ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_k}\frac{2\Lambda_1^k(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} + \int_{c_*}^{(1+\epsilon)c_*} d\ell_{\gamma_k}\int_0^{\ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_k}\frac{2\Lambda_2^k(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\ &\quad + \int_{(1+\epsilon)c_*}^{\infty} d\ell_{\gamma_k}\int_0^{\ell_{\gamma_k}} d\tau_{\gamma_k}\frac{2\Lambda_3^k(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.171}$$

- Construct the mapping class group invariant function $\Lambda_j^k(\ell_{\gamma_i},\tau_{\gamma_i})$ for $j=1,2,3$ as follows:

  1. Construct $\Lambda_1^k(\ell_{\gamma_i},\tau_{\gamma_i})$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\hat{w}_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures, where $\hat{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} induced from the grafted metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

$$ds^2_{graft} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{\ell^2_{\gamma_k}}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{k,1}+E^{\dagger}_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.172}$$

     where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

  2. Construct $\Lambda_2^k(\ell_{\gamma_i},\tau_{\gamma_i})$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coor-

dinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\tilde{w}_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures where $\tilde{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puntcure is induced from the interpolating metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

$$ds^2_{inter} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{((1+\epsilon)c_*-\ell_{\gamma_k})^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^\dagger_{k,1}+E^\dagger_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.173}$$

3. Construct $\Lambda^k_3(\ell_{\gamma_i},\tau_{\gamma_i})$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}w_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures where w_i is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puncture induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

6.2 One loop two point off-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory

The first higher loop off-shell amplitude in bosonic string theory is given by the scattering of two off-shell external states on a genus one surface.

- Let us start by writing the formal expression for the string amplitude, given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,2}} \Omega^{1,2}_4(\ell_1,\tau_1,\ell_2,\tau_2), \tag{6.174}$$

  where $\Omega^{1,2}_4(\ell,\tau) = \Lambda(\ell_1,\tau_1,\ell_2,\tau_2)d\ell_1\wedge d\tau_1\wedge d\ell_2\wedge d\tau_2$ is the string measure, a rank 4 differential form, that can be constructed using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures using the gluing compatible choice of local coordinates.

- Find the maximal decomposition of the string amplitude 6.186. The Mirzakhani-McShane



Figure 24: A hyperbolic torus with two punctures (represented by cusps at infinity) and its possible pairs of pants decompositions: (a) $\mathcal{P}_1$: Using the curves α_1, α_2 ; (b) $\mathcal{P}_2$: Using the curves γ_2 and α_3.

identity for a torus with two punctures given by

$$\sum_{\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\}\in\mathcal{F}_1} \frac{2}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{R})+l_{\alpha_2}(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} + \sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{F}_{1,2}} \frac{1}{1+e^{\frac{l_\gamma(\mathcal{R})}{2}}} = 1. \tag{6.175}$$

can be inserted inside the expression for string amplitude 6.186 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1,2} &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,2}^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}} \frac{2\ \Omega_4^{1,2}(\ell_1,\tau_1,\ell_2,\tau_2)}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\alpha_1}+\ell_{\alpha_2}}{2}}} + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,2}^{\gamma_2}} \frac{\Omega_4^{1,2}(\ell_1,\tau_1,\ell_2,\tau_2)}{1+e^{\frac{l_{\gamma_2}}{2}}} \\
&= \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_1} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_1}} d\tau_{\alpha_1} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_2}} d\tau_{\alpha_2} \frac{2\ \Lambda^1(\ell_{\alpha_1},\tau_{\alpha_1},\ell_{\alpha_2},\tau_{\alpha_2})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\alpha_1}+\ell_{\alpha_2}}{2}}} \\
&+ \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}} d\tau_{\gamma_2} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(\ell_{\gamma_2})} \frac{\Omega_2^{1,1}(\ell_{\gamma_2},\tau_{\gamma_2},\ell_{\alpha_3}\tau_{\alpha_3})}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.176}$$

Let us insert the Mirzakhani-McShane identity for a torus with one border having length ℓ_{γ_2} inside the integration over $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(\ell_{\gamma_2})$ in the second term of the expression 6.186, given

by

$$\sum_{\alpha_3 \in \mathcal{F}_{\gamma_2}} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell_{\gamma_2}, l_{\gamma_3}(\mathcal{R}), l_{\gamma_3}(\mathcal{R}))}{\ell_{\gamma_2}} = 1. \tag{6.177}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 - \ln\Big(\frac{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1+x_3}{2})}{\cosh(\frac{x_2}{2}) + \cosh(\frac{x_1-x_3}{2})}\Big). \tag{6.178}$$

We thus obtain the maximal decomposition of the string amplitude 6.186:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1,2} &= \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_1} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_1}} d\tau_{\alpha_1} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_2}} d\tau_{\alpha_2} \frac{2\ \Lambda^1(\ell_{\alpha_1}, \tau_{\alpha_1}, \ell_{\alpha_2}, \tau_{\alpha_2})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\alpha_1}+\ell_{\alpha_2}}{2}}} \\
&+ \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\gamma_2} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_3} \int_0^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}} d\tau_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_3}} d\tau_{\alpha_3} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \ell_{\alpha_3})}{\ell_{\gamma_2}} \frac{\Lambda^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.179}$$

There are again two types of pairs of pants decompositions associated with the maximal decomposition of the string amplitude, denote by $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ (see figure (24)). In the pairs of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_1$, we cut along the curves α_1 and α_2 and in the pairs of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_2$, we cut along the curve γ_2 and α_3.

- Split each term in the maximal decomposition of the amplitude into three contributions coming from the 1PR region, an annular band near the boundary of the 1PI region and the region complement to the annular band inside the 1PI region of the moduli space. Note that, out of all curves $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and γ_2 only the region where length of γ_2 is less than c_* corresponds to the 1PR region of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$. Therefore, the required splitting is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1,2} &= \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_1} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_1}} d\tau_{\alpha_1} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_2}} d\tau_{\alpha_2} \frac{2\ \Lambda_1^1(\ell_{\alpha_1}, \tau_{\alpha_1}, \ell_{\alpha_2}, \tau_{\alpha_2})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\alpha_1}+\ell_{\alpha_2}}{2}}} \\
&+ \int_0^{c_*} d\ell_{\gamma_2} \int_0^\infty d\ell_{\alpha_3} \int_0^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}} d\tau_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_3}} d\tau_{\alpha_3} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \ell_{\alpha_3})}{\ell_{\gamma_2}} \frac{\Lambda_2^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{c_*}^{(1+\epsilon)c_*} d\ell_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\infty} d\ell_{\alpha_3} \int_0^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}} d\tau_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_3}} d\tau_{\alpha_3} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \ell_{\alpha_3})}{\ell_{\gamma_2}} \frac{\Lambda_3^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_{\alpha_3}}, \ell_2, \tau_{\alpha_3})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}}}$$
$$+ \int_{(1+\epsilon)c_*}^{\infty} d\ell_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\infty} d\ell_{\alpha_3} \int_0^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}} d\tau_{\gamma_2} \int_0^{\ell_{\alpha_3}} d\tau_{\alpha_3} \frac{\mathcal{D}(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \ell_{\alpha_3})}{\ell_{\gamma_2}} \frac{\Lambda_1^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_2}}{2}}} \tag{6.180}$$

- Construct the mapping class group invariant functions Λ_1^1 and Λ_j^2 for $j = 1, 2, 3$, as follows:

  1. Construct $\Lambda_2^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_2$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} \hat{w}_i,\ i = 1, 2$ around the punctures, where $\hat{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} induced from the grafted metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

  $$ds^2_{graft} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2 \Big(1 - \frac{\ell^2_{\gamma_2}}{3}(E^{\dagger}_{k,1} + E^{\dagger}_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.181}$$

  where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

  2. Construct $\Lambda_3^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_2$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} \tilde{w}_i,\ i = 1, 2$ around the punctures where $\tilde{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puntcure is induced from the interpolating metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

  $$ds^2_{inter} = \Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2 \Big(1 - \frac{((1+\epsilon)c_* - \ell_{\gamma_2})^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^{\dagger}_{k,1} + E^{\dagger}_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.182}$$

  3. In order to construct $\Lambda_1^1(\ell_{\alpha_1}, \tau_{\alpha_1}, \ell_{\alpha_2}, \tau_{\alpha_2})$, use the beltrami differentials for the

Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_1$ and for constructing $\Lambda_1^2(\ell_{\gamma_2}, \tau_{\gamma_2}, \ell_{\alpha_3}, \tau_{\alpha_3})$, use the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_2$. For both insert the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via using the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}} w_i,\ i = 1, 2$ around the punctures where w_i is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puncture induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

6.3 Tree level four point off-shell amplitudes in superstring theory

Consider the tree-level amplitudes in the heterotic string theory with 4 external off-shell states. These external states could belong to either NS sector or to the R sector. There are three possibilities:

1. All four external states can belong to NS sector.
2. Two external states belong to NS and the remaining two external states belong to R sector.
3. All four external states can belong to R sector.

We shall discuss only the situation where there are four external states belongs to NS sector.

Consider the scattering of four off-shell states belongs to NS sector having picture number -1. We need to insert two PCO's on the world-sheet to make the total picture number vanish. Assume that the two PCO's are inserted at positions $z_1(\ell, \tau)$ and $z_2(\ell, \tau)$ respectively. The corresponding superstring measure is given by

$$\Omega_2^{0,4,0}(z_1, z_2) = (2\pi\mathrm{i})^{-(1)} \langle \Sigma | \mathcal{B}_2 | \Phi \rangle, \qquad \mathcal{B}_2 = \sum_{r=0}^{2} K^{(r)} \wedge B_{2-r} \tag{6.183}$$

where $K^{(r)}$ is the r-form in the following expansion

$$\mathbf{K} = \Big(\chi(z_1) - \partial\xi(z_1) dz_1\Big) \wedge \Big(\chi(z_2) - \partial\xi(z_2) dz_2\Big), \tag{6.184}$$

B_{2-r} is the $(2-r)$-form in the following expansion

$$\mathbf{B} = \Big(1 + b(t_\ell)\Big) \wedge \Big(1 + b(t_\tau)\Big), \tag{6.185}$$

and $|\Phi\rangle$ denotes the four external off-shell NS states. Here t_ℓ and t_τ denote the tangent vectors corresponding to the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields. In order to obtain the superstring amplitude, we need to integrate this superstring measure over the moduli space. We can do this as follows:

- Let us start by writing the formal expression for the superstring amplitude, given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{0,4,0} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{0,4}} \Omega_2^{0,4,0}(\ell, \tau; z_1(\ell, \tau), z_2(\ell, \tau)). \tag{6.186}$$

- Write the maximal decomposition of the tree level four point superstring amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}_{0,4,0} \;=\; \sum_{k=2}^{4} \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=\infty} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega_2^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}}. \tag{6.187}$$

  Curves $\gamma_k,\ k = 2, 3, 4$ are as shown in figure 23.

- Split each term in the maximal decomposition of the amplitude into three contributions coming from the 1PR region, an annular band near the boundary of the 1PI region and the region complement to the annular band inside the 1PI region of the moduli space:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{0,4,0} \;=\; \sum_{k=2}^{4} \Big\{ & \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=c_*} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega_{2,1}^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\
& + \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=c_*}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=(1+\epsilon)c_*} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega_{2,2}^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\
& + \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=(1+\epsilon)c_*}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=\infty} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega_{2,3}^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1 + e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.188}$$

- Construct the two form $\Omega_{2,j}^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ as follows:

  1. Construct $\Omega_{2,1}^{0,4,0}(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}; z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}), z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k}, \tau_{\gamma_k}))$ using the beltrami differentials for

the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\hat{w}_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures, where $\hat{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} induced from the grafted metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

$$ds^2_{graft}=\Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{\ell^2_{\gamma_k}}{3}(E^\dagger_{k,1}+E^\dagger_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.189}$$

where w_i is the natural local coordinate induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

2. Construct $\Omega^{0,4,0}_{2,2}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k};z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}),z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}))$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}\tilde{w}_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures where $\tilde{w}_i$ is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puntcure is induced from the interpolating metric around the punctures which is related to the hyperbolic metric around the punctures given by the following expansion in collar constant c_*:

$$ds^2_{inter}=\Big(\frac{|dw_i|}{|w_i|\ln|w_i|}\Big)^2\Big(1-\frac{((1+\epsilon)c_*-\ell_{\gamma_k})^2}{3\epsilon^2}(E^\dagger_{k,1}+E^\dagger_{k,2})\Big) \tag{6.190}$$

3. Construct $\Omega^{0,4,0}_{2,3}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k};z_1(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}),z_2(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}))$ using the beltrami differentials for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields associated with the pair of pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}_{k-1}$ and correlation functions of the vertex operators representing the external states inserted at the punctures via the local coordinates $e^{\frac{\pi^2}{c_*}}w_i,\ i=1,\cdots,4$ around the punctures where w_i is the natural local coordinate around the i^{th} puncture induced from the hyperbolic metric on the surface.

- Make the PCO distribution gluing compatible. To this purpose, assume that :
  - z_1 is the middle point of the minimum distance geodesic connecting the punctures

p_1 and p_2 and similarly z_2 is the middle point of the minimum distance geodesic connecting the punctures p_3 and p_4. Here we use the hyperbolic metric on the surface to find the geodesic.

- z_1' is the middle point of the minimum distance geodesic connecting the punctures p_1 and p_2 and similarly z_2' is the middle point of the minimum distance geodesic connecting the punctures p_3 and p_4. Here we use the grafted metric on the surface to find the geodesic.
- w_1 is the middle point of the minimum distance curve connecting the punctures p_1 and p_3 and similarly w_2 is the middle point of the minimum distance curve connecting the punctures p_2 and p_4. Here we use the grafted metric on the surface represented in terms of the hyperbolic metric on the surface to find the geodesic.
- x_1 is the middle point of the minimum distance curve connecting the punctures p_1 and p_4 and similarly x_2 is the middle point of the minimum distance curve connecting the punctures p_2 and p_3. Again, we use the grafted metric on the surface represented in terms of the hyperbolic metric on the surface to find the geodesic.

Introduce the notation z_{jk} for $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 2, 3, 4$, defined as $z_{i2} = z_i'$, $z_{i,3} = w_i$ and $z_{i,4} = x_i$. Then the superstring amplitude with gluing compatible choice of PCO is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{0,4,0} \;=\; & \sum_{k=2}^{4} \Big\{ \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=c_*} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega^{0,4,0}_{2,1}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}; z_{1,k}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}), z_{2,k}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\
& + \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=c_*}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=(1+\epsilon)c_*} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega^{0,4,0}_{2,2}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}; z_{1,k}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}), z_{2,k}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\
& + \int_{\ell_{\gamma_k}=(1+\epsilon)c_*}^{\ell_{\gamma_k}=\infty} \int_{\tau_{\gamma_k}=0}^{\tau_{\gamma_k}=\ell_{\gamma_k}} \frac{2\ \Omega^{0,4,0}_{2,3}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}; z_{1}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}), z_{2}(\ell_{\gamma_k},\tau_{\gamma_k}))}{1+e^{\frac{\ell_{\gamma_k}}{2}}} \\
& + \Delta_k(\{z_1, z_2\} \to \{z_{1,k}, z_{2,k}\}) \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.191}$$

where $\Delta_k(\{z_1, z_2\} \to \{z_{1,k}, z_{2,k}\})$ denote the correction factor computed by performing the vertical integration, needed for changing the PCO locations from $\{z_1, z_2\}$ to $\{z_{1,k}, z_{2,k}\}$, on the locus in the covering space of the moduli space defined with respect



Figure 25: Correlation functions of a conformal field theory on a hyperbolic Riemann surface can be obtained by gluing path integrals over all the pairs of pants in a pair of pants decomposition of the surface.

to the curve γ_k given by $\ell_{\gamma_k} = (1+\epsilon)c_*$.

7 Correlation functions by gluing pairs of pants

We discussed in the preceding subsections that the off-shell superstring measure can be written as an appropriate correlation functions on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}$. Then it can be integrated over the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ by going to a certain cover of the moduli space to obtain the off-shell superstring amplitude. In order to perform the integration we need to express these correlation functions as functions of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. In this section, we shall describe an algorithm for computing the correlation functions of free CFT on the hyperbolic Riemann surface as a function of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

Assume that we wish to compute the correlation function of n operators in a conformal field theory inserted at n punctures on a genus g hyperbolic Riemann surface. We know that we can build the genus g hyperbolic Riemann surface with n punctures by gluing $3g-3+n$ number of pairs of pants using the Fenchel-Nielsen identifications. The hyperbolic Riemann surface built this way comes with a set of $3g-3+n$ number of curves on it and these $3g-3+n$ curves provides the pair of pants decomposition of the surface. In order to compute the correlation functions we need to calculate the conformal field theory path integral with the operators inserted at the locations of n punctures. We can split this path integral into the following

pieces, see figure 25.

- The path integral over $3g-3$ pair of pants that do not contain external punctures with a specific boundary conditions at the boundary of the pair of pants. The boundaries that are glued to obtain the Riemann surface share the same boundary conditions.

- The path integral over n pair of pants that contain the external punctures as the boundary elements. Before performing the path integral remove a unit disc with respect to the local coordinates defined around the external puncture. This way we obtain n pair of pants that do not contain any external puncture. Then perform the path integral over each pair of pants by imposing the same boundary condition along the boundaries that are shared with other pair of pants. Along the boundary that is shared with the unit disc around the external puncture use the same boundary condition that is induced by the operator inserted at the puncture along the boundary of unit disc.

- Except for the boundaries shared with the boundary of unit disc containing external punctures, sum over all boundary conditions that are induced by the complete set of states in the Hilbert space of conformal field theory.

The path integral over a pair pants with specific boundary conditions along it's boundaries can be thought of as an inner product between states. This is done by replacing the path integral over pair of pants by the surface state, $\langle\Sigma^P_{123}|$, for the pair of pants P and the boundary conditions along three boundaries by three states in the Hilbert space of conformal field theory. Then the correlation function for three vertex operators A, B, C inserted at locations of punctures b_1, b_2, b_3 respectively on hyperbolic Riemann surface shown in figure 25 is given by

$$\sum_{i,j,k}\langle\Sigma^{P_1}_{123}||A\rangle|B\rangle|X_i\rangle\langle\Sigma^{P_2}_{1^\dagger 23}|\langle X_i||Y_j\rangle|Z_k\rangle\langle\Sigma^{P_3}_{1^\dagger 2^\dagger 3}|\langle Y_j|\langle Z_k||C\rangle, \tag{7.192}$$

where $|X_i\rangle, |Y_j\rangle, |Z_k\rangle$ are states in the Hilbert space of conformal field theory and the summation is over all states in the Hilbert space. This reduces the problem of computing the correlation functions to computing the surface states $\langle\Sigma^P_{123}|$ for pair of pants P with boundaries $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ having hyperbolic lengths L_1, L_2, L_3 respectively. Below we shall explain the explicit construction of $\langle\Sigma^P_{123}|$.



Figure 26: Mapping fundamental domain of Fuchsian uniformization corresponding to a pair of pants to conformal plane. Functions $h_i(w_i)$ denote the conformal mapping that maps a unit circle on w_i plane with centre at the origin to curve $\mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

7.1 Construction of the surface state for a pair of pants

Assume that Γ_P is the Fuchsian group corresponding to the pair of pants P. Consider a function $f(z)$ that is automorphic with respect to the Fuchsian group Γ_P. Assume that $f(z)$ maps the fundamental region $\mathcal{F}_P$ of Γ_P in the upper-half plane to the complex plane, whose image in the complex plane is homeomorphic to

$$P_0 = \{|z| < 2\} - \Big(\{|z+1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{|z-1| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}\Big), \tag{7.193}$$

which is simply a disc with two holes in it. Possibly, the function $f(z)$ can be constructed by considering the appropriate ratios of the Schottky-Klein prime forms for the Fuchsian group Γ_P. Function $f(z)$ maps the boundaries of $\mathcal{F}_P$ that corresponds to a specific boundary of the pair of pants P to a closed curve that is homeomorphic to a unit circle in the complex plane. Let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be the closed curve that corresponds to the i^{th} boundary of the pair of pants having hyperbolic length L_i and $h_i(w_i)$ be the conformal mappings that maps a unit circle on w_i plane with centre at the origin to curve $\mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, see figure 26. Map $h_i(w_i)$ must be an analytic function of w_i inside the unit circle.

Consider the path integral of conformal field theory over the pair of pants with boundary conditions that are induced from operators $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{O}_3$ at the boundaries labelled by $i = 1, 2, 3$

respectively. Then the result of the path integral is given by

$$\langle \Sigma^P_{123}||\mathcal{O}_1\rangle|\mathcal{O}_2\rangle|\mathcal{O}_3\rangle = \langle h_1[\mathcal{O}_1]h_2[\mathcal{O}_2]h_3[\mathcal{O}_3]\rangle, \tag{7.194}$$

where the right hand side is a three point function evaluated on the conformal plane with three operators $h_1[\mathcal{O}_1], h_2[\mathcal{O}_2], h_3[\mathcal{O}_3]$. From this identification and the operator product expansion we can write down the expression for the surface state $\langle \Sigma^P_{123}|$ associated with the pair of pants [54–58]. Below, we shall explain the construction of surface states corresponds to the pair of pants for the conformal field theory of d free scalars [54]. Following the idea of this construction it is possible to construct the surface states for any conformal field theory that is relevant for the superstring theory.

7.1.1 The scalar fields

Consider the conformal field theory of d scalar fields on the Riemann surface. In the conformal gauge this theory has the following action

$$S = \int \frac{d^2z}{2\pi} \partial_z X^\mu \partial_{\bar{z}} X^\mu. \tag{7.195}$$

Fourier decomposition of the analytic part of the field X^μ is given by

$$\partial x^\mu(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a^\mu_n z^{-n-1}, \quad a^\mu_n = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} z^n \partial_z x^\mu(z). \tag{7.196}$$

The propagators are given by

$$\langle x^\mu(z) x^\nu(w)\rangle = -\eta^{\mu\nu} \ln(z-w) + \text{analytic part}. \tag{7.197}$$

Then we obtain the following commutation relations for the modes

$$[a^\mu_n, a^\nu_m] = -\eta^{\mu\nu} n \delta(n+m). \tag{7.198}$$

The family of vacua $|0,p\rangle$ is given by

$$|0,p\rangle = e^{\mathrm{i}p.X(0)}|0\rangle, \tag{7.199}$$

where the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ is defined as below

$$a_n^\mu|0\rangle = 0,\ n \geq 0. \tag{7.200}$$

Under a conformal transformation $h(z)$, the operators a_n^μ and $e^{\mathrm{i}p.X(0)}$ transforms as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h[a_n^\mu] &= \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi\mathrm{i}} z^{-n}(h'(z))\partial_z x^\mu(h(z)), \\ h[e^{\mathrm{i}p.X(0)}] &= |h_1'(z)|^{p^2/2} e^{\mathrm{i}p.X(h(z))}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.201}$$

If h_I, for $I = 1,2$ denote the conformal transformations to the same complex plane, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_1[\cdots a_{-n}\cdots]h_2[\cdots a_{-m}\cdots] &= \frac{1}{n}\oint \frac{dz}{2\pi\ \mathrm{i}} z^{-n}(h_2'(z))\frac{1}{m}\oint \frac{dw}{2\pi\ \mathrm{i}} w^{-m}(h_2'(w))\frac{1}{(h_1(z)-h_2(w))^2} \\ \langle\prod_I e^{\mathrm{i}p_I.X(h_I(0))}\rangle &= e^{\sum_{I<J} p_I\cdot p_J \ln|h_I(0)-h_J(0)|}(2\pi)^d\delta^d(\sum_I p_I). \end{aligned} \tag{7.202}$$

Using these informations we can construct the surface state for the pair of pants having the property 7.194. It is given by

$$\langle\Sigma_{123}^P(X)| = \langle 0|_1 \otimes \langle 0|_2 \otimes \langle 0|_3 \int_{p_1,p_2,p_3} (2\pi)^d\delta^d(p_1+p_2+p_3) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{I,J}\sum_{n,m\geq 0} a_n^I N_{nm}^{IJ} a_m^J}. \tag{7.203}$$

Here I, J label the boundaries of the pair of pants, n, m are the mode numbers. For the modes a_n^I, n runs over the values $0, 1, 2, \cdots$, with $a_0^I = -\mathrm{i}p_I$. The Neumann coefficients N_{nm}^{IJ} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_{00}^{II} &= \ln|h_I'(0)|, \\ N_{00}^{IJ} &= \ln|h_I(0)-h_J(0)|,\ \ I \neq J, \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{IJ}_{0m} &= \frac{1}{m}\oint \frac{dw}{2\pi\ \mathrm{i}} w^{-m}(h'_J(w))\frac{-1}{(h_I(0)-h_J(w))},\\
N^{IJ}_{nm} &= \frac{1}{n}\oint \frac{dz}{2\pi\ \mathrm{i}} z^{-n}(h'_I(z))\frac{1}{m}\oint \frac{dw}{2\pi\ \mathrm{i}} w^{-m}(h'_J(w))\frac{1}{(h_I(z)-h_J(w))^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.204}
$$

7.2 Gluing the pairs of pants

Let us describe the procedure for gluing the path integrals on each pair of pants to obtain the path integral over the hyperbolic Riemann surface. Gluing the path integral on two pair of pants requires identifying the boundaries via the Fuchsian identification and imposing the boundary condition at both boundaries that are induced from the same state that belongs to the Hilbert space of the conformal field theory and then summing over the complete set of states in the Hilbert space.

This can be implemented by first constructing the Fuchsian group, Γ, for the hyperbolic Riemann surface as a function of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the Teichmüller space, by combining the Fuchsian groups for each pair of pants. An algorithm for constructing the Fuchsian group generating the hyperbolic Riemann surface that corresponds to a specific point in the Teichmüller space as a function of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates is explained in the appendix B. This involves finding the matrices that relate the generators of different pair of pants generator via conjugation. Then construct the surface states for each pair of pants by using functions $f_P(z)$ which are automorphic with respect to the Fuchsian group, Γ_P, generating each of the pair of pants, that are the subgroups of the Fuchsian group Γ. Then sum over the complete set of states after inserting the same states at the boundaries that are being glued. For the half the integer spin states we also need to introduce appropriate projection operators at the boundaries whose identifications provide the handles.

7.3 Illustration of the construction: partition function on the torus

Consider the conformal field theory of d free scalar fields on a torus with period τ. In order to elucidate the idea described above, let us compute the partition function of this theory on the torus by constructing the surface state for sphere with two holes. Torus can be uniformized by the discrete group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$ acting on a complex plane. Fundamental domain for this group is a parallelogram with vertices at $z = 0, z = 1, z = \tau, z = \tau + 1$. Transformations $z \to z + 1$ and $z \to z + \tau$ generate two subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_τ of the uniformization group $\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z}$. Let



Figure 27: Mapping the fundamental domain of a torus to conformal plane using an automorphic function $f(z)$ that us with respect to the group generated by the transformation $z \to z + 1$. Functions $h_i(w_i)$ denote the conformal mappings that maps a unit circle on w_i plane with centre at the origin to curve $\mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

us map the fundamental domain of Γ to complex plane by the function $f(z) = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} z}$ that is automorphic with respect to the group Γ_1. This map the parallelogram to an annular region having unit radius inner circle and an outer circle of radius $|e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\tau}|$. We obtain torus from this annual region by identifying the inner and the outer circles having opposite orientation after twisting the outer circle by a phase factor of $e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} \mathrm{Re}\ \tau}$. This suggest that the conformal maps from the unit circle on the complex plane to the boundaries of the annular region that are being identified to obtain the torus is given by

$$h_1(w_1) = w_1, \quad h_2(w_2) = -\frac{e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\tau}}{w_2}. \tag{7.205}$$

Then the surface state for the cylinder is given by

$$\langle \Sigma_{12}^C(X)| = \langle 0|_1 \otimes \langle 0|_2 \int_{p_1,p_2} (2\pi)^d \delta^d(p_1 + p_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{I,J}\sum_{n,m\geq 0} a_n^I N_{nm}^{IJ} a_m^J}, \tag{7.206}$$

with the Neumann coefficients computed by evaluating 7.204, using the expressions for the conformal maps 7.205. It is straight forward to verify that by inserting the same states at both boundaries, summing over complete set of sates and also by considering the antiholomorphic part of the surface state, we get the partition function for the X^μ-conformal field theory.

8 Future directions

We conclude by mentioning some exciting directions that need further investigations.

- It is known that amplitudes two-dimensional topological gravity and topological string theory obey certain recursion relations [59–62]. It is interesting to check whether some limit of the superstring amplitudes has a recursive structure. It would be exciting if the field theory limit of superstring amplitudes has such property. In topological gravity case it is known that the underlying reason for such a recursive structure is the Mirzakhani-McShane identity and the symplectic reduction of Wolpert form on the moduli space [46, 61]. This suggest that by studying the implication of symplectic reduction for the Beltrami differentials associated with the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate vector fields that we used to define the string measure, it might be possible to check whether there exist some limit of the superstring amplitudes which has a recursive structure.

- Constructing the closed superstring field theories for Type II and Heterotic string theory using hyperbolic Riemann surfaces is another important direction [65]. This will provide a calculable formulation of closed superstring field theories. It is also possible to construct the 1PI and Wilsonian effective action of the superstring theory following the same route [66].

- In order to perform explicit computation of the scattering amplitudes in superstring theory, using Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates, we need to express the correlation function of chiral conformal field theories on Riemann surface that are relevant for superstring theory in terms of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. A method based on gluing pair of pants for computing the correlation functions of conformal field theories is described in section 7. In order to implement this method it is important to find the explicit form of the conformal map from the pair of pants with boundaries having specific hyperbolic length to a region in complex plane that is homeomorphic to extended complex plane with three unit disc removed from it. Progress along this direction can make explicit computations in superstring theory possible. Moreover, this will provide us functions of Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates that has nice transformation properties under mapping class group transformations. Understanding the mathematical properties of such functions is itself highly exciting.

- Finding the higher order corrections to the curvature equation 3.68 will be extremely useful.

Given that there is a significant progress in the study of the moduli space of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces in recent years, it is natural to expect that detailed study of the hyperbolic structure of superstring perturbation theory will eventually unveil the hidden beautiful structures of superstring amplitudes. By analyzing the field theory limit of superstring theory amplitudes we may also end up understanding further structures of quantum field theory amplitudes.

Acknowledgement: It is our pleasure to thank Davide Gaiotto and Ashoke Sen for detailed discussions and important comments on the draft. We are grateful to Kevin Costello, Thiago Fleury, Bernard Maskit, Kasra Rafi, Brad Safnuk, Mrityunjay Verma and Scott Wolpert for helpful discussions. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through Industry Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research & Innovation.

A The hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and the Teichmüller space

In this appendix we briefly review the theory of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces and their Teichmüller space. More rigorous discussions on this can be found in [50]. The hyperbolic Riemann surface is a Riemann surface with the constant -1 curvature metric defined on it. According to the uniformization theorem any Riemann surface with genus $g \geq 2$ can be made hyperbolic. For a genus one surface at least one puncture and for genus zero surface at least three punctures are required to make them hyperbolic. The hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ can be uniformized as $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ for some group Γ of isometries of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$. The group Γ is the Fuchsian group associated with $\mathcal{R}$. Each hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ inherits, by projection from $\mathbb{H}$, its own hyperbolic geometry.

The Poincaré upper-half plane

The hyperbolic metric on the upper half-plane,

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z : \text{ Im } z > 0\}, \tag{A.207}$$

is given by

$$ds^2_{hyp} = \frac{dzd\bar{z}}{(\text{Im}z)^2}. \tag{A.208}$$

The Gaussian curvature $K(h)$ of the Riemannian metric $h(z)^2|dz|^2,\ (h(z) > 0)$ is given by

$$K(h) = -\frac{4}{h^2}\frac{\partial^2 \ln\, h}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}. \tag{A.209}$$

Therefore, for the hyperbolic metric, for which $h(z) = \frac{1}{\text{Im}z}$, the Gaussian curvature $K(h)$ is a constant with value -1. As a result, the hyperbolic plane is constant -1 curvature surface. The isometries of the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ with hyperbolic metric are of the form,

$$z \to \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R},\ ad - bc = 1. \tag{A.210}$$

Given the hyperbolic metric ds^2_{hyp}, we define the hyperbolic length $l(\gamma)$ of a curve γ on the upper-half plane $\mathbb{H}$ to be

$$l(\gamma) = \int_\gamma ds_{hyp}. \tag{A.211}$$

The hyperbolic distance, $\rho(z, w)$, between two points z and w is defined to be the infimum of $l(\gamma)$ taken over all the curves γ in $\mathbb{H}$ that join z to w. Such a curve is the geodesic connecting the points z and w in the hyperbolic plane which is an arc of the circle or the line segment that passes through z and w and is orthogonal to the boundary of the hyperbolic plane. This distance is known explicitly, and is given by

$$\rho(z, w) = \ln\Big(\frac{1+\tau(z,w)}{1-\tau(z,w)}\Big) = 2\ \tanh^{-1}(\tau(z, w)), \tag{A.212}$$



Figure 28: The fundamental domain of Fuchsian uniformization corresponding to the genus 2 surface.

where $\tau(z,w) = \left|\frac{z-w}{\bar{z}-w}\right|$ in $\mathbb{H}$.

The fundamental domain of the Fuchsian group

An open set $\mathcal{F}$ of the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ is a fundamental domain for Γ if $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the following three conditions:

1. $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $\gamma \neq id$.
2. If $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, then $\mathbb{H} = \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \gamma(\bar{\mathcal{F}})$.
3. The relative boundary $\partial\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbb{H}$ has measure zero with respect to the two dimensional Lebsegue measure.

The Fuchsian uniformization of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces

The Riemann surface $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ can be considered as $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ with points $\partial\mathcal{F}$ identified under the covering group Γ. Let $\pi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{R}$ be the projection of $\mathbb{H}$ onto $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$. Since the Poincaré metric ds^2_{hyp} is invariant under the action by Γ, we obtain a Riemannian metric $ds^2_{\mathcal{R}}$ on $\mathcal{R}$ which satisfies $\pi^*(ds^2_{\mathcal{R}}) = ds^2$. We call $ds^2_{\mathcal{R}}$ the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}$. Every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ corresponds to an element $[C_\gamma]$ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{R})$ of $\mathcal{R}$. In particular, γ determines the free homotopy class of C_γ, where C_γ is a representative of the class $[C_\gamma]$. We say that γ covers the

closed curve C_γ. When $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is hyperbolic, it is seen that the closed curve $L_\gamma = A_\gamma/\langle\gamma\rangle$, the image on $\mathcal{R}$ of the axis A_γ by π, is the unique geodesic with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $\mathcal{R}$ belonging to the free homotopy class of C_γ. The axis of a hyperbolic element γ is the geodesic on $\mathbb{H}$ that connects the fixed points of γ. We call L_γ the closed geodesic corresponding to γ, or to C_γ. Let

$$\gamma(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}, \quad a,b,c,d \in \mathbb{R},\ ad-bc=1, \tag{A.213}$$

be a hyperbolic element of Γ and L_γ be the closed geodesic corresponding to γ. Then the hyperbolic length $l(L_\gamma)$ of L_γ satisfies,

$$\mathrm{tr}^2(\gamma) = (a+d)^2 = 4\cosh^2\Big(\frac{l(L_\gamma)}{2}\Big). \tag{A.214}$$

A.1 The pair of pants

Consider cutting the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ which admits the hyperbolic metric by a family of mutually disjoint simple closed geodesics on $\mathcal{R}$. Let P be a compact connected component of the resulting union of subsurfaces. If P contains no more simple closed geodesic of $\mathcal{R}$, then P should be homeomorphic to a planar region, say

$$P_0 = \{|z|<2\} - \Big(\{|z+1| \le \frac{1}{2}\} \cup \{|z-1| \le \frac{1}{2}\}\Big), \tag{A.215}$$

which is simply a disc with two holes in it. Such a subsurface P, which we call a pair of pants of $\mathcal{R}$, can be considered as one of the smallest pieces that can be used for rebuilding $\mathcal{R}$. Every boundary of P is a simple closed geodesic on $\mathcal{R}$.

Let us fix a pair of pants P of $\mathcal{R}$ arbitrarily. Assume that group Γ is the Fuchsian model of the surface $\mathcal{R}$ acting on $\mathbb{H}$, and $\pi : \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{R} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ is the projection. Let $\tilde{P}$ be a connected component of $\pi^{-1}(P)$. Denote by $\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$ the subgroup of Γ consisting of all elements γ of Γ such that $\gamma(\tilde{P}) = \tilde{P}$. Then $\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$ is a free group generated by two hyperbolic transformations, and $P = \tilde{P}/\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$. Set $\hat{P} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$. Then $\hat{P}$ is a surface obtained from P by attaching a suitable doubly connected region along each each boundary component. Hence, $\hat{P}$ is again triply connected, and $\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$ is a Fuchsian model of $\hat{P}$. Clearly, P is considered as a subsurface of $\hat{P}$, which is a

unique pair of pants of $\hat{P}$. in other words, P is uniquely determined by $\Gamma_{\tilde{P}}$. P is called the Nielsen kernel of $\hat{P}$, and $\hat{P}$ is called the Nielsen extension of P.

A.2 The complex structure of pair of pants

Let L_1, L_2 and L_3 be the boundary components, which are simple closed geodesics, of the pair of pants P. Let Γ_0 be a Fuchsian model of the domain Ω acting on $\mathbb{H}$. Then Γ_0 is a free group generated by two hyperbolic transformations γ_1 and γ_2. We may assume that γ_1 and γ_2 cover L_1 and L_2, respectively. It is possible to show that for an arbitrarily given triple (a_1, a_2, a_3) of positive numbers, there exists a triply connected planar Riemann surface Ω such that $l(L_j) = a_j,\ j = 1, 2, 3$. This is due to the fact that hyperbolic hexagon with edges as geodesic arcs in upper-half plane meeting perpendicularly at the corners is uniquely determined if we specify the lengths of three edges which do not meet at any corners of the hexagon.

Let D be the closed hyperbolic hexagon bounded by $\{C_j, L_j'\}_{j=1}^3$ corresponds to the given triple (a_1, a_2, a_3). Let $\eta_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, be the reflection with respect to C_j, i.e., anti-holomorphic automorphism of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ preserving C_j point wise. Set $\gamma_1 = \eta_1 \circ \eta_2,\ \gamma_2 = \eta_3 \circ \eta_1$. Then γ_1 and γ_2 are hyperbolic elements of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H})$. Let Γ_0 be the group generated by these γ_1 and γ_2. It is clear that $\Omega = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0$ is triply connected, and that the unique pair of pants P of Ω is the interior of the set obtained by identifying the boundary of $D \cup \eta_1(D)$ under the action by Γ_0.

The complex structure of a pair of pants P is uniquely determined by the hyperbolic lengths of the ordered boundary components of P. In other words, action of generators of group $\Gamma_0,\ \gamma_1$ and γ_2 are uniquely determined by the numbers a_1, a_2 and a_3. Let us assume that the action of γ_1 and γ_2 on $\mathbb{H}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(z) &= \lambda^2 z,\ 0 < \lambda < 1 \\ \gamma_2(z) &= \frac{az+b}{cz+d},\quad ad - bc = 1, c > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{A.216}$$

and that 1 is the attractive fixed point of γ_2, or equivalently, $a + b = c + d,\ 0 < -\frac{b}{c} < 1$. Also assume that

$$(\gamma_3)^{-1}(z) = \gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 = \frac{\tilde{a}z + \tilde{b}}{\tilde{c}z + \tilde{d}},\ \tilde{a}\tilde{d} - \tilde{b}\tilde{c} = 1. \tag{A.217}$$

Then it is possible to show that

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda+\frac{1}{\lambda})^2 &= 4\cosh^2(\frac{a_1}{2}),\\ (a+d)^2 &= 4\cosh^2(\frac{a_2}{2}),\\ (\tilde{a}+\tilde{d})^2 &= 4\cosh^2(\frac{a_3}{2}).\end{aligned} \tag{A.218}$$

A.3 The Teichmüller space of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces

Let (g,n) denotes the signature of a genus-g Riemann surface with n boundary components, then the topological classification of the Riemann surfaces is done with this unique pair. This means that the topological class of the Riemann surface is determined by its signature i.e. two surfaces with $(g_1,n_1)\neq(g_2,n_2)$ are topologically distinct. This means that there is no continuous map between two such surfaces such that its inverse is also continuous. We stress that if two Riemann surfaces are topologically the same, both of these numbers, namely g and n, must be equal. However, within each topological class determined by (g,n), there are different surfaces regarding their complex structure. By definition, a Riemann surface is a one-dimensional complex manifold such that the transition functions of the charts are bi-holomorphic (i.e. the map and its inverse are holomorphic). The charts together with the transition functions between them define a complex structure on the Riemann surface. Two such complex structure are equivalent if there is a conformal (i.e complex analytic) map between the them. The set of all such equivalent complex structures define a *conformal class* in the set of all complex structures on the surface. However, all conformal classes are not equivalent i.e. the space of all complex structures on a Riemann surface of a given topological type is partitioned by the set of conformal classes. It turns out that there exist a continuum of conformal classes parameterized by finite number of parameters. However, we have the following correspondence due to the uniformization theorem:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{Hyperbolic Structure}\\ \text{on a Riemann Surface}\end{array}\right\}\Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{Complex Structure}\\ \text{on a Riemann Surface}\end{array}\right\} \tag{A.219}$$

Therefore, the classification space of all complex structures into conformal classes is the same as the classification space of all hyperbolic structures. Hence, we need a more precise definition of the classification space of the hyperbolic structures. Intuitively, this space should classify

all hyperbolic structures by some notion of equivalence relation. Therefore, we need to know two answer two questions : *what is the definition of the hyperbolic structure?* and *what is the notion of equivalence of two hyperbolic structures?*:

- **Hyperbolic structure**
  A hyperbolic structure on a Riemann surface Σ is a diffemorphism $\phi : \Sigma \longrightarrow S$, where S is a surface with finite-area hyperbolic metric and geodesic boundary components. The hyperbolic structure is denoted by the pair (S, ϕ).;

- **Equivalent hyperbolic structures**
  Two hyperbolic structures on a Riemann surface Σ, given by $\phi_1 : \Sigma \longrightarrow S_1$ and $\phi_2 : \Sigma \longrightarrow S_2$, are equivalent if there is an isometry $I : S_1 \longrightarrow S_2$ such that the maps $I \circ \phi_1 : \Sigma \longrightarrow S_1$ and $\phi_2 : \Sigma \longrightarrow S_2$ are homotopic i.e. the map $I \circ S_1$ can be continuously deformed into ϕ_2 by a homotopy map. The homotopies can move points in the boundary of S_2. In other words, the following diagram commutes up to homotopy:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Sigma & \\ {\scriptstyle \phi_1}\swarrow & & \searrow{\scriptstyle \phi_2} \\ S_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad I \quad} & S_2 \end{array} \tag{A.220}$$

  Therefore, the relevant equivalence class is homotopy equivalence of the hyperbolic structures, i.e. equivalent hyperbolic structures can be deformed into each other by a homotopy map.

In the same way that we can define the classification space of the conformal classes on a Riemann surface, we can define the classification space of the homotopy classes of the hyperbolic structures on a Riemann surface of a given topological type i.e. the space of the hyperbolic structures up to the homotopies. This space is called *the Teichmüller space of the hyperbolic Riemann surfaces* Σ *of a given topological type* (g, n) and it is denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{g,n}(\Sigma)$.

A.4 The Teichmüller space of a pair of pants

Let's illustrate the use of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates by considering the simplest hyperbolic surface, namely a sphere with three geodesic boundary components. A pair of pants can be constructed by pasting of two hexagons. To define the Teichmüller space, we need the

notion of a marked hyperbolic hexagon. A marked hyperbolic hexagon is a hexagon in the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$ with a distinguished vertex and a ordered labeling $s_1, \cdots, s_6$ of its sides. The labeling must be in such a way that if we start from the distinguished vertex and move counterclockwise, the order of the sides happen from s_1 to s_6. We denote the lengths of the sides by $l_i = \ell(s_i)$ in which $\ell(s_i)$ is the hyperbolic length of the side computed using Poincaré metric on $\mathbb{H}$. A very powerful result in the hyperbolic geometry is the following:

Theorem: Let a_1, a_2 and a_3 denote three positive real numbers. Then we have:

1. There exists a marked hyperbolic hexagon in $\mathbb{H}$ such that $l_i = a_i$;
2. Any two such hyperbolic hexagons can be mapped into each other by an isometry in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that the distinguished vertices are mapped into each other;

This result basically says that *any positive triple* (a_1, a_2, a_3) *specifies a unique hyperbolic hexagon up to* $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. If we consider two marked hyperbolic hexagons whose three non-adjacent sides have lengths $\frac{l_i}{2},\ i = 1, 2, 3$ and glue them along the other three sides, we get a pair of pants Σ whose three geodesic boundaries, which we denote by $\gamma_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3$, have hyperbolic lengths $\ell_{\gamma_i} = l_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3$. Then we have the following result:

Theorem: The map $\psi : \mathcal{T}_{0,3}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3_+$ defined by $\psi(S) = (\ell_{\gamma_1}(S), \ell_{\gamma_2}(S), \ell_{\gamma_3}(S))$, is a homeomorphism.

This basically means that the Teichmüller space is determined by the lengths of its geodesic boundary components as we have seen previously. This theorem can be proved Therefore, $\ell_{\gamma_i},\ i = 1, 2, 3$ are coordinates on the Teichmüller space. They are the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. Note that there are no twists coordinates.

A.5 The Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of the Teichmüller space

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus ($g \geq 2$). Consider cutting $\mathcal{R}$ along mutually disjoint simple closed geodesics with respect to the hyperbolic metric $ds^2_{\mathcal{R}}$ on $\mathcal{R}$. When there are no more closed geodesics of $\mathcal{R}$ contained in the remaining open set, then every piece should be a pair of pants of $\mathcal{R}$. Complex structure of each pair of pants of $\mathcal{R}$ is uniquely determined by a triple of the hyperbolic lengths of boundary geodesics of it. Then $\mathcal{R}$ can be reconstructed by gluing all resulting pieces suitably. Hence, we can consider, as system of coordinates for



Figure 29: Pair of pants decomposition of genus 2 Riemann surface with four borders.

the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_g$, the pair of the set of lengths of all geodesics used in the above decomposition into pants and the set of the so-called twisting parameters used to glue the pieces. Such a system of coordinates is called Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of $\mathcal{T}_g$.

Let us explain the precise definition of these coordinates. Consider the pants decomposition of $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_k\}_{k=1}^M$, consisting of all connected components of $\mathcal{R} - \cup_{j=1}^N L_j$, corresponding to a system of decomposing curves $\mathcal{L} = \{L_j\}_{j=1}^N$, which is a set of mutually disjoint simple closed geodesics on $\mathcal{R}$. Here $N = 3g-3$ and $M = 2g-2$ if genus of surface $\mathcal{R}$ is g. Assume that t is a coordinate for Teilchmüller space $\mathcal{F}_g$ of Fuchsian group generating genus g Riemann surface. We denote the hyperbolic length $l(L_j(t))$ of $L_j(t)$ simply by $l_j(t)$ which we call the geodesic length function of L_j. It is known that every geodesic length function $l_j(t)$ is real-analytic on $\mathcal{F}_g$. For every j, let $P_{j,1}$ and $P_{j,2}$ be two pairs of pants $\mathcal{P}$ having L_j as a boundary component. Here we allow the case where $P_{j,1} = P_{j,2}$.

Note that every pair of pants P has an anti-holomorphic automorphism J_P of order two. Moreover, the set $F_{J_P} = \{z \in P | J_P(z) = z\}$ of all fixed points of J_P consists of three geodesics $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^3$ in P satisfying the condition: For every j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$, D_j has the end points on, and is orthogonal to, both L_j and L_{j+1}, where $L_4 = L_1$. Take a fixed point of J_k on L_j for each $P_{j,k}$ $(k = 1, 2)$, and denote it by $c_{j,k}$. Let $T_j(t)$ be the oriented arc on $L_j(t)$, which lies on $\mathcal{R}_t$ corresponds to the Fuchsian group Γ_t, from $c_{j,1}(t)$ to $c_{j,2}(t)$. Since $L_j(t)$ has the natural orientation determined from that of L_j, we can define the signed hyperbolic length $\tau(j)(t)$ of $T_j(t)$. Then we call $\theta_j(t) = 2\pi\frac{\tau_j(t)}{l_j(t)}$, which is well defined modulo 2π, the twisting parameter with respect to L_j. Then the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates of $\mathcal{T}_g$ associated with the pants decomposition $\mathcal{P}$ is defined as $(l_1(t), \cdots, l_{3g-3}(t), \theta_1(t), \cdots, \theta_{3g-3}(t))$.



Figure 30: S and A-move



Figure 31

A.6 The presentation for the mapping class group

Different points in the Moduli space of genus g Riemann surface with n punctures, $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$, corresponds to inequivalent Riemann surfaces. Though Teichmúller space corresponds to conformally inequivalent Riemann surface with marking on it, all points in this space does not corresponds to inequivalent Riemann surface. This is because different in Teichmüller space can corresponds to same Riemann surface with different marking on it. Marking can be thought of as assignment of simple closed curves on the surface along which if we cut can decompose the surface into a set of pair of pants. A closed curve is called simple, if the map from circle to Riemann surface is injective. Interestingly these different markings can be related to each other by acting with the so called mapping class group. Mapping class group is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving self-diffeomorpfhisms of a Riemann surface.

A pants decomposition of Riemann surface, $\mathcal{R}$, decomposes $\mathcal{R}$ into pairs of pants. If $\mathcal{R}$ is not itself a pair of pants, then there are infinitely many different isotopy classes of pants decompositions of $\mathcal{R}$. Interestingly any two isotopy classes of pants decompositions can be



Figure 32

joined by a finite sequence of elementary moves in which only one closed curve changes at a time [32]. Following are the different types of elementary moves.

- Let P be a pants decomposition, and suppose that one of the circles β of P is such that deleting β from P produces a complementary component of type (1,1).This is equivalent to saying that there is a circle γ in $\mathcal{R}$ which intersects β in one point transversely and is disjoint from all other circles in P. In this case, replacing β by γ in P produces a new pants decomposition P'. This replacement is known as a simple move or, S-move.

- If P contains a circle β such that deleting β from P produces a complementary component of type (0,4), then we obtain a new pants decomposition P' by replacing β by a circle γ intersecting β transversely in two points and disjoint from the other curves of P. The transformation $P \to P'$ in this case is called an associative move or A-move.

The inverse of an S-move is again an S-move, and the inverse of an A-move is again an A-move.

All sequence of such elementary moves are not independent. There are five basic types of relations from which all others follow.

- Suppose that deleting one circle from a maximal cut system creates a complementary component of type (1,1). Then in this component there are circles β_1, β_2, and β_3, as shown in figure 31(a), which yield a circle of three S-moves: $\beta_1 \to \beta_2 \to \beta_3 \to \beta_1$.

- Suppose that deleting two circles from a maximal cut system creates a complementary component of type (0,5). Then in this component there is a cycle of five A-moves involving the circles β_i shown in figure 31(b): $\{\beta_1, \beta_3\} \to \{\beta_1, \beta_4\} \to \{\beta_2, \beta_4\} \to \{\beta_2, \beta_5\} \to \{\beta_3, \beta_1\}$.

- Suppose that deleting one circle from a maximal cut system creates a component of type (0,4). Then in this component there are circles β_1, β_2 and β_3, as shown in figure 31(c), which yield a circle of three A-moves: $\beta_1 \to \beta_2 \to \beta_3 \to \beta_1$. No other loops in the given pants decomposition change.

- Suppose that deleting two circles from a maximal cut system creates a complementary component of type (1,2). Then in this component there is a cycle of four A-moves and two S-moves as shown in figure 32: $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_3\} \to \{\alpha_1, \epsilon_3\} \to \{\alpha_2, \epsilon_3\} \to \{\alpha_2, \epsilon_2\} \to \{\alpha_2, \epsilon_1\} \to \{\alpha_3, \epsilon_1\} \to \{\alpha_3, \alpha_1\}$.

- If two moves which are either A-moves or S-moves are supported in disjoint subsurfaces of $\mathcal{R}$, then they commute, and their commutator is a cycle of four moves.

It is even possible to construct a two dimensional cell complex $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ whose vertices are isotopy classes of pants decompositions of $\mathcal{R}$, edges are elementary moves, and 2-cells are attached via the basic relations. Such a pants decomposition complex $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{R})$ is simply connected [33].

B The matrices for the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates

Consider a hyperbolic Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with genus g and n punctures, corresponding to the Fuchsian group Γ, so that $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$. In this section we shall review the construction of the matrices that generates the Fuchsian group as a function of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates for the Teichmüller space Γ [31] .



Figure 33: Ordered coordinate geodesics and pair of pants. Thick gray curves are the coordinates geodesics. L_1 and L_2 are attaching geodesics and L_3 is handle geodesic.

B.1 The Fenchel-Nielsen system

There are at most $p = 3g - 3 + n$ distinct simple disjoint geodesics $L_1, \cdots, L_p$ on the genus g Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ with n punctures. We shall call these p simple geodesics the *coordinate geodesics*. The coordinate geodesics divide the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ into $q = 2g - 2 + n$ pairs of pants, $P_1, \cdots, P_q$. Each coordinate geodesic corresponds to either a boundary element of two distinct pairs of pants, or corresponds to two boundary element of the same pair of pants. Let us order the coordinate geodesics and the pairs of pants with the following set of rules, although there is no canonical way to do this.

- If there is a coordinate geodesic, then L_1 is dividing and lies between P_1 and P_2.
- If $q \geq 3$, then L_2 lies between P_1 and P_3, see figure. 33.
- The first $q - 1$ coordinate geodesics, and the q pairs of pants, $P_1, \cdots, P_q$, are ordered so that, for every $j = 3, \cdots, q - 1$, there is an $i = i(j)$, with $1 \leq i(j) < j$, so that L_j lies on the common boundary of P_i and P_j.
- The coordinate geodesics $L_1, \cdots, L_{q-1}$ are called the attaching geodesics and coordinate geodesics $L_q, \cdots, L_p$ are called the handle geodesics.

We shall call this ordered set as Fenchel-Nielsen system (F-N system). Denote the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates defined with respect to F-N system as

$$\Phi = (l_1, \cdots, l_p, \tau_1, \cdots, \tau_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^p \times \mathbb{R}^p, \tag{B.221}$$

where $l_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, p$ are the geodesic lengths of curves $L_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, p+$ and $\tau_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, p$ are the twist about $L_i,\ i = 1, \cdots, p$. The length of geodesic is an absolute concept, but the

twist about a geodesic is not an absolute quantity. It requires a reference surface. Let us call this reference surface $\mathcal{R}_0$. For convenience, let us introduce the parameters:

$$s_i = \sinh \frac{l_i}{2},\ t_i = \sinh \frac{\tau_i}{2},\ \text{for } i = 1, \cdots, p. \tag{B.222}$$

B.1.1 The ordering for boundary components of the pairs of pants

The Fenchel-Nielsen system contains an ordered set of geodesics on the surface $\mathcal{R}$. Let us assign an ordering for the boundary components of the pairs of pants obtained from $\mathcal{R}_0$ as a result of a pair of pants decomposition of $\mathcal{R}$ along the coordinate geodesics of the Fenchel-Nielsen system. In the most cases, the ordering of the coordinate geodesics and boundary elements of $\mathcal{R}_0$ induces an ordering of the boundary elements of the pairs of pants. There are two exceptional cases in which there are two boundary elements of a pair of pants P corresponding to just one coordinate geodesic of $\mathcal{R}_0$. They are the following.

- $\mathcal{R}_0$ is torus with one puncture. In this case, two of the boundary elements of the pair of pants P are hyperbolic, necessarily of the same size, and the third one is parabolic. It is not possible to differentiate between the two boundary elements of P corresponding to one coordinate geodesic on $\mathcal{R}_0$.

- The pair of pants $P \subset \mathcal{R}_0$ having one boundary element, b_1, corresponds to an attaching geodesic on $\mathcal{R}_0$. While the other two boundary elements b_2, b_3 both correspond to the same handle geodesic. Which boundary element of P we call b_2 or b_3 is arbitrary.

If the surface is not an example of exceptional case then we shall label the boundary elements of the pair of pants, P_i, as $b_{i,1}, b_{i,2}, b_{i,3}$, in the following order: *hyperbolic boundary elements come before the parabolic boundary elements.*

B.1.2 Directing the coordinate and the boundary geodesics

Since the twist parameter around a curve depends on the orientation, we need to assign a direction for all coordinate geodesics on $\mathcal{R}_0$. We say that two geodesics on the boundary of some pair of pants P are consistently oriented with respect to P, if P lies on the right as we traverse either geodesics in the positive direction. Assume that we already directed geodesics $L_1, \cdots, L_j,\ j \geq 1$. If L_{j+1} lies on the boundary of two distinct pairs of pants, or

is a boundary geodesic, then there is a lowest index i, so that L_{j+1} lies on the boundary of P_i. Since $j+1>1$, L_{j+1} corresponds to either $b_{i,2}$ or $b_{i,3}$, for $b_{i,1}$ must correspond to some attaching geodesic, $L_{j'}$, $j' \leq j$. We direct L_{j+1} so that L_{j+1} and $L_{j'}$ are consistently oriented as boundary elements of L_i. If L_{j+1} is a handle geodesic, with same pair of pants, P_i, on both sides of L_{j+1}, then its direction is more complicated. We shall explain this case when we encounter such a situation later.

B.2 From the F-N system to the generators of the Fuchsian group

Assume that we are given an F-N system on $\mathcal{R}_0$, where the coordinate geodesic $L_1, \cdots, L_p$, the pairs of pants $P_1, \cdots, P_q$ are ordered and the coordinate geodesics are directed as already discussed. We also assume that we are given a point

$$\Phi = (l_1, \cdots, l_p, \tau_1, \cdots, \tau_p) \in (\mathbb{R}^+)^p \times \mathbb{R}^p, \tag{B.223}$$

in the corresponding space of F-N coordinates. Now we shall describe a canonical procedure for writing down a set of generators for the corresponding Fuchsian group. We write the generators in the following order. The first $2p-q+1$ generators are hyperbolic, p coordinate geodesics followed by $p-q+1$ handle closers. The remaining n generators are parabolic boundary elements. In general the total number of generators, $d = 2q+1 = 4g-3+2n$, is far from minimal, which is $2g+n$.

B.2.1 The normalization

Let $a_1, \cdots, a_d$ be a set of generators for a Fuchsian group. Assume that a_1 and a_2 are as follows.

- The generators a_1 and a_2 are both hyperbolic with disjoint axes.
- The axis of a_1 denoted by A_1 lie on the imaginary axis, pointing towards ∞; the axis of a_2 denoted by A_2 lies in the right half-plane, with the attracting fixed point smaller than the repelling one.
- The common orthogonal between A_1 and A_2 lies in the unit circle.

B.2.2 The basic building blocks

Let us label the three distinct boundary elements of each pair of pants P_i as $b_{i,1}, b_{i,2}, b_{i,3}$. The order of the boundary elements is determined by the order of the coordinates geodesics and boundary elements of the F-N system, except for the cases where we have not yet distinguished between $b_{i,2}$ and $b_{i,3}$. The size of each hyperbolic boundary element is specified by the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinate Φ. Let P_i' be the Nielsen extension of P_i. For each $i = 1, \cdots, q$ there is a unique normalized pants group Γ_i representing P_i', i.e., $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_i = P_i'$. Γ_i has three distinguished generators $a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, a_{i,3}$, where $a_{i,1}a_{i,2}a_{i,3} = 1$. The axis of $a_{i,k}$, $A_{i,k}$, projects onto $b_{i,k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$.

B.2.3 Sphere with holes

Consider subspace Q_j of $\mathcal{R}_0$, defined as the interior of the closure of the union of the P_i, $i \leq j$ for $j = 1, \cdots, q-1$. Let Q_j' be the Nielsen extension of Q_j. Each subspace Q_j' has a naturally defined F-N system, where the coordinate geodesics are $L_1, \cdots, L_{j-1}$ and the pairs of pants are $P_1, \cdots, P_j$. Say, $\Gamma_1 = J_1$ be the normalized pants group representing P_1. Assume that $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{1,2}$ generate the group Γ_1. We can choose the normalizations for different cases as follows.

- If $a_{1,1}$ is hyperbolic, then A_1, the axis of $a_{1,1}$, is the imaginary axis, pointing towards ∞. A_2, the axis of $a_{1,2}$, lies in the right-half plane and M_3, the common orthogonal between A_1 and A_2, lies on the unit circle.

- If $a_{1,1}$ is parabolic, then A_1 is the point at infinity, and M_3 lies on the imaginary axis. If $a_{1,2}$ is also parabolic, then the axis A_2 is necessarily at 0.

The imaginary axis, which is the axis of $a_{1,1}$, projects to L_1 and the positive direction of axis projects to the positive direction L_1. Consider Γ_2, the normalized pants group representing P_2. Let c_2 be the hyperbolic isometry which maps the right half-plane onto the left half-plane, while introducing a twist of τ_1 in the positive direction on L_1; i.e.,

$$c_2(0) = \infty,\ c_2(\infty) = 0,\ c_2(\mathrm{i}) = e^{\tau_1}\mathrm{i}.$$

Let

$$\hat{\Gamma}_2 = c_2\Gamma_2 c_2^{-1}, \tag{B.224}$$

then

$$a_{1,1} = \hat{a}_{1,1} = c_2 a_{2,1} c_2^{-1} = \hat{a}_{2,1}. \tag{B.225}$$

It follows that one can use the *amalgamated free product (AFP) combination theorem* to amalgamate $\hat{\Gamma}_2$ to Γ_1. The AFP combination theorem asserts that

1. $J_2 = \langle \Gamma_1, \hat{\Gamma}_2 \rangle$ is Fuchsian.

2. J_2 is generated by $a_{1,1}, a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, \hat{a}_{2,2}, \hat{a}_{2,3}$. In addition to the defining relations of Γ_1 and Γ_2, these satisfy the additional relation: $a_{1,1} = \hat{a}_{2,2}\hat{a}_{2,3}$.

3. $\mathbb{H}/J_2$ is sphere with 4 borders; the corresponding boundary subgroups are generated by the above four generators.

Now we can identify $\mathbb{H}/J_2$ with Q_2'. This imposes a new order on the boundary elements of $\mathbb{H}/J_2$ as follows.

- If b and b' are boundary elements of Q_2, where b precede b' as coordinate geodesic or as boundary elements of $\mathcal{R}_0$, then b precede b' as a boundary element of Q_2.

- If b and b' both corresponds to the same coordinate geodesic on $\mathcal{R}_0$, and b corresponds to a boundary geodesic on P_1, while b' corresponds to a boundary geodesic on P_2, then as boundary elements of Q_2, b precede b'.

- If b and b' both correspond to boundary elements of either P_1 or P_2, then b precede b' if b corresponds to $A_{i,2}$ and b' corresponds to $A_{i,3}$.

- In the case that P_i has two boundary elements corresponding to the same handle geodesic, L, we direct L so that positive direction of $A_{i,2}$ projects onto the positive direction of L.

This way we can order the boundary elements of Q_2 and direct them. Introduce a new ordered set of generators for J_2 as $a_1^2, \cdots, a_5^2$, where $a_1^2 = a_{1,1} = \hat{a}_{2,1}^{-1}$ and $a_2^2, \cdots, a_5^2$ are the generators $a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, \hat{a}_{2,3}$. A_j^2 projects onto b_{j-1}^2. Those A_j^2 that are hyperbolic are all directed so that the attracting fixed point of a_j^2 is smaller than the repelling fixed point. Each A_j^2 has a canonical base point on it. For $i = 1, \cdots, q$ the point i is the canonical base point on $A_{i,1}$. For $i = 1, \cdots, q$ and for $k = 2, 3$ the base point on $A_{i,k}$ is the point of intersection of $A_{i,k}$ with

the common orthogonal between $A_{i,1}$ and $A_{i,k}$. In the case that $a_j^2 = a_{1,i}$, the base point is the canonical base point for $a_{1,i}$. In the case that $a_j^2 = c_2 a_{2,i} c_2^{-1}$ then the canonical base point is the c_2 image of the canonical base point for $a_{2,i}$.

Now iterate the above process. Assume that we have found J_k representing Q'_k, where $k < q$, with distinguished subgroups, $\hat{\Gamma}_1, \cdots, \hat{\Gamma}_k$. Assume that we have ordered boundary elements of Q_k, and that we have found the distinguished generators $a_1^k, \cdots, a_{2k+1}^k$ for J_k, where each distinguished generator lies in some distinguished subgroup, so that, for $i = 1, \cdots, k$, A_i^k projects onto the boundary element b_{k-i}^k of Q_k. Assume that we have assigned a canonical base point for on each geodesic A_i^k, $i = k+1, \cdots, 2k+1$. Also assume that all the hyperbolic boundary generators of J_k are directed so that the attracting fixed point is smaller than the repelling point. Then there is some j so that A_{k+j}^k projects onto L_{k+1}. We need to renormalize Γ_{k+1} so that the renormalized $A_{k+1,1}$ agrees with A_{k+j}^k, but with opposite direction and with an appropriate twist.

Let us define the conjugator c_{k+1} to be the unique orientation preserving hyperbolic isometry mapping the left half-plane onto the action half-plane of A_{k+j}^k, while mapping the base point i onto the point whose distance from the base point on A_{k+j}^k, measured in the positive direction along A_{k+j}^k, is exactly τ_k. The AFP combination theorem assures that,

1. $J_{k+1} = \langle J_k, c_{k+1}\Gamma_{k+1}c_{k+1}^{-1}\rangle$ is Fuchsian.

2. $J_{k+1} = \langle a_1^k, \cdots, a_{2k+1}^k, c_{k+1}a_{k+1}c_{k+1}^{-1}, c_{k+1}a_{k+1,2}c_{k+1}^{-1}, c_{k+1}a_{k+1,3}c_{k+1}^{-1}\rangle$. These satisfy the dining relations of J_k and Γ_{k+1} together with the additional defining relation: $a_{k+j}^k = (c_{k+1}a_{k+1,1}c_{k+1}^{-1})^{-1}$.

3. $\mathbb{H}/J_{k+1}$ is a sphere with $2k+1$ holes .

As above, rewrite the generators of J_{k+1} as $a_1^{k+1}, \cdots, a_{2k+3}^{k+1}$, where the first k generators correspond to the k coordinate geodesics of Q_{k+1}, and the remaining generators correspond to the boundary elements of Q_{k+1} in the following order. Each boundary generator a_i of J_{k+1} corresponds to either a coordinate geodesic L_j on $\mathcal{R}_0$ or it corresponds to a boundary element b_j of $\mathcal{R}_0$. Pulling back the order of the coordinate geodesic and boundary elements for $\mathcal{R}_0$ imposes a partial order on the boundary generators of J_{k+1}. The only ambiguities occur when the generators a_i and a'_i both corresponds to the same coordinate geodesic. If a_i, respectively , a'_i, lies in the distinguished subgroups $\hat{\Gamma}_j$, respectively, $\hat{\Gamma}_{j'}$, where $j < j'$, then a_i precedes a'_i. If a_i and a'_i both lie in the same distinguished subgroup, $\hat{\Gamma}_j$, then $\hat{a}_{j,2}$ precedes $\hat{a}_{j,3}$.

The hyperbolic boundary generators of J_k all have distinguished base points. We assign the c_k image of the distinguished point on $a_{k_1,2}$ and $a_{k+1,3}$ as the distinguished base point on the new boundarynggunerators of J_{k+1}. We also observe that the hyperbolic boundary generators of J_{k+1} are all directed so that their attracting fixed points are smaller than their repelling fixed points. When $k = q - 1$, we reach the group J_q, representing Q'_q.

B.2.4 Closing the handles

Rename the group J_q and call it K_0. Also rename its ordered set of generators and call them, in order, $a_1^0, \cdots, a_{2q+1}^0$. The first $q-1$ of these generators corresponds to the attaching coordinate geodesics of $\mathcal{R}_0$; the next $2(p-q+1)$ generators correspond to the boundary elements of Q_q that are handle geodesics on $\mathcal{R}_0$. Define the first handle-closer d_1 as the orientation preserving hyperbolic isometry mapping the action half plane of a_q^0 onto the boundary half-plane of a_{q+1}^0, while mapping the point at distance $-\tau_q$ from the base point on A_q^0 to the base point on A_{q+1}^0. Note that d_1 conjugates a_q^0 onto $(a_{q+1}^0)^{-1}$. Then the *HNN combination theorem* asserts that,

1. $K_1 = \langle K_0, d_1 \rangle$ is Fuchsian.

2. K_1 is generated by $a_1^0, \cdots, a_{2q+1}^0, d_1$. These satisfy the defining relations of K_1 together with the additional relation: $a_{q+1}^0 = d_1 (a_q^0)^{-1} d_1^{-1}$.

3. $\mathbb{H}/K_1$ is hyperbolic Riemann surface with genus 1 and q boundary elements.

The distinguished subgroups of K_1 are the distinguished subgroups of K_0. The generators of K_1 are generators of K_0, in the same order, but with a_{q+1}^0 deleted, and d_1 added to the list. In the list of generators for K_1, d_1 appears after all the generators corresponding to coordinate geodesics on $\mathcal{R}_0$, and before the first generator corresponding to a boundary element of $\mathcal{R}_0$. After g iterations, we reach the discrete group $\Gamma = K_g$, where $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma = \mathcal{R}_0$. Further, Γ has q distinguished subgroups, representing in order the q pairs of pants, $P_1, \cdots, P_q$. Γ has $2q+1$ distinguished generators, $a_1, \cdots, a_{2p-q+1}$. For $i = 1, \cdots, p$ the axis A_i projects onto the coordinate geodesic L_i; the generators $a_{p+1}, \cdots, a_{2p-q+1}$ are handle closers and the axes of the remaining generators project, in order, onto the boundary elements of $\mathcal{R}_0$.

B.3 The explicit matrices

In this section we shall describe an algorithm for writing the generators of the Fuchsian group in terms of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates.

B.3.1 Reduction to primitive conjugators

Let Γ_i and Γ_{j+1} be the normalized pants groups representing the pairs of pants, P_i and P_{j+1}, respectively. We assume that $i < j+1$, and that there is a k, $1 \leq k \leq 3$, so that $a_{j+1,1}$ and $a_{i,k}$ represent the same attaching geodesic, L_j on $\mathcal{R}_0$, but with reverse orientations. Then $|\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_{j+1,1})| = |\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_{i,k})|$. The *elementary conjugator* $e_{i,k}$ maps the boundary half-plane of $a' = a_{j+1,1}$ onto the action half-plane of $a = a_{i,k}$ while introducing a twist of τ_j. The *untwisted elementary conjugator,* $e^0_{i,k}$, which is independent of the index j, also maps the left half-plane onto the action half-plane of a, but maps the base point on $A_{j+1,1}$ to the base point on $A_{i,k}$. If a generates a boundary subgroup of G, then the corresponding axis A separates upper-half plane into two half-planes. The boundary half-plane is precisely invariant under $\langle a \rangle$ in G. The other half-plane, which is not precisely invariant (unless G is elementary), is called the *action half-plane.*

Once we have found matrices for the elementary conjugators, then we can inductively find matrices for all conjugators. If P_i and P_{j+1} are adjacent pairs of pants in the F-N system on $\mathcal{R}_0$, with $i < j+1$, and as above, $b_{j+1,1}$ attached to $b_{i,k}$, once we have found the matrix for the elementary conjugator, $e_{i,k}$, the matrix for the *conjugator* c_{j+1} is given by

$$\tilde{c}_{j+1} = \tilde{c}_i \tilde{e}_{i,k}. \tag{B.226}$$

For each $j = q, \cdots, p$ the handle closer d_j conjugates one distinguished boundary generator of K_0 onto the inverse of another distinguished boundary generator. These two boundary generators either lie in the same distinguished subgroup or they lie in different distinguished subgroups. If the two boundary generator lie in the same distinguished subgroup, $\hat{\Gamma}_i$, then there is a conjugator c_i, and there is normalized pants subgroup Γ_i, so that $\hat{\Gamma}_i = c_i \Gamma_i c_i^{-1}$. In this case, we can choose the matrix for the handle closer as

$$\tilde{d}_j = \tilde{c}_i \tilde{d} \tilde{c}_i^{-1}. \tag{B.227}$$

where $\tilde{d}$ is the matrix for the handle closer.

If these two boundary generators lie in distinct distinguished subgroups, say $\hat{\Gamma}_i$ and $\hat{\Gamma}_{i'}$, where $i < i'$. Assume that the first boundary generator corresponds to $\hat{a}_{i,k}$ and the second corresponds to $\hat{a}_{i',k'}$. We can write d_j as a product of four transformations: first twist along the axis $\hat{A}_{i,k}$, then map the boundary half-plane of $a_{i,k}$ onto the right half-plane; then interchange left and right half-planes, and last map the right half-plane onto the boundary half-plane of $\hat{a}_{i',k'}$.

- Twist transformation preserve both sides of $\hat{A}_{i,k}$ and maps the point on $\hat{A}_{i,k}$, whose distance from the base point is $-\tau_j$, to the base point on $\hat{A}_{i,k}$. We can write the matrix for this transformation as

$$(\tilde{c}_i \tilde{e}^0_{i,k}) \tilde{f}_{\tau_j} (\tilde{c}_i \tilde{e}^0_{i,k})^{-1}, \tag{B.228}$$

  where f_τ is the universal twist map, $f_\tau(z) = e^{-\tau} z$.

- The second transformation maps the boundary half-plane of $\hat{a}_{i,k}$ onto the right half-plane, and maps the base point on $\hat{A}_{i,k}$ to the base point on the imaginary axis. The matrix for this transformation is

$$(\tilde{c}_i \tilde{e}^0_{i,k})^{-1}. \tag{B.229}$$

- The interchange transformation g interchanges left and right half-planes and preserves the base point on the imaginary axis; that is

$$g(z) = -\frac{1}{z}. \tag{B.230}$$

- The final transformation transformation maps the left half-plane onto the boundary half-plane of $\hat{a}_{i',k'}$. The matrix representation of this transformation can be written as

$$\tilde{c}_{i'} \tilde{e}^0_{i',k'}. \tag{B.231}$$

Combining all we obtain

$$\tilde{d_j} = \tilde{c}_{i'}\tilde{e}^0_{i',k'}\tilde{g}\tilde{f}_{\tau_j}(\tilde{c}_i\tilde{e}^0_{i,k})^{-1}. \tag{B.232}$$

B.3.2 The normalized pants groups

Given three numbers λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3, it is possible to write down matrices $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$, corresponding to the geometric generators for pants group, such that $|\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_1)| = 2\cosh\lambda_1, |\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_2)| = 2\cosh\lambda_2$ and $|\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_3)| = |\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2)^{-1}| = 2\cosh\lambda_3$. We can assume that the λ_i are given so that a_i are in non-increasing order and $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_1) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_2) \geq 0$, without any loss of generality.

Let us choose normalizations for different cases as follows.

- a_1 is hyperbolic: then A_1 is the imaginary axis, pointing towards ∞. A_2 lies in the right-half plane and M_3, the common orthogonal between A_1 and A_2, lies on the unit circle.
- a_1 is parabolic: then A_1 is the point at infinity, and M_3 lies on the imaginary axis. If a_2 is also parabolic, then A_2 is necessarily at 0.

Now we shall list the generators of all possible pants groups satisfying above normalization.

Three hyperbolic elements

In this case $\lambda_i > 0,\ i = 1, 2, 3$. We need to find matrices $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$ with $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_1) = 2\cosh(\lambda_1)$; $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_2) = 2\cosh(\lambda_2)$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2) = -2\cosh(\lambda_3)$. We need a_1 and a_2 to be appropriately oriented; hence we write our matrices so that the repelling fixed points of a_2 is greater than 1, while the attracting fixed point is less than 1. Define μ and ν by:

$$\begin{aligned} \coth\mu &= \frac{\cosh\lambda_1\cosh\lambda_2 + \cosh\lambda_3}{\sinh\lambda_1\sinh\lambda_2},\ \mu > 0, \\ \coth\nu &= \frac{\cosh\lambda_1\cosh\lambda_3 + \cosh\lambda_2}{\sinh\lambda_1\sinh\lambda_3},\ \nu > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{B.233}$$

Then we can write the generators $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_3 = -\tilde{a}_2^{-1}\tilde{a}_2^{-1}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda_1} \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{a}_2 &= \frac{1}{\sinh\,\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\,(\mu-\lambda_2) & \sinh\,\lambda_2 \\ -\sinh\,\lambda_2 & \sinh\,(\mu+\lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{a}_3 &= \frac{1}{\sinh\,\nu} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\,(\nu-\lambda_3) & e^{\lambda_1}\sinh\,\lambda_3 \\ -e^{\lambda_1}\sinh\,\lambda_3 & \sinh\,(\nu+\lambda_3) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.234}$$

μ is related to δ, the distance between A_1 and A_2, by $\coth\,\mu = \cosh\,\delta$.

Exactly one hyperbolic and at least one parabolic

In this case $\lambda_1 > 0,\ \lambda_2 = 0$. The matrices are

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda_1} \end{pmatrix},\ \tilde{a}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\beta & -\beta \\ \beta & 1-\beta \end{pmatrix} \\
&\text{where} \\
\beta &= -\frac{\cosh\,\lambda_1 + \cosh\,\lambda_3}{\sinh\,\lambda_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.235}$$

B.3.3 Closing a handle of pair of pants

Consider three hyperbolic elements $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2$ and $\tilde{a}_3$ with $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$. We need to find the matrix corresponds to the handle-closer d which identifies the action of a_2 and a_3, while twisting by τ in the positive direction of A_2. Matrix corresponding to d is given as

$$\tilde{d} = \tilde{r}\tilde{r}_2\tilde{e}_\tau, \quad \text{where}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2}} \\ e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{r}_2 &= \frac{1}{\sinh\ \mu} \begin{pmatrix} -\cosh\ \mu & 1 \\ -1 & \cosh\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{e}_\tau &= \frac{1}{\sinh\ \mu} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\ (\mu - \frac{\tau}{2}) & \sinh\ \frac{\tau}{2} \\ -\sinh\ \frac{\tau}{2} & \sinh\ (\mu + \frac{\tau}{2}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{B.236}
$$

Here e_τ is the hyperbolic motion with the same fixed point as a_2 and with trace equal to $2\cosh\ \frac{\tau}{2}$. a_2 and e_τ have the same attracting fixed point if $\tau > 0$, and have opposite attracting fixed points if $\tau > 0$. r_2 is the reflection in A_2 and r is the reflection in the line half way between A_2 and A_3.

Torus with one puncture

We require a_1 to be parabolic. Then $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 > 0$. We normalize so that A_1 lies on the positive imaginary axis so that the unit circle is the common orthogonal between A_2 and A_3. Here the fixed points of a_3 are positive, with the repelling fixed point larger than the attracting one and the fixed point of a_2 are negative. Then $\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3$ is given as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_2 &= \frac{1}{\sinh\ \mu} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\ (\mu + \lambda_2) & \sinh\ \lambda_2 \\ -\sinh\ \lambda_2 & \sinh\ (\mu - \lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\
\tilde{a}_3 &= \frac{1}{\sinh\ \mu} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh\ (\mu - \lambda_2) & \sinh\ \lambda_2 \\ -\sinh\ \lambda_2 & \sinh\ (\mu + \lambda_2) \end{pmatrix}, \\
&\text{where} \\
\sinh^2\ \mu &= \frac{2\sinh^2\ \lambda_2}{\cosh\ \lambda_1 + 1},\ \mu > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.237}
$$

The handle-closer d which conjugates a_2 onto a_3^{-1} while introducing a twist of τ along A_2

is given by

$$d = r_0 f_\tau r_2. \tag{B.238}$$

Here r_2 is the reflection in A_2, f_τ is the twist by τ along the imaginary axis and r_0 is the reflection in the imaginary axis. The corresponding matrices are given by

$$\tilde{r_0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ \tilde{r_2} = \frac{1}{\sinh\ \mu} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\ \mu & 1 \\ -1 & -\cosh\ \mu \end{pmatrix},\ \tilde{f_\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.239}$$

B.3.4 Matrices for universal twist map, interchange and elementary conjugators

Now we shall write down the explicit matrices for universal twist map, interchange and elementary conjugators.

Universal twist map and interchange

The explicit matrices generating the twist and interchange are given by

$$\tilde{f_\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\frac{\tau}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{\tau}{2}} \end{pmatrix};\ \tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.240}$$

Elementary conjugator for $a_{i,1}$

This case occurs only for $i = j = 1$. We can choose $\tilde{g}$ as the matrix for untwisted elementary conjugator $\tilde{e}^0_{2,1}$. Matrix for twisted elementary conjugator is given by

$$\tilde{e}_{2,1} = \tilde{e}^0_{2,1}\tilde{f}_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -e^{\frac{\tau_1}{2}} \\ e^{-\frac{\tau_1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.241}$$

Elementary conjugator for $a_{i,2}$

$$\tilde{e}^0_{i,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sinh\ \mu_i}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} & e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.242}$$

where μ_i defined by the equation B.233 or B.235 depending on the type of $a_{i,3}$.

$$\tilde{e}_{i,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sinh\ \mu_i}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i-\tau_j)} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i-\tau_j)} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i+\tau_j)} & e^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i+\tau_j)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.243}$$

Primitive conjugator for $a_{i,3}$

$$\tilde{e}^0_{i,3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sinh\ \nu_i}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}\nu_i+\lambda_i} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu_i} & e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu_i-\lambda_i} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.244}$$

where ν_i defined by the equation B.233 and λ_i is the size of $a_{i,1}$, i.e., $|\mathrm{tr}(a_i, 1)| = 2\cosh\ \lambda_i$.

$$\tilde{e}_{i,3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sinh\ \mu_i}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i-\tau_j)+\lambda_i} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i-\tau_j)} \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i+\tau_j)} & e^{\frac{1}{2}(\mu_i+\tau_j)-\lambda_i} \end{pmatrix} \tag{B.245}$$

B.4 The algorithm

In this subsection, we shall summarize the algorithm for constructing the generators of the Fuchsian group as a function of the Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates. An explicit F-N system on a hyperbolic Riemann surface can be represented by a table. We shall call this the pairing table for the F-N system. Pairing table has q row, one for each pair of pants P_i. The entry in the i^{th} row ant k^{th} column identifies the boundary element $b_{i,k}$ as corresponding to either a coordinate geodesic L_j or boundary element b_j (cusp) of $\mathcal{R}_0$. We also assume that we are given the appropriate point Φ in F-N coordinate space. Then the following algorithm gives the

explicit matrices.

1. For each $i = 1, \cdots, q$ and for $k = 1, 2, 3$ we read off from the pairing table whether $b_{i,k}$ corresponds to a coordinate geodesic or to a boundary element of $\mathcal{R}_0$. If $b_{i,k}$ corresponds to coordinate geodesic L_j, then we read off the size of $a_{i,k}$ from j^{th} entry in Φ. Due to the ordering, each $b_{i,1}$ corresponds to an attaching geodesic; we write the size of this geodesic as λ_i. Use the constructions of section B.3.2 to write down the matrices $\tilde{a}_{i,k}$.

2. The first conjugator c_1 is the identity. Second conjugator is the elementary conjugator $\tilde{e}_{2,1}$. Continuing inductively, assume that we have found matrices for the conjugators $c_1, \cdots, c_{j-1},\ j \leq q$. The attaching geodesic L_j appears in the pairing table once as $b_{j+1,1}$ and once as $b_{i,k},\ i < j+1,\ k > 1$. Equation B.226 gives the formula for $\tilde{c}_j$.

3. Write the matrices for the first $q-1$generators. These are the generators corresponding to the attaching geodesics. They are given as,

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_{1,1},\ \tilde{a}_2 = \tilde{c}_3 \tilde{a}_{3,1}^{-1},\ \cdots,\ \tilde{a}_{q-1} = \tilde{c}_q \tilde{a}_{q,1}^{-1} \tilde{c}_q^{-1}. \tag{B.246}$$

4. Find matrices for generators corresponding to the handle geodesics; these are the generators $a_q, \cdots, a_p$. Each $L_j,\ q \leq j \leq p$, appears twice in the pairing table. Either there is some i so that L_j appears as both $b_{i,2}$ and $b_{i,3}$ or there are two distinct rows $i < i'$, so that L_j appears as $b_{i,k}$ and $b_{i',k'}$. In the first case, we write the matrix for the handle generator as $\tilde{a}_j = \tilde{c}_i \tilde{a}_{i,2} \tilde{c}_i^{-1}$. In the second case, we write the matrix for the handle generator as $\tilde{a}_j = \tilde{c}_i \tilde{a}_{i,k} \tilde{c}_i^{-1}$.

5. Find matrices for handle closing generators $a_{p+1}, \cdots, a_{2p-q+1}$. If there is some $j,\ q \leq j \leq p$, so that L_j appears as both $b_{i,2}$ and $b_{i,3}$ in the pairing table, then we write $\tilde{a}_{p+j} = \tilde{d}_j$, where $\tilde{d}_j$ is given by B.227. If the two entries of L_j in the pairing table appear as both $b_{i,k}$ and $b_{i',k'}$, where $i < i'$, then we write $\tilde{a}_{p+j} = \tilde{d}_j$, where $\tilde{d}_j$ is given by B.232.

6. Write down the matrices corresponding to the boundary elements of $\mathcal{R}_0$. Each $b_j,\ j = 1, \cdots, m+n$, appears exactly once in the pairing table. If b_j corresponds to $b_{i,k}$, the n the matrix for the corresponding generator is given by $\tilde{a}_{2p+q+j} = \tilde{c}_i \tilde{a}_{i,k} \tilde{c}_i^{-1}$.

References

[1] A. M. Polyakov,"Quantum Geometry of Bosonic Strings," Phys. Lett. B **103**, 207 (1981).

[2] A. M. Polyakov, "Quantum Geometry of Fermionic Strings," Phys. Lett. B **103**, 211 (1981).

[3] D. Friedan, E. J. Martinec and S. H. Shenker, "Conformal Invariance, Supersymmetry and String Theory," Nucl. Phys. B **271**, 93 (1986).

[4] M. Dine, N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 589 (1987).

[5] J. J. Atick, L. J. Dixon and A. Sen, "String Calculation of Fayet-Iliopoulos d Terms in Arbitrary Supersymmetric Compactifications," Nucl. Phys. B **292**, 109 (1987).

[6] M. Dine, I. Ichinose and N. Seiberg, "F Terms and d Terms in String Theory," Nucl. Phys. B **293**, 253 (1987).

[7] M. B. Green and N. Seiberg, "Contact Interactions in Superstring Theory," Nucl. Phys. B **299**, 559 (1988).

[8] A. Belopolsky, "De Rham cohomology of the supermanifolds and superstring BRST cohomology," Phys. Lett. B **403**, 47 (1997) [hep-th/9609220]; "New geometrical approach to superstrings," hep-th/9703183; "Picture changing operators in supergeometry and superstring theory," hep-th/9706033.

[9] E.D'Hoker and D.H.Phong; *Two loop superstrings. I. Main formulas*; Phys. Lett. B **529**, 241 (2002) [hep-th/0110247]; *II. The Chiral measure on moduli space*; Nucl. Phys. B **636**, 3 (2002) [hep-th/0110283]; *III. Slice independence and absence of ambiguities*; Nucl. Phys. B **636**, 61 (2002) [hep-th/0111016]; *IV: The Cosmological constant and modular forms*; Nucl. Phys. B **639**, 129 (2002) [hep-th/0111040]; *V. Gauge slice independence of the N-point function*; Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 91 (2005) [hep-th/0501196]; *VI: Non-renormalization theorems and the 4-point function*; Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 3 (2005) [hep-th/0501197]; *VII. Cohomology of Chiral Amplitudes*; Nucl. Phys. B **804**, 421 (2008) [arXiv:0711.4314 [hep-th]]

[10] E. Witten, "Notes On Supermanifolds and Integration," arXiv:1209.2199 [hep-th]; "Notes On Super Riemann Surfaces And Their Moduli," arXiv:1209.2459 [hep-th]; "More On Superstring Perturbation Theory," arXiv:1304.2832 [hep-th]; "Notes On Holomorphic String And Superstring Theory Measures Of Low Genus," arXiv:1306.3621 [hep-th]; "The Feynman $i\epsilon$ in String Theory," arXiv:1307.5124 [hep-th].

[11] R. Donagi and E. Witten, "Supermoduli Space Is Not Projected," arXiv:1304.7798 [hep-th]; R. Donagi and E. Witten, "Super Atiyah classes and obstructions to splitting of supermoduli space," arXiv:1404.6257 [hep-th].

[12] R. Pius, A. Rudra and A. Sen, "Mass Renormalization in String Theory: Special States," JHEP **1407**, 058 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)058 [arXiv:1311.1257 [hep-th]].

[13] R. Pius, A. Rudra and A. Sen, "Mass Renormalization in String Theory: General States," JHEP **1407**, 062 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)062 [arXiv:1401.7014 [hep-th]].

[14] A. Sen, "Off-shell Amplitudes in Superstring Theory," Fortsch. Phys. **63**, 149 (2015) doi:10.1002/prop.201500002 [arXiv:1408.0571 [hep-th]]. Pius:2013sca,Pius:2014iaa,Sen:2014pia

[15] A. Sen and E. Witten, "Filling the gaps with PCO?s," JHEP **1509**, 004 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2015)004 [arXiv:1504.00609 [hep-th]].

[16] R. Pius, A. Rudra and A. Sen, "String Perturbation Theory Around Dynamically Shifted Vacuum," JHEP **1410**, 70 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)070 [arXiv:1404.6254 [hep-th]].

[17] A. Sen, "Gauge Invariant 1PI Effective Action for Superstring Field Theory," JHEP **1506**, 022 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2015)022 [arXiv:1411.7478 [hep-th]].

[18] A. Sen, "Gauge Invariant 1PI Effective Superstring Field Theory: Inclusion of the Ramond Sector," JHEP **1508**, 025 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2015)025 [arXiv:1501.00988 [hep-th]].

[19] A. Sen, "Supersymmetry Restoration in Superstring Perturbation Theory," JHEP **1512**, 075 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2015)075 [arXiv:1508.02481 [hep-th]].

[20] A. Sen, "BV Master Action for Heterotic and Type II String Field Theories," JHEP **1602**, 087 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)087 [arXiv:1508.05387 [hep-th]].

[21] R. Pius and A. Sen, "Cutkosky Rules for Superstring Field Theory," JHEP **1610**, 024 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2016)024 [arXiv:1604.01783 [hep-th]].

[22] A. Sen, "Equivalence of Two Contour Prescriptions in Superstring Perturbation Theory," arXiv:1610.00443 [hep-th].

[23] A. Sen, "Reality of Superstring Field Theory Action," JHEP **1611**, 014 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2016)014 [arXiv:1606.03455 [hep-th]].

[24] A. Sen, "Unitarity of Superstring Field Theory," arXiv:1607.08244 [hep-th].

[25] A. Sen, "Wilsonian Effective Action of Superstring Theory," arXiv:1609.00459 [hep-th].

[26] C. de Lacroix, H. Erbin, S. P. Kashyap, A. Sen and M. Verma, "Closed Superstring Field Theory and its Applications," arXiv:1703.06410 [hep-th].

[27] Verlinde, Erik, and Herman Verlinde. "Multiloop calculations in covariant superstring theory." Physics Letters B 192.1-2 (1987): 95-102.

[28] Zwiebach, Barton. "Closed string field theory: Quantum action and the Batalin-Vilkovisky master equation." Nuclear Physics B 390.1 (1993): 33-152.

[29] Polchinski, Joseph. "String theory. Vol. 1: An introduction to the bosonic string." Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr 402 (1998).

[30] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, "The Heterotic String," Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 502 (1985). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.54.502

[31] Maskit, Bernard. "Matrices for Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates." RECON no. 20010088230.; Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae: Mathmatica 26.2 (2001): 267-304.

[32] Hatcher, Allen, and William Thurston. "A presentation for the mapping class group of a closed orientable surface." Topology 19.3 (1980): 221-237.

[33] Hatcher, Allen. "Pants decompositions of surfaces." arXiv preprint math/9906084 (1999).

[34] McShane, Greg. "Simple geodesics and a series constant over Teichmuller space." Inventiones mathematicae 132.3 (1998): 607-632.

[35] Mirzakhani, Maryam. "Simple geodesics and Weil-Petersson volumes of moduli spaces of bordered Riemann surfaces." Inventiones mathematicae 167.1 (2007): 179-222.

[36] Schiffer, Menahem, and Donald C. Spencer. "Functionals of finite Riemann surfaces". Courier Corporation, 2014.

[37] Gardiner, Frederick P. "Schiffer's interior variation and quasiconformal mapping." Duke Mathematical Journal 42.2 (1975): 371-380.

[38] Wolpert, Scott A. "Asymptotics of the spectrum and the Selberg zeta function on the space of Riemann surfaces." Communications in Mathematical Physics 112.2 (1987): 283-315.

[39] Deligne, Pierre, and David Mumford. "The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus." Publications Mathmatiques de l'IHES 36 (1969): 75-109.

[40] Wolpert, Scott A. "The hyperbolic metric and the geometry of the universal curve." J. Differential Geom 31.2 (1990): 417-472.

[41] Obitsu, Kunio, and Scott A. Wolpert. "Grafting hyperbolic metrics and Eisenstein series." Mathematische Annalen 341.3 (2008): 685-706.

[42] Melrose, Richard, and Xuwen Zhu. "Boundary behaviour of Weil-Petersson and fiber metrics for Riemann moduli spaces." arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01158 (2016).

[43] Wolpert, Scott A. "Families of Riemann surfaces and Weil-Petersson geometry". No. 113. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.

[44] Wolpert, Scott. "The Fenchel-Nielsen deformation." Annals of Mathematics 115.3 (1982): 501-528.

[45] Wolpert, Scott. "On the symplectic geometry of deformations of a hyperbolic surface." Annals of Mathematics (1983): 207-234.

[46] Wolpert, Scott. "On the Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of curves." American Journal of Mathematics 107.4 (1985): 969-997.

[47] Randol, Burton. "Cylinders in Riemann surfaces." Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 54.1 (1979): 1-5.

[48] Matelski, J. Peter. "A compactness theorem for Fuchsian groups of the second kind." Duke Math. J 43.4 (1976): 829-840.

[49] Fenchel, Werner, and Jakob Nielsen. "Discontinuous groups of non-Euclidean motions". 1948.

[50] Imayoshi, Yoichi, and Masahiko Taniguchi. "An Introduction to Teichmüller spaces." Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[51] Maskit, Bernard. "Kleinian groups". Vol. 287. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[52] Lechtenfeld, Olaf. "Superconformal ghost correlations on Riemann surfaces." Physics Letters B 232.2 (1989): 193-198.

[53] Morozov, A. "A straightforward proof of Lechtenfeld's formula for the $\beta\gamma$-correlator." Physics Letters B 234.1-2 (1990): 15-17.

[54] LeClair, Andre, Michael E. Peskin, and Christian R. Preitschopf. "String field theory on the conformal plane (I).: Kinematical Principles." Nuclear Physics B 317.2 (1989): 411-463.

[55] LeClair, Andre, Michael E. Peskin, and Christian R. Preitschopf. "String field theory on the conformal plane (II).: Generalized Gluing." Nuclear Physics B 317.2 (1989): 464-508.

[56] Gross, David J., and Antal Jevicki. "Operator formulation of interacting string field theory (I)." Nuclear Physics B 283 (1987): 1-49.

[57] Gross, David J., and Antal Jevicki. "Operator formulation of interacting string field theory (II)." Nuclear Physics B 287 (1987): 225-250.

[58] Gross, David J., and Antal Jevicki. "Operator formulation of interacting string field theory (III). NSR superstring." Nuclear Physics B 293 (1987): 29-82.

[59] Witten, Edward. "Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space." Surveys in Diff. Geom 1.243 (1991): 74.

[60] Kontsevich, Maxim. "Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function." Communications in Mathematical Physics 147.1 (1992): 1-23.

[61] Mirzakhani, Maryam. "Weil-Petersson volumes and intersection theory on the moduli space of curves." Journal of the American Mathematical Society 20.1 (2007): 1-23.

[62] R.Dijkgraaf, E.Verlinde, and H.Verlinde. "Notes on topological string theory and 2D quantum gravity." Proc. of the Trieste Spring School. 1990.

[63] W.Abikoff; "The Uniformization theorem" Amer. Math. Monthly 88 (1981), no. 8, 574592.

[64] R.Melrose, X.Zhu. "Boundary Behaviour of Weil-Petersson and Fiber Metrics for Riemann Moduli Spaces" arXiv:1606.01158 (2016).

[65] S.F.Moosavian, R.Pius. "Covariant Quantum Closed Superstring Field Theories Using Hyperbolic Riemann Surfaces". To appear.

[66] S.F.Moosavian, R.Pius. "1PI and Wilsonian Effective Actions of Superstring Theory Using Hyperbolic Riemann Surfaces". To appear.